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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## 1. Breif about area

Food security and enhanced farm income is the supreme national mission for any government in the country beset with the problem of burgeoning human population and constrained productivity of the agriculture lands due to gradually depleting ground water resources, soil degradation, denudation \& degradation of forestlands, etc. and resultant perpetuation of the vicious cycle of poverty-degradation.. About 60\% net sown area in India is rainfed, risky, complex, diverse, fragile, under-invested and highly vulnerable to climate change and contributes $40 \%$ to the food-grain production and support $40 \%$ population. The average foodgrain productivity of predominantly irrigated States is about 3 tonnes per ha compared to about 1 tonne per ha under rainfed conditions. Productivity of $100 \%$ grazing and forest lands and raindependent80\% area under fruits is also low.

The Indo-gangetic plains of U.P. have undergone stress for natural resources, which are witnessing degradation at an alarming rate. The watershed approach has conventionally aimed at treating degraded lands with the help of low cost and locality accessed technologies such as in-situ soil and moisture conservation measures, afforestation etc. and through a participatory approach that seeks to secure close involvement of the user communities

The Study has been carried out villages namely - Ahopur, Araji Amani, Chauri Danu Patti, ChaurinipurPatti, Gopalpur, Narpatpur, Udhopur, Amawa kala, Arajidibui, Bardha, Chakbasawan, Hari Chandanpur, Maihardo Patti, Manjhilapur, Parsottampur Z Bargaom, Rajputan z raveli, Jabupur z bahari, Jhuri Sonbarsa, Lacchapur,Lohchanda, Manapur, Chak Bhuidhar, Chandaipur or Chanaipur, Chauri Khas, Kolahd, Narharpur, Parsotampur Patti, Bhagwanpur Kunhari, Horila, Kantapur, Kataldeeh, Raghupur, Sewapur or Senabodpura, Chak Muglai, Daraunha, Govindpur, Chandi Gahana, Surhan, Gouda chamar hatta of Bhadohi block of Sant Ravidas Nagar district of Uttar Pradesh. . The watershed is located along, Bhadohi - Gyanpur Road, about 12 $K m$ from the district Head quarter. It lies between the longitude of $82^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime}$ to $82^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime}$ and latitudes $25^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime}$ to $25^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime}$, having watershed code no 2B3A3a1a, 2B3A3a1c, 2B3A3a1d, 2B3A3a1e, 2B3A1f3a, 2B3A1f3b. Its altitude ranges from 74 to 94 m above mean sea Level (MSL). The total area of watershed is 6310.00 Ha.

The climate of the region is characterized as semi-arid with average annual rainfall less than 988 mm annually, out of which about 90 percent is received during the monsoon season from July to September. Temperature ranges from very high as $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the May-June to as low as $5.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during December-January. The trend of rainfall is highly erratic and maximum (62\%) water goes as runoff. The soils are mainly sandy, loamy and clayey.

Agriculture is the main source of income of the farmers of the watershed. Kharif is the main crop consist of Sugarcane, Arhar, Paddy and Maize, In Rabi crop mainly Wheat, Mustered and Gram are main crop.

## 2. Institutional arrangement

This watershed has been identified by the state department under NWDPRA scheme by proper prioritization of different parameters for watershed selection criteria

The area of watersheds is proposed to be taken by Bhoomi Sanrakshan Adhikari, Department of land development \& water resources St. Ravidas Nagar for integrated watershed management programme (IWMP) starting from the year 2010-11. The project will be completed by 2014-15.

## 3. Salient project activities

The area is prone to soil degradation due to environmental impact and over exploitation of natural resources therefore it is an urgent need to restore the ecological balance for the sustainability. Fodder shortage, lack of inputs and market facility are some of the major constraints being experienced by the farmers.

It is proposed that the soil conservation and check in run-off water is required urgently. Therefore the certaine measures like contour bund, marginal bunds, peripheral bunds are urgently required. On the other hand to control the run-off water the water management practices like drainage treatment, check dams and other practices have been propsed according to general geology of the project area.

The agricultural land will be treated with bunding along with minor levelling. Waste land will be treated with the engineering measures like staggered trenches and a forestation etc.

## 4. Physical target and Financial outlays

YEAR WISE PHASING (PHYSICAL \& FINANCIAL) OF I.W.M.P. II SANT RAVIDAS NAGAR ( U.P.)
Area-Ha \& Rs. In Lakh

| SI. <br> No. | Item | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{1}^{\text {st }} \text { Year } \\ & (2010-11) \end{aligned}$ |  | IInd Year$(2011-12)$ |  | IIIrd Year$(2012-13)$ |  | 1Vth Year(2013-14) |  | Vth Year(2014-15 |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fin. | Phy. | Fin. | Phy. | Fin. | Phy | Fin. | Phy | Fin. | Phy. | Fin. | Phy |
| 1 | Administrative 10\% |  | - | 10.27 | - | 10.27 | - | 15.40 | - | 15.40 | - | 51.336 | - |
| 2 | Monitoring 1\% | - | - | 1.03 | - | 1.03 | - | 1.54 | - | 1.54 | - | 5.134 | - |
| 3 | Evaluation 1\% | - | - | 1.54 | - | 1.54 | - | 1.54 | - | 0.51 | - | 5.134 | - |
| 4 | Entry Point Activity $4 \%$ | 20.53 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 20.534 | - |
| 5 | Institutional and Capacity building 5\% | 5.13 | - | 10.27 | - | 10.27 | - | - | - | - | - | 25.668 | - |
| 6 | D.P.R Preparation $1 \%$ | 5.13 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5.134 | - |
| 7 | Watershed Dev. Works 50\% | - | - | 38.50 | - | 77.00 | - | 77.00 | - | 64.17 |  | 256.680 | - |
| 8 | Livelihood \& Income Generating 10\% |  | - | 5.13 | - | 20.53 | - | 15.40 | - | 10.27 | - | 51.336 | - |
| 9 | Production System development 13\% | - | - | 5.13 | - | 15.40 | - | 25.67 | - | 20.53 | - | 66.737 | - |
| 10 | Consolidation Phase 5\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 25.668 | - | 25.668 | - |
|  | Total | 30.79 |  | 71.87 |  | 136.04 |  | 136.55 |  | 138.088 | - | 513.36 | - |

RESOURCE CONSERVATION AND WATER MANAGEMENT IN BAMA \& BISUHI WATERSHED, BLOCK - BHADOHI, DISTRICT SANT RAVIDAS NAGAR (U.P.)

Budget for the various components is given below (I.W.M.P.- II, SANT RAVIDAS NAGAR)

| S. No. | Budget Component | Total (Lakhs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 1. Administrative <br> 2. Monitoring <br> 3. Evaluation | $\begin{gathered} 51.336 \\ 5.133 \\ 5.133 \end{gathered}$ |
| B | Preparatory Phases <br> Entry point activities, Institution and capacity building, Detailed project reports | $\begin{gathered} 20.534 \\ 25.668 \\ 5.133 \end{gathered}$ |
| C. | WATERSHED WORKS | 256.68 |
| (i) | Livelihood Programm | 51.336 |
| (ii) | Production System and microenterprises | 66.736 |
| D. | CONSOLIDATION PHASE | 25.668 |
|  | GRAND TOTAL | 513.36 |

## 5. Treatment area and details

The above project area the problem of land degration is caused not only by soil erosion, but also by water logging and excessive salinity. Following are the main problem in the selected watershed.
(a) Lack of awareness amongst the villagers about the deteriorating environmental condition of the area.
(b) $75 \%$ of the run off water makes it away to way towards rivers carrying fertile soil with has nutrients and this decreases soil fertility, there is a decline in the productivity of cereals,pulses and vegetable crops.
(c) Due to over grazing, vegetative cover is declining on community land. There is no grasses and even shrub. Vegtation is vanishing, River carry a huge silt every year
(d) Due to continuous cutting of trees, overgrazing bushes and shrubs ecological balance of the area has been hardly distrubed.
(e) Due to increasing populasion pressure of man and animal there is camptition for collection of food, fodder and fuel resources.
(f) The ground water of the watershed area is deteriorating environmental condition. BANATher fore it is an urgent need, that rainwater should be harvested for crops and re-charged to improve the quality of the water.

## 6. Fact sheet about benchmark indicators

Gradually increasing soil erosion, poor harvesting management,single cropping pattern,non treated land and water resources have been required to take immediate action for conservation of soil and moistures various type earthen bunds in the watershed field, necessity has been observed, Stabilize Disturbed Areas immediately. Permanentstructures, temporary or permanent vegetation, and mulch, or a combination of these measures, should be employed as quickly as possible after the land is disturbed. Retain or Accommodate Runoff. Runoff from the development should be safely conveyed to a stable outlet using storm drains.

## 7. Action plan at a glange

The preparation of detailed project report has been carried out by applying different steps for its compilation. A brief detail has been given below:-

Secondory or co-lateral data collection - During the field visit programme all available data spatial and nonspatial has been collected through village lavel from gram panchayat office and community block lavel office.

Paticipatry rural appraisal (PRA) has been conducted for detail survey of the village resource information.

Formation of User'group and self help group and different committees for the social awareness among the people of the study area have been formed.

Conducted watershed committee meetings at gram panchyat lavel, for the discussion of different problems and their appropriate solution according to need in the project area.

After gathering all required information compiled thoroughly discussed and finalized the expected out-comes and benefits specialy in the respect of livelihood for different segments.These are the target and and performers, indicators for the project area,

The draft of the detailed project report has been prepared for the approvel of the project.

## CHAPTER-1

## INTRODUCTION \& BACKGROUND

## 1. PROJECT BACKGROUND:-

The broad objective was the promotion of the overall economic development and improvement of the socioeconomic conditions of the resource poor sections of people inhabiting the programme areas. A comprehensive programme named Integrated Watershed Management Programme (I.W.M.P.) has been implemented under Common Guidelines on Watershed Development in 2008.

The main objectives of the IWMP are to restore the ecological balance by harnessing, conserving and developing degraded natural resources such as soil, vegetative cover and water. The outcomes are prevention of soil run-off, regeneration of natural vegetation, rain water harvesting and recharging of the ground water table. This enables multi-cropping and the introduction of diverse agro-based activities, which help to provide sustainable livelihoods to the people residing in the watershed area. In addition, there is a Scheme of Technology Development, Extension and Training (TDET) is also being implemented to promote development of cost effective and proven technologies to support watershed management.

The study area is a cluster of 6 (Six) micro- watershed, with code No. 2B3A3a1a, 2B3A3a1c, 2B3A3a1d, 2B3A3a1e, 2B3A1f3a, 2B3A1f3b having area of 6310.00 Ha , is located in East of the St. Ravidas Nagar district of U.P Most of the land comes under agriculture. The area in the watershed is relatively flat plain with shallow river-valleys. The above project area is very well known in the entire world for its specilisation in viewing of carpets. The carpets of the area have been exported in Germeny; USA, UK and other western countries and gulf also. The soils are mainly sandy, loamy and clayey.

## 2. NEED AND SCOPE FOR WATERSHED DEVELOPMENT

## The main objectives are

(a) To control damage by run-off.
(b) To manage and utilize run-off for useful purpose or soil conservation
(c) To increase infiltration of rain water

## Main problem in watershed Area

The main problem in a watershed is the soil erosin by rainfall. The run off water transport the sediments which may block the channel head, dam, reservoir and storage structures are the major problems faced in the project area and attempts made so far to over come them.

## PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION AND PRIORITIZATION

Food sufficiency, economic growth and environmental security were identified as the major issues to be addressed in the watershed area. The area has flat topography hence highly prone to soil erosion. Lack of irrigation water is the greatest problem experienced by the people followed by low function of field crops, lack of fodder availability and low animal productivity.
Problems identified and prioritized during the transact walk and PRA exercises in all villages Akohari, Allipur Gokula, Bardaha, Bartara, Basantpur Anta, Basariya, Bel Matthar, Bhant Purwa, Bhauri Ganj, Chandrabhanpur, Charsadi, Changeriya, Narayanpur Sal, Dhaurahara, Dudi, Fatehpur Kotahana, Harigaon, Kaitholi, Katra Shahbajpur, Kuri, Malauli, Masauliya, NarayanpurJaisingh, Narayanpur Lalak, Dhanawa, Para, Pareta, Parsauli, Rajapur, Rampur, Rek Sadiya, Rudauli, Sakatpur, Saraiya, Shahpur, Tenganwa, Yakubpur were pooled and a list of nine problems representing the whole watershed was prepared. Problems were ranked as per their total weightage in these villages.

## 3. Weightage of the project

| Project name | Project Type | Weightage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IWMP St. Ravidas Nagar II ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ | IWMP-II | i | ii | iii | iv | v | vi | vii | viii | ix | x | xi | xii | xiii | xiv |
|  |  | 7.5 | 10 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 7.5 | 10 | 15 | 10 | 15 | 0 | 100 |

Criteria and weightage for selection of watershed

| Criteria | Maximum Score | Ranges \& Scores |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Poverty index (\% of poor to population) | 10 | Above 80 \% (10) | $\begin{gathered} 80 \text { to } 50 \text { \% } \\ (7.5) \end{gathered}$ | 50 to 20 \% (5) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Below } 20 \text { \% } \\ (2.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| \% of SC/ ST population | 10 | More than 40 \% (10) | $20 \text { to } 40 \%$ (5) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Less than } 20 \\ & \%(3) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Actual wages | 5 | Actual wages are significantly lower than minimum wages (5) | Actual wages are equal to or higher than minimum wages (0) |  |  |
| \% of small and marginal farmers | 10 | More than 80 \% (10) | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \text { to } 80 \% \\ & \text { (5) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Less than } 50 \\ & \%(3) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Ground water status | 5 | Over exploited <br> (5) | Critical <br> (3) | Sub critical <br> (2) | Safe (0) |
| Moisture index/ DPAP/ DDP Block | 15 | $\begin{gathered} -66.7 \text { \& below } \\ \text { (15) } \\ \text { DDP Block } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -33.3 \text { to }-66.6 \\ (10) \\ \text { DPAP Block } \end{gathered}$ | $0 \text { to }-33.2$ <br> (0) <br> Non DPAP/ <br> DDP Block |  |
| Area under | 15 | More than 90 \% | 80 to 90 \% | 70 to 80\% | Above 70 \% |


| rain-fed agriculture |  | (15) | (10) | (5) | (Reject) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Drinking water | 10 | No source (10) | Problematic village (7.5) | Partially covered (5) | Fully covered (0) |
| Degraded land | 15 | $\begin{gathered} \text { High - above } 20 \\ \%(15) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Medium - } 10 \\ & \text { to } 20 \% \text { (10) } \end{aligned}$ | Low- less than 10 \% of TGA (5) |  |
| Productivity potential of the land | 15 | Lands with low production \& where productivity can be significantly enhanced with reasonable efforts (15) | Lands with moderate production \& where productivity can be enhanced with reasonable efforts (10) | Lands with high production \& where productivity can be marginally enhanced with reasonable efforts (5) |  |
| Contiguity to another watershed that has already been developed/ treated | 10 | Contiguous to previously treated watershed \& contiguity within the micro watersheds in the project (10) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Contiguity } \\ & \text { within the } \\ & \text { micro } \\ & \text { watersheds in } \\ & \text { the project } \\ & \text { but non } \\ & \text { contiguous to } \\ & \text { previously } \\ & \text { treated } \\ & \text { watershed (5) } \end{aligned}$ | Neither contiguous to previously treated watershed nor contiguity within the micro watersheds in the project (0) |  |
| Cluster approach in the plains (more than one | 15 | Above 6 microwatersheds in cluster (15) | 4 to 6 micro watersheds in cluster (10) | 2 to 4 micro watersheds in cluster (5) |  |


| contiguous <br> micro- <br> watersheds in <br> the project) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cluster <br> approach in <br> the hills (more <br> than one <br> contiguous <br> micro- <br> watersheds in <br> the project) | 15 | Above 5 micro- <br> watersheds in <br> cluster (15) | 3 to 5 micro <br> watersheds in <br> cluster (10) | 2 to 3 micro <br> watersheds in <br> cluster (5) |  |
|  | 150 | 150 | 90 |  |  |

## PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION AND PRIORITIZATION FOR WATERSHED

| S. No. | Problem | Rank |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | Low production of field crops | 3 |
| 2. | Lack of drinking water | 4 |
| 3. | Lack of irrigation water | 1 |
| 4. | Lack of fodder availability | 7 |
| 5. | Non-availability of fuel wood | 6 |
| 6. | Lack of inputs like quality seeds, fertilizer, pesticides etc. | 2 |
| 7. | Lack of market facility | 8 |
| 8. | Lack of medical, educational and transportation facilities | 5 |
| 9. | Medical and Health care facilities for and low animal productivity. | ( |

## Strength, weakness, opportunity and threat (SWOT) analysis is a useful decision support tool, A SWOT analysis of the watershed is presented in Table below.

## SWOT analysis of the watershed

| Strengths (S) | Weakness (W) |
| :---: | :---: |
| i. Cooperative work culture in traditional activities <br> ii. Close ethic ties <br> iii. Road at the top as well as outlet of the watershed <br> iv. Hard working <br> v. Resource pool of crop genetics diversity <br> vi. Awareness of farmers about watershed management programme <br> vii. Well established CPR maintaining and sharing system <br> viii. Good productivity of soil <br> ix. Social outlook of the community towards land less | i. Poor water management <br> ii. Resource poor farmers <br> iii. Out migration of youth <br> iv. Low and erratic rainfall <br> v. Fragile geography <br> vi. Fragmented land holding <br> vii. Heavy infestation of wild animals <br> viii. Problem of fuel and fodder |
| Opportunities ( 0 ) <br> i. Wide range of annual and perennial crops <br> ii. Scope of regular employment opportunities to check out migration <br> iii. Strengthening of existing irrigation system <br> iv. Conducive climate for rainfed crop diversification <br> v. Good scope for Agro forestry and dry land horticulture <br> vi. Potential for collective action and management of CPR | Threats (T) <br> i. Prone to adverse climate like Flood <br> ii. High market risk <br> iii. Social conflicts owing to PRI and WSM polices and local politics <br> iv. Weak coordination among line departments <br> v. Lack of expertise of implementing agency in different aspects of WSM |

## 4. WATERSHED INFORMATION

| Name Of the <br> Project | No. of <br> water <br> sheds to be <br> treated | Watershed Code | Watershed <br> regime/type/order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IWMP-II, St. <br> Ravidas <br> Nagar | 6 | 2B3A3a1a,2B3A3a1c,2B3A3a1d,2B3A3a1e, | MicroWatershed |

The watershed falls under the semi arid region of Tropical climate. The average annual rain fall is 988 mm . Most of the rainfall (about $90 \%$ ) is received during July to September. The intensity of Rainfall is moderate to high. The Temperature ranges from as high as $46.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the month of May - June to as low as $3.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in December - January.

## 5. OTHER DEVELOPMENTAL PROJECTS/SCHEMES RUNNING IN THE VILLAGES:

These villages of the project area being very backward therefore have been on top priority for a number of developmental projects. These programmes are Swarnajayanti Gram Swarojgar Yojana (SGSY) and Indira Awas Yojana (IAY). Integrated Watershed Management Programme in other areas of the district is under operation in the department of Agriculture DPAP Programme is also running in the project area.

The basic information regarding project area are given as under-
a) Project at a glance (Table 1.1)
b) Details of ongoing watershed/development programes (Table 1.2)

## CHAPTER - 2

## GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT AREA

## 1. LOCATION

The selected watershed IWMP-II of St. Ravidas Nagar district (U.P.) is located along, Varanasi -St. Ravidas Nagar road about 8 Km from the block Bhadohi, it is more or less 15 km away from the district head quarter. It lies between the longitude of $82^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime}$ to $82^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime}$ and latitudes $25^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime}$ to $25^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime}$.

## LOCATION MAP OF THE PROJECT AREA



## 2. Area

The project is a cluster of six (6) micro- watersheds with code No. 2B3A3a1a, 2B3A3a1c, 2B3A3a1d, 2B3A3a1e, 2B3A1f3a, 2B3A1f3b, having an area of 6310.00 ha out of which 4278.00 ha, has been undertaken to be treated under Integrated Watershed Management Programme (IWMP) starting year 20102011. There are 23 gram panchayat and more than 90 revenue villages in the project.

## 3. PHYSIOGRAPHY

The project area falls under the central Ganga alluvial plain of Eastern-Uttar Pradesh, which is a level plain densely populated and most parts of the land is available for cultivation. The study area has moderate slopes into the river Bisuhi. About 60\% of the watershed area has $3 \%$ slopes, $20 \%$ area has $1 \%$ slope and remaining area has slopes varying from 3 to $5 \%$. All the streams of the project area finaly join the main perennial river the Ganga. Most of the agricultural land is dependent on monsoon. The plains form a level tract which slopes gently from west to south-east. The elevation of mean sea-level ranges from 92 to 74 meters. Higher elevations appear at places where the general flat surface is broken by irregular ranges of sandhills. In contradiction to the high ridge are low and often broad valleys of rivers known as kachhar. The valleys of the larger rivers are not only depressed well below the general level of the country but are of considerable breadth. Thus there is a wide area of low land which is inundated in years of heavy rainfall.

ELEVATION RANGE, LONGITUDE LATITUDE, RELIEF HEIGHT DIFFERENCE ETC,

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { S. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Detail s of the watershed | Settlement | Location |  | Elevation of watershed from Mean Sea level |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Latitude (N) | Longitude <br> (E) | Highest in Meters | Lowest in Meters | Relief Height Difference |
| 1 | 2B3A3a1a | Samalkot,Lakhanpur Urf Abhayanpur, Bag Amani, Kolahd, Manikpur, and others | $\begin{gathered} 25^{0} 21^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} \\ \text { to } \\ 25^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 82^{0} 39^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime} \text { to } \\ 82^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} \end{array}$ | 92 | 74 | 18 |
| 2 | 2B3A3a1c | Chak <br> Bhuidhar,Badamanpur,Manapur, Bankat Z.Madho Rampur, Deeh Koiran, Lachhapur, and others | $\begin{gathered} 25^{0} 21^{\prime} 14^{\prime \prime} \\ \text { to } \\ 25^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 82^{0} 35^{\prime} 19^{\prime \prime} \text { to } \\ 82^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 57^{\prime \prime} \end{array}$ | 90 | 76 | 14 |
| 3 | 2B3A3a1d | Madho Rampur,Jolhapur, <br> Sujan, <br> Jagdishpur Bhadarmanpur, <br> Balheya, <br> Kantapur, and others  | $\begin{gathered} 25^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime} \\ \text { to } \\ 25^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 41^{\prime \prime} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 82^{0} 37^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime} \text { to } \\ 82^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime} \end{array}$ | 92 | 75 | 17 |
| 4 | 2B3A3a1e | Madho Singhpur, Suwajag, Gobindpur, Horila, Dubha, Hadha, Darunha, Darunha, and others | $\begin{gathered} 25^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} \\ \text { to } \\ 25^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 41^{\prime \prime} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 82^{0} 38^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime} \text { to } \\ 82^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime} \end{array}$ | 92 | 75 | 17 |
| 5 | 2B3A1f3a | Kochari, Sewapur Or Senabarpura, Ramdeo Patti, Araji Amani, Majhilapu, and others | $\begin{gathered} 25^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime} \\ \text { to } \\ 25^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 82^{0} 39^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime} \text { to } \\ 82^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime} \end{array}$ | 90 | 74 | 16 |
| 6 | 2B3A1f3b | Loh Chanda, Chaudharipur, Jallapur, Anangpur, Jamunipur Badfaros, Ahamadpur and others | $\begin{gathered} 25^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime} \\ \text { to } \\ 25^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 47^{\prime \prime} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 82^{0} 38^{\prime} 59^{\prime \prime} \text { to } \\ 82^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime} \end{array}$ | 88 | 81 | 7 |

## SLOPE ANALYSIS

The Project area an uneven terrain with higher elevations on the north - West side of the watershed. Since slope is the most important terrain characteristic and plays a vital role in geomorphological and runoff processes, soil erosion and land use planning, it is very important to have an understanding of the spatial distribution for the development and management of both land and water resources. The general slope of the watershed is towards south - East. In the present study Seven (7) Slope classes were identified through the analysis of Aster Digital Elevation Model. One such map of Slope of the watershed is shown in Annexure Map.

## 4. CLIMATE

The watershed lies in the sub tropical climate. The average annual rainfall less than 988 mm . Most of the annual rain fall (about $90 \%$ ) is received during the rainy season (July to September) accompanied with high intensity storm. The temperature in the area rarely goes up to $46^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during summer and reaches $4.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in winter.

The year may be divided into four seasons. The cold season from mid November to February is followed by the summer season from March to mid June. The period from mid June to the end of September is the southwest monsoon season and the October and the first half of November constitute the post-monsoon season.

## AGRO-CLIMATE CONDITIONS

The Agro-Climate Condition of the project area including the Agro-Climate Zone of the project area, soil type, rainfall, major crops etc., of St. Ravidas Nagar district is briefly described below:

| $\begin{gathered} \text { S. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Name of Project | Name of Agroclimate Zone covered | Area <br> (Ha) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. of } \\ & \text { the } \\ & \text { Villages } \end{aligned}$ | Major Soil Type (Ha) |  | Topography | Average Rainfall (mm) | Major crops |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Type | Area (ha) |  |  | Name | Area <br> (ha) |
| 1 | IWMP -II | East Plain | 6310 | 96 | Sandy Loam | 4518 | Undulating with | 988 mm | Rice, Wheat | 2956 |

## TEMPERATURE

The data of St. Ravidas Nagar raingauge centre may be taken as representative of the meteorological conditions in the district. From mid November there is a rapid fall in temperature. January is the coldest month with the mean daily maximum temperature at $22.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the mean daily minimum temperature at $9.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In association with cold waves in the wake of the western disturbances passing eastwards in the winter season, temperature tends to go down to a degree or two above the freezing point. Day temperature begins to rise rapidly after February. May is the hottest with mean daily maximum temperature at 38.4 C and the mean daily minimum at $25.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. With the advent of the monsoon by about the middle of June there is appreciable drop in the day temperature, however, the nights continue to be warm. In September there is a slight increase again in the day temperature but the night temperature decreases after September. With the withdrawal of monsoon by the beginning of October it decreases progressively.

## HUMIDITY

During the monsoon and the post monsoon seasons the relative humidity are high ranging between 70 and 85 per cent. In the winter months humidity decreases and in summer the air is comparatively drier. The climate condition of the project area is given in Table 2.1.

## 5. WATERSHED CHARACTERISTICS

## Shape and Size

The shape of watershed (IWMP - II, St. Ravidas Nagar) is more or less rectangle in north direction and rest are more or less trainglular in shape. The direction of the slope in the project area is north-west to south- east. The maximum length and width of IWMP -II watersheds, are 12616 m and 8639 m , respectively with the length: width ratio 1.46 :1

SHAPE AND SIZE OF WATERSHED

| S. N. | Micro <br> watershed <br> Code | Area (ha) | Shape | Approximate size in meter |  | Ratio <br> Length: <br> width |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Length | Width | $1.50: 1$ |
| 1 | 2B3A3a1a | 765.59 | Traingle | 4099 | 2718 | $1.17: 1$ |
| 2 | 2B3A3a1c | 1017.93 | Traingle | 4297 | 3643 | $2.45: 1$ |
| 3 | 2B3A3a1d | 1812.91 | Elongate | 7718 | 3140 | $4.30: 1$ |
| 4 | 2B3A3a1e | 813.99 | Elongate | 6334 | 1472 | $1.25: 1$ |
| 5 | 2B3A1f3a | 994.97 | Rectangle | 4074 | 3254 | $1.12: 1$ |
| 6 | 2B3A1f3b | 904.61 | Traingle | 4137 | 3672 |  |

## 6. SOIL AND LAND CAPABILITY CLASSIFICATION

## Soil Morphology:

The study area is situated in the North - East of District-St. Ravidas Nagar. The entire watershed topographically divided into three major land forms. Accordingly, the soils of watershed have been group in the three major categories.

1- Plain land
2- Moderate sloppy land
3- Strong

## Soil Profile: A Representative

 Soil Profile

1-1.5 (Heavy texture clay-soil yellowish Brown in color)

5-8m (Locally called "Clay")

7-8 m(Sandy Clay)

MORPHOLOGY OF TYPICAL SOLID PROFILE OF WATERSHED

| Horizon | Depth(Cm) | Morphology |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{A}$ | $0-150$ | Yellowish brown in colour, clay content > 80\%, soft and easily erodible when moist, <br> hard when dry, high elasticity, Cracks occur when dried. |
| $\mathbf{B}$ | $150-800$ | whitish brown in colour, very hard when dry, clay content $>60 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | $>800$ | (Saline soil ) |

## Soil Characteristics and Fertility Status:

Four types of soils are in the watershed area. The fertility status is about normal range due to production of major pulses crops. There is scarcity of phosphorus due to continuous growing of pulses. The four soil samples of each village, three for nutrients analysis and one for sulphur and micro nutrients analysis have been send to laboratory. After receiving the analysis report effort will be made to motivate the farmers to use nutrients and micronutrients according to the any analysis report. This demonstration of crop in Kharif and Rabi both seasons have been proposed under agriculture production activity.

## LAND CAPABILITY CLASSIFICATION (LCC)

Land capability classification is an interpretative grouping of lands made to show their relative suitabilities for various crops, pasture, forestry and wildlife and recreation. The inherent characteristics, limitations and risk of damage to the soils and also their response to manage-ment are taken into consideration for classifying them under various land capability classes.

Land capability class is the broadest category in the land capability classification system. Class codes I, II, III, IV, V, VI, VII, and VIII are used to represent arable and non-arable land as defined below.

Class I lands have slight limitations that restrict their use.
Class II lands have moderate limitations that reduce the choice of plants or require Land capability classification is an interpretative grouping of lands made to show their relative suitabilities for various crops, pasture, forestry and wildlife and recreation. The inherent characteristics, limitations and risk of damage to the soils and also their response to management are taken into consideration for classifying them under various land capability classes.

Land capability class is the broadest category in the land capability classification system. Class codes I, II, III, IV, V, VI, VII, and VIII are used to represent arable and non-arable land as defined below.

Class I lands have slight limitations that restrict their use.
Class II lands have moderate limitations that reduce the choice of plants or require moderate conservation practices

Class III lands have severe limitations that reduce the choice of plants or require special conservation practices, or both.

Class IV lands have very severe limitations that restrict the choice of plants or require very careful management, or both.

Classes V to VII cover lands that are unsuitable for agriculture but suitable for pasture.
Class VIII lands are suitable neither for agriculture nor for forestry and are best left for wildlife and recreation.

Land capability classes are divided into land capability subclasses, groupings of soils that have the same kind of limitations for agricultural use. Subclass codes used are e, w, s and c.
'e' represents susceptibility to erosion by water or wind,
'w' represents drainage difficulties including wetness or overflow,
's' represents soil limitations for plant growth and
' $c^{\prime}$ represents climatic limitations.
Land capability subclasses are subdivided into land capability units that are groupings of one or more individual soil map units having similar limitations or hazards. They are denoted by appending a numeral from 0 to 9 to the land capability subclass to specify the kind of limitation. The specific limitations are

- Stony or rocky (0),
- Erosion hazard/slope (1),
- Coarse texture (2),
- Fine texture (3),
- Slowly permeable subsoil (4),

Land capability classification(LCC) is crucial for appropriate land use planting consisting of practiced like choice of vegetation /crops, tillage practices, use of scientific method of cultivation and desirous conservation practices, Detailed LCC Survey carried out in the watershed brought out the prevailing LCC classes as I,II,III,IV

## CONCLUSION

The land capability classification of the watershed provides reasonable good information with regard to capability of
soil that could be used for agriculture, agri-horticulture, and silvi-culture and pasture development. The majority of land form is coming under class II, which give an insight of good agriculture production potential of these watersheds. The productivity of these lands could be further enhanced by adoption of simple soil \& water conservation measures like contour bunding in-situ moisture conservation practices. In class III submergence bund, marginal and peripheral bund are planned and in class IV, gully plugging structures, earthen check dam and water harvesting bunds are proposed with permanent Pucca Drop Spill Way structures.

## GEOMORPHOLOGY

The area lies in the North-East of the District- St. Ravidas Nagar of Bama and Bisuhi Basin. The soil is mainly sandy loam soil which is easily transportable after detaching causing soil erosion by water erosion and wind erosion. The details of the soil erosion is given in Table (2.2)

## CHAPTER-3

## BASELINE SURVEY

## A DETAILED BASELINE SURVEY OF THE PROJECT AREA WAS CONDUCTED TO THE STUDY MAJOR SOCIOECONOMIC AND BIOPHYSICAL CONSTRAINTS TO SUSTAINABLE CROP PRODUCTION. THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION WAS COLLECTED <br> SOCIO-ECONOMIC ANALYSIS OF THE PROJECT

In the proposed watershed management plan of proper blending of bio- engineering measures will be applied. Based on the results of studies conducted in this region, it is estimated that more than $50 \%$ of the watershed area will be treated and consequently the soil loss and runoff from the area is expected to be reduced by $70 \%$ and $65 \%$ respectively. The proposed land use plan will improve the land utilization index and crop diversification index significantly as compared to the existing one. It will help in maintaining ecosystem integrity on sustained basis along with improving the livelihood security of the farming community.

## ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

Economic analysis of the project is carried out by taking direct benefits and costs, considering 30 year project life at $10 \%$ discount rate. For the purpose of economic analysis, whole watershed development plan is divided into four sectors namely agriculture (rainfed and irrigated), pure horticulture, agro-horticulture and silvi pastoral (SilviPastoral + sericulture). Net present value (NPV), Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR), Payback Period (PBR) and internal rate of return (IRR) criteria is employed to judge the economic efficiency of each enterprise, sector and project as a whole.

## DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION

## HUMAN AND LIVESTOCK POPULATION

## Human Population

The total population of the study area is about 83202 , there area total number of 96 villages of the project area with average family size of 6 persons.

The details of the overall population is given in Table 3.1(i).
Area wise details of the villages in the watershed is given in Table 3.1(ii).

## Migration Status

The details of migration status in the project area is given in Table - 3.2.

## PRESENT LAND USE IN THE WATERSHED

Spatial information on land use/land cover is a necessary prerequisite in planning, utilizing and management of natural resources. In the current days context of development planning, information on land use/land cover and the changes over a period of time attain prominence because of its primary requirement in all the planning activities. The present watershed have varied land/use land cover categories as shown in table below. One such map of land use/ land cover of the watershed is shown in Annexure Map. The details of the Landuse/Landcover classes in the project area is given in Table 3.3.

Present Landuse/Landcover of the project area

| S.N | Landuse | Area (ha) | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Built-up land | 364.22 | 5.77 |
| 2 | Waste Land | 470.72 | 7.45 |
| 3 | Water Bodies | 63.45 | 1.03 |
| 4 | Plantation | 186.40 | 2.95 |
| 5 | Agricultural Land | 5225.21 | 82.80 |
| Total |  |  |  |

## DESCRIPTION

The present LU/LC map has been depicted through the satellite data of January, 2010 (Google). A total no. of 6 major categories of LU/LC has been mapped.

## BUILT-UP LAND

All the major settlement areas have been mapped under this category and the total area under category is 364.22 Hectare which is 5.77 \% of the total mapped area. Under this category road network and other built-up area has also been included.

## WASTE LAND

Land which is deteriorating for lack of appropriate water and soil on account of natural causes comes under this category. The total area under this category comes about 470.72 Hectare which is $7.45 \%$ of the total mapped area. The sub categories are like Salt affected Iand, Gullied/Ravenous Land, Scrub Land etc.

## WATER BODIES

This category comprises area with surface water either impounder in the form of ponds, lake \& reservoirs. The total area under this category comes about 63.45 Hectare which is $1.03 \%$ of the total mapped area.

## PLANTATION

These areas are separable from crop land especially with the data acquired during rabi/zaid season. Plantations appear with different size and regular and sharp edges indication the presence of a fence around it. Depending on the location, they exhibit a disbursed or contiguous pattern. The total area under this category comes about 186.40 Hectare which is $2.95 \%$ of the total mapped area.

## AGRICULTRAL LAND

These are the lands primarily used for farming and for production of food; it includes land under the (irrigated and un-irrigated). Areas with standing crop as on the date of satellite overpass. Cropped areas are in varying shape and size in a contiguous and non contiguous pattern. They are widely distributed in different terrains; prominently appear in the irrigated areas irrespective of the source of irrigation. The study area is predominantly paddy producing area being its flatness in 2007-08 maximum production of paddy recorded in this region under the double crop area, sugarcane belt capture 561 Hectare total agriculture land. It is important to know that the project area has maximum two crop areas i.e. Kharif and Rabi. The average size of the agricultural field is less than 0.5 Hectare. The total area under this category comes about 5225.21 Hectare which is 82.80 \% of the total mapped area.

## AGRICULTURE : CROPS, YIELDS, HORTICULTURE ETC.

Various agriculture land uses in the watershed are extended to diversified land capabilities starting from marginal to good class $\mathrm{II}^{\text {nd }}$ lands. The watershed distinctly has three types of land i.e. leveled, sloping and
degraded and undulating. The water (both for irrigation and drinking) is most scarce natural resource in the watershed. The operation of tube wells for irrigation of agricultural crops frequently leads to the drinking water problem to the farmers for watershed.

The agricultural soils in the watershed have diversified texture i.e. clay, silty clay, sand mixed with gravel and loam which are located in patches throughout the watershed. Four types of sandy, loam, Clay, clayloam are the main soil of district-St. Ravidas Nagar. The heavy soils are almost kept fallow during rainy season. The irrigation water is conveyed in earthen channels and surface irrigation methods following mainly border method of free flooding method of irrigation by farmers in the watershed. The factors substantially reduce the water use efficiency of limited available and valuable irrigation water in the watershed. To test the quality of irrigation water samples of water of each selected village has sent to laboratory for testing.

Rehabitation of waste lands with appropriate drought hardy species like Prosopis ju liflora, introduction of suitable multipurpose tree, promoting agro foresting on agricultural lands with appropriate fruit and forest species, suitable vegetative barriers on sloping lands can of high future value in meeting out not only fire wood and fodder demands in the watershed but also for soil and water conservation, Rehabitation of wasteland and substantial income generation for socio-economic uplift of farmers in the watershed.

## One Year Crop Rotation

Single Cropping: Sugarcane Fallow, Paddy
Double Cropping: Sugarcane, wheat, Maize, Potato

## Irrigated Agriculture

One Year Crop Rotation: Sugarcane-fallow, Urad/Moong-Vegetables, Paddy-Gram, Paddy-Lentil, MaizePotato.

## CROP PRODUCTIVITY

Food crop production is a major land based activity in the watershed. Traditional cultivation practices, coupled with poor quality seeds and long duration crops varieties result in low crop yields. Crops are taken under rainfed as well as irrigated conditions. The yield levels of rainfed crops are particularly very poor Large variation has been noticed in productivity of wheat (18-24Qu./ha) and rice (14.5-18 Qu/ha.) under rainfed and irrigation, condition respectively. At present level of rainfed farming. The total produce from Rabi and Kharif crops obtained by a medium size of holding owning family can meet food requirements for upto 6 to 7 months only.

The farmers also do not have suitable cropping systems to deal aberrant weather. Weeds impose considerable constrant in producing of both Kharif and rabi crops under irrigation as well as rain-fed production system. Use of weedicide is rare in the watershed.

The mixed cropping is in practice in limited area with Kharif crops like Sugarcane, Maize+Arhar but it is not only irrational but also unscientific and beset with low productivity. Subsequent rabi crops in general are raised on residual soil moisture under rain-fed production system during past monsoon season. Imbalanced use of fertilizers is common in not only Rabi and Kharif crops but also in rain fed and irrigated production system. The recommended deep ploughing for enhanced in situ residual soil moisture conservation and higher production is also not followed in the watershed. The shallow ploughing tractors drawn tillage implements are available with the farmers in the watershed but deep ploughing implements yet need to be introduced.

The soil fertility/health restoration practices like green manuring, crop rotations and intercropping specially with legumes, use of FYM/compost, vermi-compost , biofertilizers , soil and water conservation measures, use of brought up or in situ mulches are widely lacking in the watershed. The soil and water conservation measures are limited to mechanical/earthen measures created by the state Govt. agencies.

Conservation agronomical measures like seeding and ploughing across the slope, weed mulching, agro-forestry, vegetative barriers etc. also completely lack in the watershed. The cropping intensity in the project area is about

135\%.

## INDIGENOUS TECHNOLOGICAL KNOWLEDGE: (I.T.K.)

Agriculture is an old age occupation which farmers have practiced and improved in their own manner to earn livelihood under the condition of area. The villagers have their traditional village ponds, practice of field bunding, production of Arhar crop on the bunds in paddy area which typically constitute agriculture related ITKs in the watershed. The indigenous farming technology in the watershed is observed to cover a vast spectrum of activities involving tillage, implement crop selection, storage of produce and value condition. Seed drill, seed comfort drills are used with tractor and Nai/chonga with indigenous plough. These ITKs are eco-friendly, cost effective and involve use of local materials with farmers own wisdom. These techniques equip farmers with skills and strength to adopt to the prevailing adverse conditions.

## HORTICULTURE

Though no organized orchards are present in the watershed, homestead planting of fruit trees of mango, papaya, banana and guava etc. has been practiced by farmers.

## AGRO-FORESTRY

The agriculture fields of the village do not have any forest or horticultural plantation. At some places isolated trees of Mahua, Babool, Ber, can be seen, whose frequency is less than one tree per running length of 100 m .

## SEASONAL ANALYSIS

Seasonal analysis has done with the help of farmers about rainfall patterns, cultivated crops, employment, incor availability of fuel, fodder, migration, transport and health hazards, etc. with respect to seasonal variation in year which is shown as below:


## LAND HOLDING PATTERN

Majority of the watershed farmers are in category of marginal ( $<1 \mathrm{ha}$ ) and small (1-2 ha). These small land holding are further scattered in different places which makes cultivation very difficult.

The details of the land holding of the project area is given in Table. 3.6.

## Livestock population

Total live stock population of the watershed is 23902 . Buffalo is preferred as milch animal compare to cow but milk yield is very low. Goats are kept mainly for the meat purpose. Homestead poultry rearing is common among marginal farmers.

## LIVELIHOOD ACTIVITIES

Out of the total population 83202 in the watershed, a majority i.e. more than $45 \%$ has farming as their major source of livelihood followed by $33 \%$ engage in carpet making, labourer and remaining service+ business class.

Income generating activities through Self Help Group, landless and marginal farmers like farming, Animal husbandry, Fisheries, Carpentary, Barberry \& Self-Help Group, Carpet etc. will be executed in the villages of watershed through the involvement of Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Bhadohi, St. Ravidas Nagar. Training of farmers, women, landless rural youth and field level workers will be given at Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Bhadohi, St. Ravidas Nagar.

SUMMARY OF LIVLIHOOD

| No. of <br> Villages | Existing livelihood <br> activities | Possible livelihood intervention <br> under the project | Current status of <br> migration(No. of <br> people) | Main reason of <br> migration |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 96 | Agriculture | Animal husbandry, Fisheries, <br> Carpentary, Barberry \& Self-Help <br> Group, Carpet etc. | 260 | Due to Unemployment <br> in village \& High wages <br> in city |

## INFRASTRUCTURE SOCIAL FEATURES

The watershed has moderate communication facilities and all 96 villages are approachable through motorable road. Literacy rate in the watershed is very low because except some village all villages are having education facilities up to Junior High School. Nearest small market is Bhadohi and district headquarter is St. Ravidas Nagar. Small land holdings (average less than 0.1 ha) with large family size (average 6 person) and more than $50 \%$ of the labour force of the total population living below poverty line indicate poor socio-economic status of the watershed community However a strong community spirit among the village show a positive indication for the success of any programme implemented in a participatory mode. Traditionally, the entire village community participates in the individual's work needing labor such as sowing, harvesting, house construction works etc.

## MEANS OF COMMUNICATION

The watershed can approached from one main road Varanasi to St. Ravidas Nagar. An idenpendent transporation map has been annexed in the map section.

## IMPORTANCE OF DEVELOPMENT INSTITUTION

In the Venn diagram, farmer's perception was recorded for importance and role of different development institutions is relation to infrastructure development in the villages. Importance has been depicted with the size of the circle and role with distance from the village circle.

## DEPENDENCY ON FOREST FOR FUEL WOOD AND FODDER

## (a) Fuel wood

Some villagers of the selected village are using LPG to meet their cooking energy requirements. The main source of fuel is form cow dung cake, woody stem of Arhar crop and Mustard. About 65 to 70 percent of the domestic energy requirement is met from the Agro By-Product and cow dung cake. Rest is met out from the forest outside the village and watershed boundary.

## (b) Fodder:

Villages do not have any significant dependency on forest based fodder as these sources are not available in the forests. There is shortage of green fodder in winter and summer due to inadequate irrigation facility. Due to lack of fodder availability here is Anna Pratha in this area which is the most important reason for more mortality rate of planted trees also.

This also leads to low productivity. There is a lot of ignorance about the use of new farming methods and technologies such as multiple cropping. They don't use FYM and other input in a proper way; that is why they don't get $100 \%$ output. So these factors contribute to low productivity.

## LACK OF ADEQUATE FARM MACHINERY

Even today a large number of farmers in water shade area use wooden ploughs and bullocks. They don't have adequate machinery like seed drill. So, old machineries take more time in tillage practices.

## LACK OF FINANCES FOR FARMERS

In the project area most of the farmers are marginal and small. They do not have enough money to buy good quality seeds, machinery and other inputs.

## LACK OF GOOD QUALITY SEEDS AND FERTILIZERS

Good quality seed, fertilizer and pesticide are important factor in agriculture productivity. The use of good quality leads to higher land productivity. In watershed, however, there are two limitations in the use of fertilizer. First these fertilizers are most useful in irrigated condition. But in watershed 100 per cent of land depend on rainfall. mostly farmers use nitrogenous fertilizers especially urea. This has resulted in disproportionate use of fertilizer depleting the quality of land.

## LACK OF OTHER FACILITIES SUCH AS STORAGE AND MARKETING

$5-10 \%$ of agriculture product damage after harvesting due to scarcity of proper storage and proper market for sale. So he sells to local traders at the low prices. Farmers mainly face proper means of transportation and roads. And second problem is farmers don't have proper storage facilities.

The details of PRA exercise in the Project Area


Dwring of Social Map by villagers in Ramdeopatti


Meeting of Self Help Group in Ahmadpur Phulwariya


Discussion on watershed planning in Anantpur village


Transit work of the villagers in Badmanpur


Transit work of the villagers in Latiya village


Meeting of Self Help Group in Bhulaipur


Dicussion about soil conservation plan in Bardha


Meeting with farmers of Kachhuwa Bojh

## CHAPTER - 4

## INSTITUTION BUILDING \&

 PROJECT MANAGEMENT
## 1. BRIEF DESCRIPTION ABOUT PIA:

## PROJECT MANAGEMENT AGENCY (PIA):

The SLNA would evolve appropriate mechanisms for selecting and approving the PIAs, who would be responsible for implementation of watershed projects in different districts. These PIAs may include relevant line departments, Autonomous organizations under State/Central Governments, Government Institutes/Research bodies, Intermediate Panchayats, Voluntary Organizations (VOS).

However, the following criteria may be observed in the selection of these PIAs:

1- They should preferably have prior experience in watershed related aspects or management of watershed development projects.
2- They should be prepared to constitute dedicated Watershed Development Teams.

Selected PIAs will sign a contract/MOU with the concerned DWSUs/District Level Committee as referred in para29 that will spell out well -defined annual outcomes, against which the performance of each PIA will be monitored each year and evaluated on a regular basis by institutional evaluators from a panel approved by the SLNA/Departmental Nodal Agency at the central level.

Each PIA must put in position a dedicated watershed development team (WDT) with the approval of DWDU. The WDT will be hired on contract/deputation. Transfer etc for a term not exceeding the project period. The composition of the WDT will be indicated in the contract/MOU. No programme funds for DPR and watershed works under any circumstances should be released to either the PIA or Watershed Committee (WC) unless the composition of the WDT has been clearly indicated in the MOU/contract and the team members are fully in place.

## ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE PIA:

The project Implementing Agency(PIA) will provide necessary technical guidance to the Gram Panchayat for preparation of development plans for the watershed through Participatory Rural Appraisal(PRA) exercise, undertake community organization and training for the village communities, supervise watershed development activities, inspect and authenticate project accounts, encourage adoption of low cost technologies and build upon indigenous technical knowledge, monitor and review the overall project implementation and set up institutional arrangements for post-project operation and maintenance and further development of the assets created during the project period.

The PIA, after careful scrutiny, shall submit the action plan for watershed development project for approval of the DWDU/DRDA and other arrangements. The PIA shall submit the periodical progress report to DWDU. The PIA shall also arrange physical, financial and social audit of the work undertaken. It will facilitate the mobilization of additional financial resource from other government programs, such as NREGA, BRGF, SGRY, National Horticulture Mission, Tribal Welfare Schemes, Artificial Ground Water Recharging, Greening India, etc.

## STAFF AT PROJECT IMPLEMENTING AGENCY (PIA)

U.P. Government, Land Development And Water Resources Department section -1 Lucknow has nominated as PIA to Bhoomi Sanrakshan Unit, Land development and water resources Department district St. Ravidas Nagar for IWMP.II.

The details of PIA and their staff pattern is given in Table - 4.1.

## WATERSHED DEVELOPMENT TEAM

The WDT is an integral part of the PIA and will be set up by the PIA. Each WDT should have at least four members, broadly with knowledge and experience in agriculture, soil science, water management, social mobilization and institutional building. At least one of the WDT members should be a woman. The WDT members
should preferably have a professional degree. However, the qualification can be relaxed by the DWDU with the approval of SNLA in deserving cases keeping in view the practical field experience of the candidate. The WDT should be located as close as possible to the watershed project. At the same time, it must be ensured that the WDT should function in close collaboration with the team of experts at the district and state level. The expenses towards the salaries of the WDT members shall be charged from the administrative support to the PIA. DWDU will facilitate the training of the WDT members.

As per new common guideline direction/instruction given in Para 5.3 point 40 P. I. A. has been constituted Watershed Development Team as given in Table -4.2.

## ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF WDT

The WDT will guide the watershed committee (WC) in the formulation of the watershed action plan. An indicative list of the roles and responsibilities of the WDT would include among other $s$, the following.
a. Assist Gram Panchayat /Gram Sabha in constitution of the watershed committee and their functioning.
b. Organizing and nurturing User Groups and Self-Help Groups.
c. Mobilizing women to ensure that the perspectives and interests of women are adequately related in the watershed action plan.
d. Conducting the participatory base -line surveys, training and capacity building.
e. Preparing detailed resource development plans including water and soil conservation or reclamation etc. to promote sustainable livelihood at household level.
f. Common property resource management and equitable sharing.
g. Preparing Detailed Project Report (DPR) for the consideration of Gram Sabha.
h. Undertake engineering surveys, prepare engineering drawing and cost estimates for any structure to be built.
i. Monitoring, checking, accessing, and undertaking physical verification and measurement of work done.
j. Facilitating the development of livelihood opportunities for the landless.
k. Maintaining project accounts.
I. Arranging physical, financial and social audit of the work undertaken.
m . Setting up suitable arrangements for post-project operation, maintenance and future development of the assets created during the project period.

## Social Moibilization and Community Organisation

The participatory approach have been adopted for the community wise development of waterhshed area. Therefore a watershed committee for each micro watershed project have been formed. The details of watershed committee of project area is given Table-4.3.

## SELF HELP GROUP

Self Help Groups are motivated, small homogenous groups organized together through credit and thrift activities. Self help group initiative especially for women, help uplift their livelihood. Generally self help groups include landless and poor women. Before formation of the SHGs, during PRA activities, Focused Group Discussions (FGDs) were held with the women, which came up with the following observations:
a) Lack of proper credit facilities due to low intervention of formal financial credit institution.
b) Excessive exploitation of weaker section by money lenders
c) Lack of attitude for saving among poor people
d) Lack of knowledge on credit and thrift activity and banking.

The details of the self help groups of the project area is given in Table 4.4.

## USER GROUP

User Groups are normally formed to manage an activity or asset created under the programme on a long term basis. The user group collects user charges from their members, oversee the works and manage the benefits. It was decided that each group would formulate certain internal rules and have a feeling of ownership with community spirit. The details of the user groups of the project area is given in Table 4.5.

The SLNA would evolve appropriate mechanisms for selecting and approving the PIAs, who would be responsible for implementation of watershed projects in different districts. These PIAs may include relevant line departments, Autonomous organizations under State/Central Governments, Government Institutes/Research bodies, Intermediate Panchayats, Voluntary Organizations (VOS).

However, the following criteria may be observed in the selection of these PIAs:

3- They should preferably have prior experience in watershed related aspects or management of watershed development projects.

4- They should be prepared to constitute dedicated Watershed Development Teams.

Selected PIAs will sign a contract/MOU with the concerned DWSUs/District Level Committee as referred in para29 that will spell out well -defined annual outcomes, against which the performance of each PIA will be monitored each year and evaluated on a regular basis by institutional evaluators from a panel approved by the SLNA/Departmental Nodal Agency at the central level.

## CHAPTER - 5

MANAGEMENT / ACTION PLAN

## 1. PROBLEM \& NEED OF THE AREA:

The major crop in kharif is rice grown in the project area with productivity of $16.96 \mathrm{q} / \mathrm{Ha}$ which is very low which is due todelay in rice transplantation, poor seed \& variety replacement rate.Decreasing factor productivity in rice, wheat cropping system is a major challenge to accelerate the economy of farmer.Infestation of pod borer \& poor varirty replacement rate in pulses is a major factor in low productivity of pulses \& oil seeds.Broad coasting of seed \& fertilizer in kharif pulses affect the yield of pulse.Most of the milch animal is local breed with low milk potential affect the milk productivity in the study area.

## 2. PROBLEM OF AGRICULTURAL LAND:

Low yield of rice and wheat crop,Infestation of pod borer, small size of agricultural fields, soil erosion and uneven character of serface of the land require better variety of seed,Deficiency of multy nutrient specially sulphur,iron,zink,cheking the wheat yield.Breed improvement of animal and training to the farmers of the study area are the fundamental need.

To deal with these problems A two-fold approach- physical and social, as they are complementaty to each other should be adopted because physical reclamation of land is achieved through chemical treatment of water logged soils and is followed with scientific rotation of crops. Social approach on the other hand is reflected through overall rural reconstruction, promoting agriculture and its productivity in particular.

Consolidation of land holdigs is one of the measures among many.
Soil erosion has become now one of the major environmental problems and a serious constraint for aricultural production. There are many physical and socialfactors which determine the extent and severity of soil erosion.the principal physical factor are erosivity of rainfall, erodibility of soil, severity of periodic floods, length and steepness of the slope. The important social factors are deforestation, over grazing, nature of land use and
mathods of cultivation. On the other hand, sheet erosion cause by rains and erosion due to winds are least visible but eqully serious as the too take a heavy toll of presious top soils.

## Soil conservation:-

Soil and water conservation measures consist of agronomical and mechanical methods. Agronomic methods are supported with mechanical measures where land slope exceeds permissible limits and runoff gains erosive velocities.

The following boxes explain the nature of agronomic measures which are essential in inter-bunded or terraced areas. These practices enhance the utility value of all kinds of mechanical structures.

## Contour Tillage

All agricultural operations such as ridging, ploughing, harrowing, sowing, trenching, etc., are recommended to be done on the contour wherever possible or at least generally across the direction of the slope where holdings are very small. Even though the operation is very simple, it plays a major role in retarding the process of soil erosion through runoff. It also conserves soil, and due to increased time of concentration, more rainwater seeps through the soil profile to recharge ground water. Summer ploughing leaves the soil highly absorbent of initial rains.


## DeaFurrows

When all tillage operations are complete; it is advisable to leave a deep dead furrow at every 10 m interval. This should remain in position until the crop is harvested. Dead furrows aid in reducing the runoff velocity and they also conserve water.

## Organic Matter

The study area soils are very poor in organic matter, especially in deob areas. This can be improved by leaving the crop residue in situ (on the fields).

Adding organic manures such as farmyard manure and compost every year as basal application to the soil improves the physical condition of the soil considerably. Soil - Air, Soil - Temperature, and Soil - Moisture relationships are well balanced with the presence of organic matter. Organic matter improves the activities of soil microorganisms and also provides the much needed micro plant nutrients of all kinds, besides nitrogen, phosphorus and potash.

Addition of large amounts of chemical fertilizers to study area crops should be discouraged as it damages the soil due to:

- excessive depletion of scarce soil moisture for its own transformation;
- reduction in all soil microorganism activity; and
- destruction of soil structure


## Strip Cropping

Raising Erosion Permitting Crops (EPC) with Erosion Resistant Crops (ERC) having abundant adventitious root system and providing high percentage of canopy in strips in a ratio of $2: 1$ or $3: 1$ (i.e. 20 to 10 rows or 30 to 10 rows) helps in trapping soil from EPC strips to ERC strips

The increased resistance to runoff in ERC results in higher volume of water percolating through soil profile, due to increased time of (on-ground) concentration.

The close-growing ERC strips are generally legumes which fix nitrogen in the soil and enrich it.
The canopy of the ERC also protects the soil from beating action of rain drops. Strip cropping also helps in stabilizing crop production.

## HORTICULTURE DEVELOPMENT

As per the current senerio of the country under the yellow revolution, Horticulture is an important component of land use management. Now India is the second largest producer of fruits in the world after Brazil.

However, $53 \%$ of the total geographical area of the country is degraded due to various reasons. Fruit trees and fruit based systems are the viable alternatives for economic utilization of such lands. The basic philosophy behind the conservation horticulture is the use of available resources and skillful choice of fruits. The use of available soil moisture, collection of the runoff water from the catchment area to make up the deficit requirements as well as in situ water harvesting techniques are some of the measures. The in situ water harvesting techniques should be used for growing trees in such a way that each tree has its own micro catchment area. The success of the conservation of horticulture entirely depends on the selection of economically viable hardy varieties of fruit crops resistant to moisture stress or drought and other adverse climate conditions. The fruit crops selected for degraded lands must be such that their maximum growth take place during the period of maximum water availability in the soil and should have low demand.

The main constraints which restrict development of the horticulture land use in degraded lands are enumerated below:

## (A) Basic constraints

1- Lack of suitable agro-techniques for degraded lands
2- Lack of trained resource persons
3- Inadequate dissemination of the technologies
4- Lack of community approach
5- High biotic interference
6- Lack of infrastructure including marketing.
(B) Soil constraints

1- Poor nutrient status of the soil
2- Physical impediment
3- Moisture stress / water logging / inadequate drainage.

## (C) Plant related constraints

1- Problem of plant establishment
2- Physiological disorders
3- Fruit drop and poor productivity
4- Incidence of insects-pests.

However, apart from the above mentioned constraints, the measure bottleneck in horticulture development are poor technological advancements, high initial establishment cost, high input demand, timely operation and seasonal shortage of labours, etc.

## CONCEPTS AND ADVANTAGES OF CONSERVATION HORTICULTURE

Conservation horticulture or horticulture land use based on soil and water conservation principle is a suitable alternative for utilization and management of land under rainfed conditions. Thus horticulture development in watershed management appears to be the most appropriate technique for sustained productivity as well as for restoration of degraded lands. In fact, horticulture system meet all the basic needs-food, fruits, fodder, fuel and timber besides, providing employment and sustaining a number of products for industries.

The fruit trees grown with crops can provide fuel from pruned shoots and dried branches, leaf fodder for animals and leaf litter that can be utilized as mulch material and organic matter the leaf litter of deciduous fruit trees not only protects the top soil from the impact of raindrops but also improve soil structure, reduces evapotranspiration, increases infiltration and add to the nutrient status of soil. Therefore conservation based horticulture land use system assumes great significance as fruit trees on degraded lands provide higher returns and offer alternative opportunity in non-arable areas where cropping may not be possible.

## Horticulture Practices (For plantation)

Some of the important practices are given below:

## 1- Selection of Suitable Fruits Types:

For the success of conservation horticulture, selection of hardy varieties resistant to diseases and pests and use of local or other hardy root stocks for raising fruit-trees is of great importance. The major part of the reproductive cycle ie. Period from flowering to fruiting must also fall during maximum water availability period and the root ripening must be completed before the onset of dry summer (April-May).

Mango, Ber, Guava, Karonda, Bel, Amla, Lemon, and Phalsa etc. are the plants which fulfill this requirement and all these fruit plants are most suitable for this region.

## 2-Planting Techniques:

For degraded lands, pits should be dug of $1 \mathrm{~m} \times 1 \mathrm{~m} \times 1 \mathrm{~m}$ size, the excavated soil is mixed with Farmyard Manure (FYM) @ $5-10 \mathrm{~kg} /$ pit with doses of potash and phosphorous and some insecticide / pesticide (numicide / aldrex) for prevention of white ant. Planting of the fruits plants should be done with the onset of monsoon.

## 3-Use of Root Stokes:

Budding and grafting on the wild root stock gives benefit of the establishment root and in turn provides better quality fruits with high field potential. For example, Ziziphun mauritiana, a wild ber can be successful budded with scion of improved cultivars, This practice is only successful where sizable patch of wild root stock is available. The budded/grafted stock needs intensive management as it is required to be protected from the wild animals, birds, insects, pests etc. The wild root stock develops efficient top root to provide moisture and nutrients to the scion. Amla. Bel is other examples of raising the improved cultivation the wild root stock.

## 4-In Site Water Harvesting:

Since on slopy lands, runoff water is considerably higher, therefore, it should be harvested and used. The run off can be utilized for growing fruit plants in such a way that each tree in the established plants is at the time of fruit setting and fruiting. Moisture available at this critical period improves the fruit yield.

Runoff water will be harvested and stored in tanks during the rains. The stored water will be utilized at the time when the fruit trees show moisture stress during dry months. Counter trenches will dug between the rows of fruit trees because this is effective in conserving moisture and providing soil erosion.

## 5-Mulching:

Mulching is practiced to conserve moisture. It prevents the loss of moisture by evaporation and improve water intake by the soils. Various organic (Straw, hay, manure, tree leaves, dry wads) Mulches are used for mulching. Use of plastic mulch has been taken in rainfed and dryfarrming conditions to increase the productivity by minimizing evapotranspiration losses.

## 6-Drip Irrigation:

Drip irrigation saves water by 40 to 70 percent and two to three times mare area can be irrigated with the same amount of available water. It has the advantages that it ensures uniform distribution of water, provides perfect control over water application and minimizing the losses during convergence and seepage.

In Vedas, Upanishad and Puran, the importance of trees is said. There are 27 Nakshatras in a year and there is one of each Nakshtra. The people should planted the tree of their Nakshtra, And they should be never destroyed.

The name of Nakshtra and their tree are as follows:

| S.No. | Name of Nakshatras | Name of Tree |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | Bharini | Aamla |
| 2. | Kritika | Goolar |
| 3. | Rohini | Jaamun |
| 4. | Mrigshira | Khair |
| 5. | Aadra | Agar |
| 6. | Punarvasu | Baans |
| 7. | Pushya | Peepal |
| 8. | Ashalekha | Chameli |
| 9. | Magha | Bar (Banyan) |
| 10. | Purvafalguni | Dhak |
| 11. | Chitra | Bel |
| 12. | Swati | Arjun |
| 13. | Vishakha | Babool (Acacia) |
| 14. | Mool | Raal Vriksha (Bitumen) |


| 15. | Purvaabhadrapad | Aam (mango) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 16. | Uttaraabhadrapad | Nimbu (Lemon) |
| 17. | Revati | Mahua |

COST IN PLANTING ONE PLANT WITH DIGGING, FILLING MIXED WITH FYM AND COST OF PLANT

| S.No. | Particular | No. | L | B | D/H | Quantity | Rate | Amount |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Earth work in <br> digging | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 36.66 | 36.66 |
| 2 | Cost of FYM, in <br> Kg/pit | 1 | - | - | - | 10 Kg | 8.00 | 80.00 |
| 3 | Filling of pits <br> mixed with FYM <br> and soil | 1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 36.66 | 36.66 |
| 4 | Cost of plants | 1 | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 18.00 | 18.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Agro-horticulture

Marginal lands do not produce good annual crop returns even in normal season. These kinds of soils are best used for raising trees of economic value and creating permanent assets. Some of these lands are also very good for raising horticultural crops such as mango, ber, pomegranate, tamarind etc. A part of the land could be earmarked specially for planting mixed tree species known in the area for providing fuel, fodder and timber for household needs and agricultural implements. Trees provide stable and sustained income every year, especially in drought years.

## Role of Vegetation

Vegetation is crucial in preserving productive soil and conserving rain water for sustaining life. Soil and water need to be preserved for crop production (both annual and plantation crops) as well as plants that give fuel, fodder, fruits, industrial raw materials, medicinal and aromatic plants and the like.

Minor vegetation such as creepers, shrubs, various kind of grasses, legumes and plants like Agave, which yield fibre have an important role when grown in gullies of various kinds and also on upstream and downstream sides of mechanical structures such as gully checks, water harvesting structures, etc. These provide reinforcement and extend the life span of the structures by binding the soil through the network of their root systems. These plants also provide fodder to animals in the area.

## Seed Rates

Normal season : Sowing is done with the normal seed rate. However, if there is a drought during the plant's growth period and wilting is likely to occur, selective thinning is recommended to reduce the plant population to effectively use the scarce soil moisture among fewer plants.

Late season : Where the monsoon is moderately delayed, normal cropping with reduced seed rate is advised.

## Line Sowing

Line-sowing on contours is essential. It arrests runoff and conserves soil being eroded. It helps in the use of labour-efficient implements in weeding (i.e., removal of unwanted vegetation through use of different sizes of blade harrows between the rows).

## Wider Spacing

In all drought-prone areas, the most important objective is to raise a successful crop under scarce soil moisture conditions. One of the recommended practices is wider spacing between rows and between plants within the row. This reduces plant population and competition between plants for scarce soil moisture. Fewer plants have greater access to limited available soil moisture.

## Weeding

Frequent weeding is an important part of dryland agriculture. Line sowing and mechanical weeding, with appropriate size of blade harrows, remove unwanted vegetation which competes with the main crop. It is not uncommon to see the dryland farmer hitching several blade harrows to one yoke and a pair of bullocks. Weeding within rows can be done using hand hoes. Removal of unwanted vegetation helps the main crop obtain greater accessibility to soil moisture and plant nutrients for its own growth.

## Mixed/Inter Cropping

Mixed cropping of different crops along with the main crops, such as millets and different legumes, is an insurance against the vagaries of the monsoon. The different root systems of mixed crop feed at different depths of the soil. Moreover, mixing cropping provides small quantities of grain of different kinds for home consumption at different times.

## Mulching

Mulches are ground covers that prevent the soil from being washed away, reduce evaporation, increase infiltration, and control growth of unwanted weeds. Mulch can be organic crop residue, pebbles, or materials such
as polythene sheets. Mulching prevents the formation of hard crust after each rain. Organic mulches add plant nutrients to soil upon decomposition. Use of blade harrows between rows also creates "dust mulch" by breaking the continuity of capillary tubes of soil moisture.

## Contingent Planning

With every care taken to undertake timely agricultural operations, it is still possible that the whole operation becomes a gamble due to unpredictable monsoons. The main crop could fall in the early part of its life cycle. In such cases, the farmer should come up with an alternate crop that can mature in a very short time and under hard conditions to take advantage of what is left of the rainy season. Contingent planning helps catch and make the best use of late rains. Advance planning is necessary in selecting a contingent crop. And all the requisites for its sowing should be ready within the main season itself. Credit for farmers must be made available at the right time.

## Mechanical Methods

Where the slope of the soil is more than permissible, mechanical measures such as bunding, terracing and trenching are recommended in addition to agronomic methods. Agronomic methods are used in inter-bunded areas and mechanical practices complement to help boost crop yields in rainfed drylands.

## Indigenous technical knowledge

Local technologies evolved by people over generations of experience should be used before any new recommendation is made.


## WATERSHED DEVELOPMENT WORK

Watershed Development works is proposed to be taken up from $2^{\text {nd }}$ year of the initiation of the project. These works are proposed to be taken up from village to village. And allocation of Rs 345.06 Lakh \& $50 \%$ of the total cost has been made for watershed development works.

## Area Treatment Plan

Integrated watershed development program envisage treatment of proposed area with soil \& water conservation works along with development of Horticulture, Afforestation \& development of silvi pastoral system in denuded land unfit for cultivation, following works are proposed under watershed Development works.

1. Constructions of bunds (Field bund, contour bund, submergence bund, Marginal \& peripheral).
2. Renovation of Existing Bund for in-situ moisture conservation.
3. Rain fed Horticulture with and without fencing.
4. Construction of recharge Filter.
5. Construction of new \& renovation of Existing structures/ gully plugs/Check dams.
6. Afforestation and development of silvi- pastoral system.
7. Drainage line treatment (pucca structures, gully plug, check dams).

## FOOD SUFFICIENCY

Achieving self sufficiency in food production is one of the prime objectives of the project. The status of food requirement and production before and after the project is presented.

STATUS OF FOOD REQUIREMENT AND AVAILABILITY PER ANNUM IN WATERSHED

| S. <br> No. | Items | Requirem <br> ent (q/yr) | Before Project |  | Proposed |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Availabili ty ( $q / \mathrm{yr}$ ) | Deficit or surplus | Availability (q/yr) | Deficit or surplus (q/yr) |
| 1 | Cereals | 49915 | 39952 | -9963 | 51235 | + 1320 |
| 2 | Pulses | 15050 | 19772 | +4722 | 21877 | + 6827 |
| 3 | Oil seeds | 11452 | 9895 | -1557 | 12581 | + 1129 |
| 4 | Vegetable | 37591 | 25675 | -11916 | 38093 | + 502 |

## Entry Point activity (EPA)

EPA activities are taken up under watershed projects to build a rapport with the village community at the beginning of the project; generally, certain important works which are in urgent demand of the local community are taken up. A group Discussion was conducted with watershed Development Committee regarding the EPA activity, It was conveyed to the WC that an amount of Rs. 20.53 Lacs was allotted for EPA activity, which was 4 per cent of total allocated budget. The villagers discussed various activities which they felt is important but after a brief discussion it was conveyed to them that only those activities can be taken, which revive the common natural resources. It was also taken into priority that there should be an instrument of convergence which will result in sustainability of activities

Entry point activities (EPA) (All financial figures in lakh Rs.)

| S.No | Name and Code of the Project | Amount earmarked for EPA (\%) | Entry point activities Planned | Estimated Total cost (in lac) | Expected month \& year of completation | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Bardha 2B3A3a1a | 4 | 1. Renovation of old well <br> 2. Renovation of old plate form | 3.885 | Sept. 2011 |  |
| 2 | Badamanpur 2B3A3a1c | 4 | 1. Renovation of of well <br> 2. Renovation of old plate form | 5.70 | Oct. 2011 |  |
| 3 | Kachhuwa Bojh 2B3A3a1d | 4 | 1. Renovation of old well <br> 2. Renovation of old plate form | 2.90 | Aug. 2011 |  |
| 4 | Latiya 2B3A3a1e | 4 | 1. Renovation of old well <br> 2. Renovation of old plate form | 2.65 | Sept. 2011 |  |
| 5 | Chandapur 2B3A1f3a | 4 | 1. Renovation of old well <br> 2. Renovation of old plate form | 2.58 | Oct. 2011 |  |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bhakuda } \\ & \text { 2B3A1f3b } \end{aligned}$ | 4 | 1. Renovation of old well <br> 2. Renovation of old plate form | 2.81 | Dec. 2011 |  |

## CHAPTER - 6

## CAPACITY BUILDING

## CAPACITY BUILDING

Capacity Building is the process of assisting the group or individuals to identify and address issues and gain the insights, knowledge and experience needed to solve problems and implement change.

There is a realization in the development sector that there is a need to appraise the success of development interventions by going beyond the conventional development targets and measures of success (e.g. in the form of commodities, goods and services) to take into account improvements to human potential. Capacity building of stakeholders is also increasingly viewed as an important factor in developmental projects that involve participation of stakeholders at all levels for effective implementation of projects.

## SCOPE OF CAPACITY BUILDING AT PROJECT AREA

- Alternative Land Use Plan
- Scientific technique of Soil and Moisture conservation
- Improved and Scientific agriculture practices
- Fodder development and Management
- Forestation
- Meteorological Information
- Dairy Development and Management
- Rural Craft
- Income Generation Activities
- Stitching
- Food Processing
- Post Harvest management practices

The deails of capacity building of the project area is given in Table -6.1.

## CHAPTER -7 <br> PHASING OF PROGRAMME \& BUDGETING

Watershed management as a strategy has been adopted by Government of India especially in the rain-fed regions of semi-arid tropics. These regions are characterized by low and undependable rain, low soil fertility, poor infrastructure development, low literacy and high incidence of migration. Several studies have identified that there is a dire need of a systematic and scientific approach to deal with watershed development. The common guidelines generate a fresh and flexible framework for the next generation watershed development.

## SCIENTIFIC PLANNING

## Cluster Approach

This envisages integrated development of Geo-hydrological unit i.e. Treatment of cluster of micro watershed. The IWMP St. Ravidas Nagar Project consist of 6 micro watersheds

## Base line Survey

To access the impact of any watershed development programmed a detailed baseline survey has been conducted. This acts a benchmark for any intervention during and post implementation of any development programme. A detailed baseline survey was undertaken which involved household census survey, Bio-physical survey and Village level data collection from Talati - cum mantri. Household census survey includes a detailed questionnaire which has been filled by visiting each and every household in the village. This gave in the details of the demographic profile of the village, the literacy percentage, SC/ST population, number of BPL household, cattle population and net consumption rate in the village, average milk production of the cattle and various schemes running and their benefits

## Participatory Rural Appraisal (PRA)

PRA was developed for quick field - oriented results with objectives as follows :
(a) Appraising agricultural and other needs of rural community;
(b) Prioritizing areas of research tailored to such needs;
(c) Assessing feasibility of developmental needs and action plands;
(d) Implementing action plans, monitoring and evaluating them.

## Use Of GIS And Remote Sensing For Planning

Use of various GIS and Remote Sensing Technologies has been promoted at various stages of watershed development.

## Prioritization

Geographical Information System (GIS) has been used for prioritization process. Various maps were created using spatial and non spatial data like Geo-morphological maps, Soil data, Crop productivity data, Meteorological data, BPL Population, SC/ST population, Ground water Status, Drinking water situation, Slope percent. These were all given proper weightage according to the DoLR specification. This will be helpful for effective dissemination of information on land and water resources to the users.

## Planning

An action plan matrix was formulated by State Level Nodal Agency (SLNA) taking into account various features like the slope percent, Soil Depth, Soil Texture, Soil erosion in the area for wasteland, forest land and agricultural land. Global positioning System (GPS) was used to identify each and every water conservation structures available in the project area. This was used to create a map. Contour Map of vertical interval of 0.3 meter at a scale of 1:4000 was used for identifying various locations for soil and water conservation structures. GIS study is used to identify the area require the degree of concentration for the implementation of Watershed Plan.

## Hydrological modeling

Hydrology modeling technique was used for locating drainage, stream length, flow direction, sink and flow accumulation. This model overlaid over cadastral map to calculate the catchment area of each structures like the check dam etc. This has helped to remove the human error which generally occurs while calculating the catchment area of a check dam.

Bio-physical survey was undertaken to identify various natural resources available in the village. It included the soil typology, wells in the area, crop taken in the field, Cropping pattern, fertilizer used and various sources of irrigation in the field. The details of scientific planning and inputs of the projects area is given in Table 7.1.

## WATER BUDGETTING

The over all information about the water budgeting is given below :
The total runoff of the water from ridge to value is about 437.00 ( $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{year}$ ). The status is ground water is about 12 to 17 mtrs. The water harvesting structures have been proposed for the upliftment of ground water table.

## RUNOFF VOLUME OF WATERSHED

To check the runoff the water the existing structure should be restrore like marginal bund, farm bund etc. A Planning for ridge to valley basis have been proposed and accordingly the structures should be made.

## (i) Prepatory Phase

As per the requirement of the project area briefly discussed with watershed development teem and the farmers of the project area following activities as prepatory level are being given below :

- Repairment of existing wells.
- Construction of common chabutra.
- Repairment of chuckroad and other kharanja.
- Treatment of drainage.

Brief details is given in Table 7.2.

## (ii) Watershed Work Phase:

As discussed in the earlier chapter the protection of watershed and maintenaning ecological balance the area should be treated by constructing countour bunds, marginal bunds, peripherals bunds etc. as required according the slope of the project area.

## (iii) Livelihoods:

In income generating activities through Self Help Group, landless and marginal farmers are advised to use three or four cows of SANKER breed or two or three buffalos of MURRA breed, for their good life.

## Establishment of Goat Units for S.H.G.'s formed in I.W.M.P. II ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Project

District St. Ravidas Nagar is situated in Eastern U.P. region where the number of sheep is very less and they are small in nature. Goat population is appreciable and in fact, it is the major source of livelihood for poor people of the district.

In the state, on an average, 16 kg of meat is obtained from a goat, if they are dewormmed twice, there shall be increment of 4 kg in meat on an average, benefiting the farmers of the state.

Deworming and vitamins, mineral- supplement to the goats shall enhance their productivity and also improve anti-body response and protection level through vaccination, i.e., importance in efficiency of vaccination. More productivity and assured health and low mortality shall result into adoption of more farmers to goat farming with the formation of more S.H.G.'s and in turn availability of goats for processing units.

Goat excreta shall be of immense help in enrichment of soil fertility.

## Establishment of Goat Units for S.H.G.'s

In Eastern U.P. region, due to the geo-climate conditions and land pattern is favorable for goat husbandry. Goats thrive well in dry and semi-dry climate with bushes and thorny vegetation. Presently in this area, farmers rear goats for their livelihood. If goat husbandry would be transformed to intensive husbandry, there shall be more economic stability of farmers, more profit sharing and availability of running capital for future expansion. Keeping in view the above facts, goat units shall be formed in the area in intensive way.

16 Goat Units are proposed in I.W.M.P. II $^{\text {nd }}$ Project for S.H.G. One unit constituting 10 goats and 1 buck will be distributed to one S.H.G.

A register of S.H.G. will be maintained by Secretary of S.H.G. in the supervision of W.D.T. member. The details of beneficiaries of S.H.G. including the breed of goat reared, breeding and feeding status, deworming status, deaths, post mortem conducted claim settlement and working status of unit will be maintained in the register.

Preferences shall be given in consecutive years in purchasing the goats and bucks for new units, from old units for which database maintained shall be of use and it should be assured by buy back arrangement. The details of goat rearing estimate is given in Table 7.3.

## Activities of Self Help Groups

Vebbing of carpets, goat rearing, sheep rearing and pheri of carpet are the main SHG activities of the project area.

## Head \& activity wise budget estimates

Year wise and headwise summery of budget expenditure may be given in Table. 7.4.

## CHAPTER -8 <br> QUALITATIVE ISSUES

## PLAN FOR MONITORING OF THE PROJECT

The above project would be monitored regularly by the Watershed Development Team as per the norms of common guideline 2008. There will be every fortnight monitoring schedule have been proposed by the PIA of the project. A Web-based GIS system is being developed for monitoring and evaluating the project in its planning \& implementation phases. The system would be available on a public domain and can be accessed by all the stakeholder of the project. The system shows the entire state of Uttar Pradesh and all of those areas selected over the next 18 years. Filtering allows the user to zoom onto one particular project. Details related to soil type, Landuse classification, inhabitation etc., can be obtained village-wise. Furthermore, survey-number wise details related to ownership, irrigation source, yield etc., can also be accessed by the users of the system. This system is being used for pooling up the details obtained from the DPR. In other words, the DPR is made available online in the form of a database which will help the stakeholders know areas of importance viz., already treated areas/historical works in the area, proposed areas for treatment etc., for further treatment and planning. The system would also show the satellite imageries of various years from the project inception stage to the project closing stages. This allows the user to evaluate the effectiveness of the treatment and thereby plan corrective measures for the project area. The system would serve as an aiding tool to the planners and evaluate the effectiveness of the treatment and thereby plan corrective measures for the project area. The system would serve as an aiding tool to the planners and evaluators for judging the efficacy of the project.

## PLAN FOR EVALUATION OF THE PROJECT

The evaluation of the project would be done by the state and central agency as proposed by the SLNA of the state. The evaluation of the project would also be done by the expert of the respective field like Water Management and Land Resources Management after the completion of the project.

## PLAN FOR PROJECT MANAGEMENT

The project management of a watershed programme is very important. It mainly depends upon the community organisation and the village level institutes. In watershed committee and various user groups have been formulated for post project operation and maintenance of assets created during project period. Major emphasis will on equity and sustainable benefit of the project even after implementation stage. A proper linkup will be built during project period with various institutes and capacity building organisation. They will act as a major kingpin during post implementation for scaling up the successful experience during project.

## CHAPTER -9 <br> CONSOLIDATION / EXIT STRATEGY

## WATERSHED DEVELOPMENT FUND

The major source of financial assistance after post implementation period is Watershed Development Fund. The contribution of it will comes mainly from the fund generated.

## USER CHARGES

Various user groups will be formed in village. These user groups will collect user according to the designated rules formed during the formation of user group. These funds will be transferred to the WDF funds as per these formulated rules. The secretary of watershed committee (WC) shall maintain the records.

## SUSTAINABILITY AND ENVIRONMENT SECURITY

In the proposed watershed management plan of I.W.M.P.-2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ St. Ravidas Nagar watershed, proper blending of bio engineering measures will be applied on $60 \%$ of the total watershed area. Based on the results of studies conducted in this region, it is estimated that more $50 \%$ of the watershed area will be treated and consequently the soil loss and runoff from the area is expected to be reduced by $70 \%$ and $65 \%$ respectively. The proposed land use plan will improve the land utilization index and crop diversification index significantly as compared to the existing one. It will help in maintaining ecosystem integrity on sustained basis along with improving the livelihood security of the farming community.

## ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

Economic analysis of the project was carried by taking direct benefits and costs considering 25 year project life at 10 per cent discount rate. For this purpose of economic analysis, whole watershed development plan was divided into three sectors namely,

Agriculture, horticulture and forest/fuel wood plantation. Net present value(NPV), Benefit cost ratio (BC) ratio criteria were employed to judge the economic efficiency of each enterprise and sector.

## AGRICULTURE

In rainfed agriculture the development cost can be recovered within one year as the present rainfed agriculture is being done on well maintained field, therefore, does not require much investment.

## HORTICULTURE

The Economic analysis of the horticulture plantation in agri-horticulture system at I.W.M.P.-2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ watershed has been done and it is expected that the Project life is considered to be 25 years and discount rate for NPV estimation is $10 \%$.

## CHAPTER -10 EXPECTED OUTCOME

## EMPLOYMENT

Employment has always been a problem in the village. The principal occupations of the people are dry land agriculture, animal husbandry and casual labour work. Animal husbandry does not keep them engaged full time, Thus the people mainly depend upon casual labour, either in the village itself or outside it.

The project plans for creation of both wage employment and self employment opportunities. Wage employment would be created by engaging people in watershed physical works like construction of earthen bunds, farm bunds, village pond, plantation, etc. Self employment would be created by providing the people with cash support in the form of direct livelihood activities like agriculture, animal husbandry and other enter prise development.

Labour migration in search of gainful employment is one of the major problems in the remote watershed in particular. Causal employment opportunities will be generated during the implementation of the project activities. However, the changes in land use pattern and adoption of other subsidiary enterprises will generate employment opportunities for persons in the watershed. The details of the employment generation is given in Table - $\mathbf{1 0 . 1}$.

## MIGRATION

On account of agriculture and animal husbandry providing only part time employment for some part of the year, the people migrate for a better half of the year for wage labour. Employment opportunities in the local area as mentioned above will ensure lessening seasonal migration from the area. The detail of the migration is given in Table 10.2.

## DRINKING WATER

As a result of the watershed activities, it is expected that the quantity and quality of drinking water would be improve. The ground water quality of the project area is normal to good, the average Ph value is 6.7 to 7.8 , the Electric conductivity of the ground water is about 957 to $1125 \underline{\mathrm{U}}$. The overall analysis of the ground water shows that the water is good for the drinking purpose. The water level in the project area ranges from 14.0 to 17.0 metre. Status of the drinking water is given in Table -10.3(i).

## VEGETATIVE COVER

There is negligible area under tree cover. The village has a negligible forest area which consists of only Prosopis Juliflora (babool). Trees like Neem and Alianthus are seen just here and there, not concentrated in any area. Status of the ground water is given in Table -10.3(ii).

## AGRICULTURE

Food crop production is a major land based activity in the watershed. Traditional cultivation practices, coupled with poor quality seeds and long duration crops varieties result in low crop yields. Crops are taken under rainfed as well as irrigated conditions. The yield levels of rainfed crops are particularly very poor. Large variation has been noticed in productivity of wheat and Jowar under rainfed and irrigation, condition respectively. At present level of rainfed farming, the total produce from Rabi and Kharif crops obtained by a medium size of holding owning family can meet food requirements for up to 6 to 7 months only

The farmers also do not have suitable cropping systems to deal aberrant weather. Weeds impose considerable constraints in producing of both Kharif and rabi crops under irrigation as well as rain-fed production system. Use of weedicide is rare in the watershed. It is expected that the post project period would see a substantial increase in agriculture production and yield from them. The details of crop area is given in Table-10.4.

## LIVESTOCK

The village has quite a good of livestock population. These include cows, bullocks, buffaloes, goats. The interventions like provision of good quality cows and buffaloes, the establishment of a fodder bank and other such related activities would spur up the dairy development in the village. It is expected that the post project period would see a substantial increase in livestock population and yield from them. The details of livestock is given in

## Table- 10.5.

## ABTRACT OF OUTCOMES

The over all assessment of the project certain parameters have been evaluated on the present and future basis. As mentioned in the above the food grain production according to the expenditure have been analysised after the completion of the project. The ratio of cost benefit is given in Table - $\mathbf{1 0 . 9}$ (a).

Overall assessment of the project is given in the Table-10.9(b).

## Chapter-11

## COST NORMS \& DESIGN OF STRUCTURE PROPOSED

DRAWING OF WELL


## DETAIL ESTIMATE OF JAGAT OF WELL

| S.No. | Description of Work | No. | L | B | D/H | Quantity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Earth work in foundation | 1 | $3.14 \times 7.4$ | 1.20 | 1.00 | 27.88 |
| 2. | Laying of sand | 1 | $3.14 \times 7.4$ | 1.00 | 0.10 | 2.32 |
| 3. | C.C.W. 1:4:8 | 1 | $3.14 \times 7.4$ | 1.00 | 0.15 | 3.48 |
| 4. | Brick Work 1:4 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.14 \times 7.4 \\ & 3.14 \times 7.4 \\ & 3.14 \times 7.4 \\ & 3.14 \times 3.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.80 \\ & 0.60 \\ & 0.40 \\ & 0.40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.40 \\ & 0.40 \\ & 0.90 \\ & 0.20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.43 \\ & 5.57 \\ & 8.36 \\ & 0.85 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 22.21 |
| 5. | Filling of earth work | 1 | $3.14 \times 5.4$ | 1.60 | 0.75 | 20.34 |
| 6. | C.C.W. 1:4:8 | 1 | $3.14 \times 5.4$ | 1.60 | 0.15 | 4.06 |
| 7. | C.C.W. 1:2:4 | 1 | $\{(3.14 \times 7.8 \times 7.8) / 4-(3.14 \times 3.8 \times 3.8) / 4\} \times 0.05$ |  |  | 1.821 |
| 8. | Raised pointing | 1 | $3.14 \times 7.8$ | - | 0.90 | 22.04 |

CONSUMPTION OF MATERIALS

| S. No. | Description of Work | Quantity | Cement Bags | Coarse Sand (cum) | Brick (cum) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { G.S.B. 25-40 } \\ & \text { mm (cum) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grit } \mathbf{1 0 - 2 0} \\ & \mathrm{mm} \text { (cum) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Sand Laying | 2.32 cum | - | 2.320 | - | - | - |
| 2. | C.C.W. 1:4:8 (4.06 + 3.48) | 7.54 cum | 25.63 | 3.393 | - | 7.012 | - |
| 3. | Brick Work 1:4 | 22.21 cum | 53.30 | 7.551 | 22.21 | - | - |
| 4. | C.E.W. 1:2:4 | 1.821 cum | 11.10 | 0.764 | - | - | 1.547 |
| 5. | Raised Pointing | $22.04 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | 1.01 | 0.103 | - | - | - |
| Total |  |  | 91.04 | 14.131 | 22.21 | 7.012 | 1.547 |
| Say |  |  | 91 bags | 14.13 cum | 22.20 | 7.01 | 1.55 |

COST OF MATERIALS

| S.No. | Particulars | Quantity | Rate | Amount |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Cement | 91 Bags | $285.00 / \mathrm{bag}$ | 25935.00 |
| 2. | Coarse Sand | 14.13 cum | $2500.00 / \mathrm{cum}$ | 35325.00 |
| 3. | Coarse | 20.20 cum | $950.00 / \mathrm{cum}$ | 19190.00 |
| 4. | Brick Ballast $25-40 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 7.01 cum | $855 / \mathrm{cum}$ | 5993.55 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## LABOUR CHARGES

| S.No. | Particulars | Quantity | Rate | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Earth Work | 48.22 cum | 36.66/cum | 1769.01 |
| 2. | Sand Laying | 2.32 cum | 33.33/cum | 77.32 |
| 3. | C.C.W. 1:4:8 | 7.54 cum | 492.00/cum | 3709.68 |
| 4. | C.C.W. 1:2:4 | 1.821 cum | 492.00/cum | 894.11 |
| 5. | Brick Work | 22.210 cum | 370.00/cum | 8217.70 |
| 6. | Raised Pointing | $22.04 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | 51.61/m ${ }^{2}$ | 7.48 |
| 7. | Curing 22.21 | 22.210 cum | 25.00/cum | 555.25 |
| 8. | Chowkidar | 13 Man days | 100.00/man day | 1300.00 |
| 9. | Head load \& transportation charges $10 \%$ of cost of materials |  |  | 6674.90 |
| Total |  |  |  | Rs. 24,335.45 |


| TOTAL EXPENDITURE |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Cost of materials | $65,914.00$ |  |  |
| 2. Labour charges \& transportation | $24,335.45$ |  |  |
| Total | Rs. 90,249.45 |  |  |
| Say Rs. 90,250.00 only |  |  |  |

## DETAIL ESTIMATE OF INDIA MARK-II HAND PUMP FOR WATER SHED AREA, DISTRICT - ST. RAVIDAS NAGAR U.P.

| S.No. | Description of work | Unit | Quantity | Rate | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Transportation of rig machine, hand Pump material, P.V.C. Pipe, strainer and cement from store to work site. | work | 1 | 3000.00 | 3000.00 |
| 2 | Supply of material to install Hand Pump <br> i- Lowering and installation of India mark-II Hand Pump with G.I. Pipe \& connecting rods. <br> ii- $\quad 140 \mathrm{~mm}$ P.V.C. Pipe $8 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{cm} 2$. <br> iii- medium G.I.Pipe of the $32 \mathrm{~mm} \varnothing$. | No. <br> metre <br> metre | 20 <br> 30 | $5380.00$ <br> 240.00 <br> 202.90 | $\begin{aligned} & 5380.00 \\ & 4800.00 \\ & 6087.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 | Boring work by D.T.H. Rig machine and lowering of P.V.C. assembling | meter | 50 | 396.00 | 19800.00 |
| 4 | Development of Hand Pump after installation | work | 1 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| 5 | work after development with material | work | 1 | 50.00 | 50.00 |
| 6 | construction of Platform of $1.86 \mathrm{~m} \varnothing$ with all material and cement | work | 1 | 2525.00 | 2525.00 |
| 7 | construction of channel with all material and labour | meter | 3 | 125.00 | 375.00 |
| 8 | Embossing work | work | 1 | 50.00 | 50.00 |
| 9 | Water testing work | work | 1 | 400.00 | 400.00 |
|  | Total Rs. $42,567.00$ <br> Says Rs. $42,600.00$ |  |  |  |  |

## DRAWING OF PANCHAYATI CHABUTARA



1. C.C.W.-1:4:8.
2. Brick Work $-1: 4$
3. Plastering- $1: 4$
4. Raised Pointing- $1: 3$.

DETAIL ESTIMATE OF WATERSHED VILLAGE CHABUTARA

| S.No. | Description of Work | No. | L. | B. | D/H | Quantity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Earth work in foundation Long Wall <br> Short Wall | $2$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.00 \\ & 4.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.20 \\ & 1.20 \end{aligned}$ | 1.151 .15 | $\begin{gathered} 22.08 \\ 11.04 \end{gathered}$ |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 33.12 cum |
| 2. | Laying of Sand Long Wall Short Wall | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.60 \\ & 3.60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \\ & 1.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.10 \\ & 0.10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.32 \\ & 0.72 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 2.04 cum |
| 3. | C.C.W. 1:4:8 <br> Long Wall <br> Short Wall | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.60 \\ & 3.60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \\ & 1.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.15 \\ & 0.15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.98 \\ & 1.08 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 3.06 cum |
| 4. | Brick masonary work 1:4 in foundation \& super structure <br> 1st Footing. <br> Long Wall <br> Short Wall <br> $2^{\text {nd }}$ Footing <br> Long Wall <br> Short Wall <br> Super Structure | 2 <br> 2 <br> 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.40 \\ & 3.80 \\ & 6.20 \\ & 4.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.80 \\ & 0.80 \\ & 0.60 \\ & 0.60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.40 \\ & 0.40 \\ & 0.40 \\ & 0.40 \end{aligned}$ | 4.096 $2.432$ <br> 2.976 <br> 1.920 |



ABSTRACT OF WORK

| 1. | Earth Work | $33.12+16.38$ | 49.50 cum |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | Sand Laying | 2.040 cum |  |
| 3. | C.C.W. 1:4:8 | $3.060+3.276$ | 6.336 cum |
| 4. | Brick Work 1:4 | 18.568 cum |  |
| 5. | C.C.W. $1: 2: 4$ | 1.500 cum |  |
| 6. | Raised Pointing 1:3 | $19.80 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |  |

## CONSUMPTION OF MATERIALS

| S.No. | Particulars | Quantity | Cement <br> (cum) | Coarse <br> Sand (cum) | Brick (cum) | G.S.B. 25-40 <br> mm (cum) | Brick Grit <br> $\mathbf{1 0 - 2 0 ~ m m ~}$ <br> (cum) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Sand Laying | 2.040 cum | - | 2.040 | - | - | - |
| 2. | C.C.W 1:4:8 | 6.336 cum | 21.54 | 2.851 | - | 5.892 | - |
| 3. | Brick Work | 18.768 cum | 45.04 | 6.381 | 18.768 | - | - |
| 4. | C.C.W. 1:2:4 | 1.500 cum | 9.15 | 0.630 | - | - | 1.275 |
| 5. | Raised Pointing | $19.800 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | 0.91 | 0.093 | - | - | - |

COST OF MATERIALS

| S.No. | Particulars | Quantity | Rate | Amount |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Cement | 77 Bags | $285 / \mathrm{Bag}$ | 21945.00 |
| 2. | Coarse Sand | 12.00 cum | $910.00 / \mathrm{cum}$ | 10920.00 |
| 3. | Coarse | 11.04 cum | $950.00 / \mathrm{cum}$ | 10490.40 |
| 4. | G.S.B. $25-40 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 5.900 cum | $855.00 / \mathrm{cum}$ | 5044.00 |
| 5. | G.S. Grit $10-20 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 1.280 cum | $1250.00 / \mathrm{cum}$ | 1600.00 |

## LABOUR CHARGES

| S.No. | Particulars | Quantity | Rate | Amount |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Earth Work | 49.50 cum | $36.66 / \mathrm{cum}$ | 1814.67 |
| 2. | Sand Laying | 2.060 cum | $33.33 / \mathrm{cum}$ | 68.65 |
| 3. | C.C.W. 1:4:8 | 6.336 cum | $494.00 / \mathrm{cum}$ | 3129.98 |
| 4. | C.C.W. 1:2:4 | 1.500 cum | $494.00 / \mathrm{cum}$ | 741.00 |
| 5. | Brick Work 1:4 | 18.768 cum | $370.00 / \mathrm{cum}$ | 6944.16 |
| 6. | Raised Pointing 1:3 | $19.800 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | $51.61 / \mathrm{cum}$ | 1021.87 |
| 7. | Curing Charges | 18.768 cum | $25.00 / \mathrm{cum}$ | 469.20 |
| 8. | Chowkidar | 6 Man Days | $100.00 /$ Man Day | 600.00 |


| Total Expenditure |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. Cost of Materials | $57,338.60$ |
| 2. Labour Charges | $14,789.53$ |
| Total | Rs. 72,128.13 |
| Say | Rs. 72,130 only |

## DRAWING OF PANCHAYATI CHABUTARA


5. C.C.W.-1:4:8.
6. Brick Work - $1: 4$
7. Plastering- $1: 4$
8. Raised Pointing- $1: 3$.

DETAIL ESTIMATE OF WATERSHED VILLAGE CHABUTARA

| S.No. | Description of Work | No. | L. | B. | D/H | Quantity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Earth work in foundation Long Wall <br> Short Wall | $2$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.00 \\ & 4.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.20 \\ & 1.20 \end{aligned}$ | 1.151 .15 | $\begin{gathered} 22.08 \\ 11.04 \end{gathered}$ |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 33.12 cum |
| 2. | Laying of Sand Long Wall Short Wall | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.60 \\ & 3.60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \\ & 1.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.10 \\ & 0.10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.32 \\ & 0.72 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 2.04 cum |
| 3. | C.C.W. 1:4:8 <br> Long Wall <br> Short Wall | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.60 \\ & 3.60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \\ & 1.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.15 \\ & 0.15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.98 \\ & 1.08 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | 3.06 cum |
| 4. | Brick masonary work 1:4 in foundation \& super structure <br> 1st Footing. <br> Long Wall <br> Short Wall <br> $2^{\text {nd }}$ Footing <br> Long Wall <br> Short Wall <br> Super Structure | 2 <br> 2 <br> 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.40 \\ & 3.80 \\ & 6.20 \\ & 4.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.80 \\ & 0.80 \\ & 0.60 \\ & 0.60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.40 \\ & 0.40 \\ & 0.40 \\ & 0.40 \end{aligned}$ | 4.096 $2.432$ <br> 2.976 <br> 1.920 |


|  | Long Wall <br> Short Wall |  | $2$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.00 \\ & 4.20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.40 \\ & 0.40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.90 \\ & 0.90 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.320 \\ & 3.024 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18.768 cum |
| 5. | Earth work in filling |  | 1 | 5.20 | 4.20 | 0.75 | 16.38 cum |
| 6. | C.C.W. 1:4:8 |  | 1 | 5.20 | 4.20 | 0.15 | 3.276 cum |
| 7. | C.C.W. 1:2:4 |  | 1 | 6.00 | 5.00 | 0.05 | 1.500 cum |
| 8. | Raised Pointing 1:3 <br> Long Wall <br> Short Wall |  | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.00 \\ & 5.00 \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 0.90 \\ & 0.90 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.80 \\ & 9.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | $19.80 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |

ABSTRACT OF WORK

| 1. | Earth Work | $33.12+16.38$ | 49.50 cum |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | Sand Laying |  | 2.040 cum |
| 3. | C.C.W. 1:4:8 | $3.060+3.276$ | 6.336 cum |
| 4. | Brick Work 1:4 | 18.568 cum |  |
| 5. | C.C.W. 1:2:4 | 1.500 cum |  |
| 6. | Raised Pointing 1:3 | $19.80 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |  |

## CONSUMPTION OF MATERIALS

| S.No. | Particulars | Quantity | Cement <br> (cum) | Coarse <br> Sand (cum) | Brick (cum) | G.S.B. 25-40 <br> mm (cum) | Brick Grit <br> $\mathbf{1 0 - 2 0 ~ m m ~}$ <br> (cum) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Sand Laying | 2.040 cum | - | 2.040 | - | - | - |
| 2. | C.C.W 1:4:8 | 6.336 cum | 21.54 | 2.851 | - | 5.892 | - |
| 3. | Brick Work | 18.768 cum | 45.04 | 6.381 | 18.768 | - | - |
| 4. | C.C.W. 1:2:4 | 1.500 cum | 9.15 | 0.630 | - | - | 1.275 |
| 5. | Raised Pointing | $19.800 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | 0.91 | 0.093 | - | - | - |

COST OF MATERIALS

| S.No. | Particulars | Quantity | Rate | Amount |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Cement | 77 Bags | $285 / \mathrm{Bag}$ | 21945.00 |
| 2. | Coarse Sand | 12.00 cum | $910.00 / \mathrm{cum}$ | 10920.00 |
| 3. | Coarse | 11.04 cum | $950.00 / \mathrm{cum}$ | 10490.40 |
| 4. | G.S.B. $25-40 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 5.900 cum | $855.00 / \mathrm{cum}$ | 5044.00 |
| 5. | G.S. Grit $10-20 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 1.280 cum | $1250.00 / \mathrm{cum}$ | 1600.00 |

## LABOUR CHARGES

| S.No. | Particulars | Quantity | Rate | Amount |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Earth Work | 49.50 cum | $36.66 / \mathrm{cum}$ | 1814.67 |
| 2. | Sand Laying | 2.060 cum | $33.33 / \mathrm{cum}$ | 68.65 |
| 3. | C.C.W. 1:4:8 | 6.336 cum | $494.00 / \mathrm{cum}$ | 3129.98 |
| 4. | C.C.W. 1:2:4 | 1.500 cum | $494.00 / \mathrm{cum}$ | 741.00 |
| 5. | Brick Work 1:4 | 18.768 cum | $370.00 / \mathrm{cum}$ | 6944.16 |
| 6. | Raised Pointing 1:3 | $19.800 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | $51.61 / \mathrm{cum}$ | 1021.87 |
| 7. | Curing Charges | 18.768 cum | $25.00 / \mathrm{cum}$ | 469.20 |
| 8. | Chowkidar | 6 Man Days | $100.00 /$ Man Day | 600.00 |


| Total Expenditure |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. Cost of Materials | $57,338.60$ |
| 2. Labour Charges | $14,789.53$ |
| Total | Rs. 72,128.13 |
| Say | Rs. 72,130 only |

## DETAILS ESTIMATE OF WATERSHED DEVELOPMENT WORK PHASE


(C.B., Cross-Section $-1.085 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ )

(Field Bund, Cross-Section - $0.50 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ )

(S.B., Cross-Section -1.845 m$^{2}$ )

(S.B. /P.B. /M.B., Cross-Section - $3.445 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ )
(All dimensions in Metre)

DRAWING OF EARTHEN CHEKDAM / GULLY PLUG

(C.D. /G.P., Cross-Section - 11.97 m $^{2}$ )
(C.D. /G.P., Cross-Section - $17.50 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ )

(W.H.B., Cross-Section - $22.00 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ )
(All dimensions in Metre)

## DESIGN OF CONTOUR BUND

| Type of Soil | -Loam,Sandy Loam |
| :---: | :---: |
| Rain fall | $-24 \mathrm{hr} \mathrm{in} \mathrm{cm}-25 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| Field Stop -1\% |  |
| Vertical Interval (VI) | $=[s / 3+2] \times 0.3$ |
|  | $=[1 / 3+2] \times 0.3$ |
|  | $=0.70 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Horizontal Interval (HI) | $=100 \times \mathrm{V} .1 / \mathrm{s}$ |
|  | $=100 \times 0.7 / 1$ |
| Height of bund h | $=\sqrt{(R e x \mathrm{VI}) / 50} \quad$ Re=maximum rainfall in cm |
|  | $=\sqrt{(25 \times 0.7) / 50}$ |
|  | $=\sqrt{0.35}$ |
|  | $=0.59$ |
|  | Say 0.60 m |
| Free board | = $15 \%$ of height minimum -10 cm |
| Height | $=0.60+0.10$ |
|  | $=0.70 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Taking top width of bund 0.50 m and side slope 1.5:1 |  |
| Then base of Bund | $=0.50+(1.50 \mathrm{~d}) \times 2$ |
|  | $=2.60 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Cross-Section of bund | $=(0.50+2.60) \times 0.70 / 2$ |
|  | $=1.085 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Length of bund | $=100 \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{V} . \mathrm{I}$. |
|  | $=100 \times 1 / 0.70$ |
|  | $=142.85 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{ha}$ |
|  | Say $150 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{ha}$ |
| Earth work/ha | $=150 \times 1.085$ |
|  | $=162.75 \mathrm{cum}$ |
| Cost Rs. / ha | $=162.75 \times 39.16=6373.29$ |
|  | Say 6375.00 |

## DESIGN OF SUBMERGENCE BUND

| Types of soil - -Loam,Sandy Loam | Rainfall intensity for $24 \mathrm{hrs}-25 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Field slope 3\% | V.I. $=[\mathrm{s} / 3+2] \times 0.30$ |
|  | $=0.90 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Horizontal Interval $=(100 x V . \mathrm{I}) /$. | $=(100 \times 0.90) / 3$ |
|  | $=30 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Height of bund $\mathrm{h}=\sqrt{(\text { Rex V. I. }) / 50}$ | $=\sqrt{(25 \times 0.90) / 50}=\sqrt{0.45}=0.67 \mathrm{~m}$. Say 0.70 m |
| Free board 20\% of height minimum 20 cm |  |
| Total Height | =0.90m |
| Taking top width of bund 0.70 m and side slope 1.5:1 |  |
| Bottom of bund | $=0.70+2 \times 1.5 \mathrm{~d}$ |
|  | $=0.70+2.70$ |
|  | $=3.40$ |
| Cross Section of Submergence Bund | $=(0.70+3.40) \times 0.90 / 2$ |
|  | $=1.845 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Length of bund | $=100 \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{V} . \mathrm{I}$. |
|  | $=(100 \times 3) / 0.90$ |
|  | $=333 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Feasible length | $100+25+25$ |
|  | $=150 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Earth work/ha | $=150 \times 1.845$ |
|  | $=276.75$ |
| Cost per ha | $=276.75 \times 39.16$ |
|  | =10,837.53 |
|  | Say 10,850=00 |

TYPICAL SECTION OF FIELD BUND

Top width

Side slope
Height of bound
Bottom Width

Cross section
Length per hectare
Earthwork
Cost 39.16/cum
Cost per hectare
$=0.50 \mathrm{~m}$
$=1: 1$
$=0.50 \mathrm{~m}$
$=1.50 \mathrm{~m}$
$=(0.50+1.50) \times 0.50 / 2=0.50 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
$=200 \mathrm{~m}$
$=200 \times 0.50=100 \mathrm{cum}$
=Rs. 3916.00
$=$ Rs. 3916.00

## TYPICAL SECTION OF P.B., M.B., S.B.

Top width
Side slope
Height
Bottom
Cross section

Cost/meter

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =0.70 \mathrm{~m} \\
& =1.5: 1 \\
& =1.30 \mathrm{~m} \\
& =4.60 \mathrm{~m} \\
& =(0.70+4.60) \times 1.30 / 2 \\
& =3.445 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \\
& =\text { Rs. } 142.00
\end{aligned}
$$

## TYPICAL SECTION OF EARTHEN CHECK DAM / GULLY PLUG

Top width
Side slope
Height
Bottom Width
Cross section

Cost per meter

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =1.50 \mathrm{~m} \\
& =2.1 \\
& =2.10 \mathrm{~m} \\
& =9.90 \mathrm{~m} \\
& =(1.50+9.90) \times 2.10 / 2 \\
& =11.97 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \\
& =\text { Rs. } 551.45
\end{aligned}
$$

## TYPICAL SECTION OF CHECK DAM / GULLY PLUG

Top width
Side slope
Height
Bottom Width
Cross Section

Cost/meter
-
$=2.00 \mathrm{~m}$
$=2: 1$
$=2.50 \mathrm{~m}$
$=12.00 \mathrm{~m}$
$=(2.00+12.00) \times 2.50 / 2$
$=17.50 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
$=$ Rs. 839.12

## TYPICAL SECTION OF W.H.B

| Top width | $=2.50 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Side slope | $=2: 1$ |
| Height | $=2.75 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Bottom Width | $=13.50 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Cross section | $=(2.50+13.50) \times 2.75 / 2$ |
|  | $=22.00 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Per meter cost | $=$ Rs. 1085.92 |

## DUG WELL RECHARGING STRUCTURE



ABSTRACT OF COST BRICK WORK JAGAT

| S.No. | Name of Work | Quantity | Unit | Rate | Amount |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Earth Work | 25.84 | $\mathrm{M}^{3}$ | 36.36 | 947.29 |
| 2. | C.C.W. Work in 1:3:6 | 1.49 | $\mathrm{M}^{3}$ | 2766.00 | 4121.34 |
| 3. | Laying Brick Supply \& fixing | 1.16 | $\mathrm{M}^{3}$ | 4000.00 | 4640.00 |
| 4. | Plaster work in 1:2 | 9.00 | $\mathrm{M}^{3}$ | 81.98 | 737.80 |
| 5. | S/F of 110 mm P.V.C. pipe | 6.00 | R.M | 150.00 | 900.00 |
| 6. | Slotted Cap 110 mm P.V.C | 1 | No. | 150.00 | 150.00 |
| 7. | P.V.C. bend 10 mm | 1 | No. | 130.00 | 130.00 |
| 8. | P.V.C. Coupler 110 mm | 2 | No. | 100.00 | 200.00 |
| 9. | Mesh ss S/F between | 2 | Job | 100.00 | 200.00 |
| 10. | S/O fixing of sign board | 1 | Job | 1850.00 | 1850.00 |
| 11. | Filter material 20-40 mm blast | 1.8 | $M^{3}$ | 855.00 | 1539.00 |
| 12. | Slotted P.V.C. pipe 110 mm | 1 |  | 250.00 | 250.00 |
|  |  |  |  | R.M. |  |

DETAILS OF MEASUREMENT (DUG WELLS RECHARGING)

| S.No. | Name of Work | No. | L B D/H | Unit | Quantity |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Earth work in excavation hard soil mixed with <br> kanker gravel, etc. in foundation. |  |  |  |  |
| a | Settling pit (i) Long Wall | 4 | $0.65 \times 1.75 \times 0.10 / 2$ | $\mathrm{M}^{3}$ | 0.09 |
|  |  | 2 | $1.50 \times 0.62 \times 0.75$ | $\mathrm{M}^{3}$ | 1.39 |
|  | (ii) Short Wall | 4 | $0.55 \times 0.75 / 2 \times 0.10$ | $\mathrm{M}^{3}$ | 0.08 |
|  |  | 2 | $1.00 \times 0.55 \times 0.75$ | $\mathrm{M}^{3}$ | 0.82 |
| b | Filtering pit | 8 | $1.85 \times 1.00 \times 1.00 \times 12 \times 0.10$ | $\mathrm{M}^{3}$ | 1.19 |
|  |  | 2 | $1.50 \times 1.62 \times 0.90$ | $\mathrm{M}^{3}$ | 4.37 |
| c | Drain-Filter zone | 1 | $1.50 \times 1.50 \times 1.10$ | $\mathrm{M}^{3}$ | 2.47 |
|  |  | 1 | $7.10 \times 0.75 \times 0.25$ | $\mathrm{M}^{3}$ | 1.86 |
| d | Excavation for laying of P.V.C. pipe \& filling after <br> laying of P.V.C. pipe |  |  |  |  |


|  |  | 1 | $4.35 \times 2.00 \times 0.80$ | $M^{3}$ | 6.96 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | $1.35 \times 0.90 \times 2.00 / 2$ | $\mathrm{M}^{3}$ | 1.21 |
|  |  | 1 | $3.00 \times 2.00 \times 0.90$ | $\mathrm{M}^{3}$ | 5.40 |
|  | Total |  |  |  | 25.84 |
| 2 | C.C. in 1:3:6 settling pit | 1 | $1.00 \times 1.50 \times 0.20$ | $M^{3}$ | 0.30 |
|  | Filtering pit filter zone | 1 | $1.50 \times 1.50 \times 0.30$ | $\mathrm{M}^{3}$ | 0.67 |
|  | Drain -Filter Zone | 1 | $7.00 \times 0.75 \times 0.10$ | $\mathrm{M}^{3}$ | 0.52 |
|  |  |  |  | $M^{3}$ | 1.49 |
| 3 | Cut- Brick work 1:4 |  |  |  |  |
| a | Settling pit Long wall | 4 | $1.05 \times 0.55 \times 0.10 / 2$ |  | 0.11 |
|  |  | 2 | $1.50 \times 0.62 \times 0.05$ |  | 0.09 |
|  | Settling pit Short wall | 4 | $0.55 \times 0.55 \times 0.10 / 2$ |  | 0.06 |
|  |  | 2 | $1.00 \times 0.62 \times 0.05$ |  | 0.06 |
| b | Filtering pit | 8 | $1.85 \times 1.62 \times 0.05 / 2$ |  | 0.60 |
|  |  | 2 | $1.50 \times 1.62 \times 0.05$ |  | 0.24 |
|  |  |  |  | $M^{3}$ | 1.16 |
| 4 | Plaster work 1:2 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Drain-Bottom | 1 | $7.00 \times 0.25$ | $M^{2}$ | 1.75 |
|  | Drain-Side | 2 | $7.00 \times 0.25$ | $M^{2}$ | 3.50 |
|  | Selting base | 1 | $1.50 \times 1.00$ | $M^{2}$ | 1.50 |
|  | Filtering base | 1 | $1.50 \times 1.50$ | $M^{2}$ | 2.25 |
|  | Total |  |  | $M^{2}$ | 9.00 |
| 5 | Supply \& Fixing of 110 mm P.V.C. Pipe | 1 | 6.00 | M | 6.00 |
| 6 | Slotted cap of 110 mm P.V.C. | 1 |  | No. | 1.00 |
| 7 | P.V.C. Bend 110 mm | 1 |  | No. | 1.00 |
| 8 | P.V.C. coupler 110 mm | 1x2 |  | No. | 2.00 |
| 9 | Mesh ss S/F between | 1x2 |  | Job | 2.00 |
| 10 | S/O Brick sign board | 1 |  | Job | 1.00 |
| 11 | Filter Material of 20-40 mm blast | 1 | $1.50 \times 1.50 \times 0.80$ | $M^{3}$ | 1.80 |
| 12 | Slotted pipe P.V.C. 110 mm | 1 | $1 \times 1$ | M | 1.00 |

## DRAWING OF SPILLWAY OF CREST LENGTH 0.5 m

## All Dimensions in Metre



## Design of Drop Spillway for 1.00 ha Catchment Area

Design of Drop Spillway to be constructed at a place in a gully having width of 1.0 m and catchment area 1.00 ha and net drop 0.50 m Taking rainfall intensity for duration equal to time of concentration of watershed and design return period of 25 years, as $120 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{hr}$. The coefficient of runoff for the watershed is 0.3 .

1. Hydrologic design- The design peak runoff rate $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ for the watershed from Rational formula is
given as:

$$
Q=\frac{\text { C.I.A. }}{360}=\frac{0.3 \times 120 \times 1.00}{360}=36 / 360=0.10 \mathrm{cum} / \mathrm{second}
$$

2. Hydraulic design- The maximum discharge capacity of the rectangular weir given by

$$
\mathrm{Q}=\frac{1.711 \mathrm{LH}^{3 / 2}}{(1.1+0.01 \mathrm{~F})}
$$

To find suitable value of L\& H
Let us assume $\quad L=0.50 \mathrm{~m}$ (since width of gulley is 1.00 m )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0.10=\frac{1.711 \mathrm{LH}^{3 / 2}}{(1.10+0.01 \times 0.5)}=\frac{1.711 \mathrm{LH}^{3 / 2}}{(1.105)} \\
& \mathrm{L} \mathrm{H}^{3 / 2}=\frac{1.105 \times 0.10}{1.711}=\frac{0.1105}{1.711}=0.064 \\
& \mathrm{H}^{3 / 2}=\frac{0.064}{0.50}=0.128
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H=(0.128)^{2 / 3}=0.25 \mathrm{~m} \\
& \text { Test: } \mathrm{L} / \mathrm{h}=\frac{0.50}{0.25}=2.0 \geq 2.0 \text { hence O.K. } \\
& \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{f}=\frac{0.25}{0.50}=0.50 \leq 0.5 \text { hence O.K. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. Structural design -

1- Minimum headwall extension, $\mathrm{E}=(3 \mathrm{~h}+0.6)$ or 1.5 f whichever is greater

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}=3 \times 0.5+0.6 \text { or } 1.5 \times 0.50 \\
& \mathrm{E}=2.10 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned} \text { or } 0.75 \mathrm{~m}
$$

Adopted 2.10 m
2- Length of apron basin $L_{B}=f(2.28 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{f}+0.54)=0.50(2.20 \times 0.5+0.54)$
0.5

$$
\text { 3- Height of end sill, } \begin{aligned}
& =0.50 \times 2.74=1.37 \mathrm{~m} \text { says } 1.40 \mathrm{~m} \\
& \mathrm{~S}=\frac{\mathrm{h}}{3}=\frac{0.50}{3}=0.16 \mathrm{~m} \text { says } 0.20 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

4- Height of wing wall and side wall at Junction :

$$
\begin{aligned}
J & =2 h \text { or }\left[f+h+S-\left(L_{B}+0.10\right) / 2\right] \text { whichever is greater } \\
& =2 \times 0.50 \text { or }[0.50+0.50+0.16-(1.37+0.10) / 2] \\
& =1.0 \text { or }[1.16-0.735] \\
& =1.0 \text { or } 0.425
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { adopt } J=1.00 \mathrm{~m} \\
& \text { 5- } \quad M=2(f+1.33 h-J)=2(0.50+1.33 \times 0.25-1.00) \\
& =2 \times(-0.167)=-0.335 \mathrm{~m} \\
& \text { 6- } K=\left(L_{B}+0.1\right)-M=(1.37+0.1)-0.335 \\
& =1.47-0.335 \\
& =1.135 \mathrm{~m} \\
& \text { Toe and cut off walls } \\
& \text { Normal scour depth (N S D) } \quad=0.473 \times(\mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{f})^{1 / 3} \\
& =0.473 \times(0.1 / 1)^{1 / 3} \\
& =0.473 \times 0.464 \\
& =0.219 \\
& \text { Maximum Scour depth (M S D) }=1.5 x \text { N S D } \\
& =1.5 \times 0.219 \\
& =0.328 \mathrm{~m} \\
& \text { says } 0.35 \mathrm{~m} \\
& \text { Depth of cutoff/Toe wall }=0.35 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Apron thickness : For an over fall of 0.5 m . The Apron thickness in concrete construction is 0.20 m since the structure is constructed in masonry, the Apron thickness will be $0.20 \times 1.50=0.30 \mathrm{~m}$

Wall thickness: The thickness of different wall of the structure (masonry construction) is given below:

| Description | Thickness of wall |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Top width | Bottom width |
| Head wall | 0.40 | 1.00 |
| Side wall | 0.30 | 0.80 |
| Wing wall and head wall extension | 0.30 | 0.60 |

## DRAWING AND DETAIL ESTIMATE OF LIVELIHOOD PROGRAMME IN WATERSHED WORK PHASE

DRAWING OF NADEF COMPOST STRUCTURE


ELEVATION


DESCRIPTION.

1. Brick work $=1: 4$.
2. Plastering $=1: 4$.
3. Thickness of wall $=0.23 \mathrm{~m}$.
4. Total height of Structure $=1.20+0.30=1.50 \mathrm{~m}$.
(Not to Scale)

## PREPARATION OF COMPOST BY NADEF METHOD

Nadef is the name of inventor of this method. In this method glazed pit of brick masonry above Ground level is made as shown in the drying. In this method by using a little quantity of cow during, and crop residue, leaf of trees, straw and other organic materials. The method of filling up the pit is below.

First of all best soil of pond or field is spread in the bottom of pit as least 3 " thickness and then one layer of $6 "$ thickness and other agriculture waste is made then best soil is spread on it and on this layer the liquid made of cow dung is spread to wet the crop residue, straw etc. this method is repeated until the pit is net completely filled up. On the top layer of this material a bulk is made and then the pit is closed by earthen gara. Water is spread on the top of bulk and from glazed side weekly. This process is repeated to moist the filling material always. The decomposition in filling material started and within six month filled material becomes compost khad.

ESTIMATE OF COMPOST BY NADEF METHOD

| S.No. | Description of Work | No. | L. | B. | D./H. | Quantity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Earth Work |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Long Wall | 2 | 3.60 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.648 |
|  | Short Wall | 2 | 2.33 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.419 |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. | Brick Work 1:4 |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 . 0 6 7}$ cum |
|  | Long Wall Solid | 2 | 3.46 | 0.23 | 0.90 | 1.432 |
|  | Short Wall Solid | 2 | 2.40 | 0.23 | 0.90 | 0.993 |
|  | Long Wall Glazed | 2 | 3.46 | 0.23 | 0.60 | 0.954 |
|  | Short Wall Glazed | 2 | 2.40 | 0.23 | 0.60 | 0.662 |


|  | Total |  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 . 6 1 6}$ cum |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3. | Plastering Work | 2 | 3.46 | - | 0.60 | 4.152 |
|  | Long Wall | 2 | 2.40 | - | 0.60 | 2.880 |
|  | Short Wall | 2 | 3.46 | 0.23 | - | 1.591 |
|  | Top of Long Wall | 2 | 2.40 | 0.23 | - | 1.104 |
|  | Top of Short Wall | Total |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## ABSTRACT OF WORK

| S.No. | Particulars | Quantity |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Earth Work |  | 1.06 cum |
| 2. | Brick Work 1:4 | $2.425+1.616 / 2$ | 3.233 cum |
| 3. | Plastering $1: 4$ |  | $9.727 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |

CONSUMPTION OF MATERIALS

| S.No. | Particulars | Quantity | Cement (Bags) | Coarse Sand (cum) | Bricks (nos.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Brick work 1:4 | 3.233 cum | 5.82 | 0.873 | 1487 |
| 2. | Plastering 1:4 | $9.727 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | 1.07 | 0.146 | - |
|  | Total |  | 6.89 | 1.019 | 1487 |
|  | Say |  | 7 Bags | 1.02 cum | 1500 nos. |

COST OF MATERIALS

| S.No. | Particulars | Quantity | Rate | Amount |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Cement | 7 Bags | $285.00 /$ Bag | 1995.00 |
| 2. | Coarse Sand | 1.02 cum | $2500.00 / \mathrm{cum}$ | 2550.00 |
| 3. | $1^{\text {st }}$ class Brick Work 1:4 | 1500 nos. | $4500.00 /$ <br> Thousand | 6750.00 |
|  | Total |  |  | Rs. 10795.00 |

LABOUR CHARGES

| S.No. | Particulars | Quantity | Rate | Amount |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Earth Work | 1.06 cum | $36.66 / \mathrm{cum}$ | 30.85 |
| 2. | Brick Work | 3.233 cum | $370.00 / \mathrm{cum}$ | 1196.21 |
| 3. | Plastering | $9.727 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | $40.00 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | 389.08 |
|  | Total |  |  | Rs. 1616.14 |


| Total Expenditure |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Cost of Materials | 10795.00 |  |
| 2. Labour Charges | 1616.14 |  |
| Total | Rs. 12411.14 |  |
| Say Rs. 12411.00 only |  |  |

DRAWING OF DETAIL ESTIMATE OF PRODUCTION SYSTEM AND MICROENTERPRISES IN WATERSHED WORK PHASE

## DEMONSTRATION OF WHEAT

1- Variety recommended for District-St. Ravidas Nagar
Irrigated-RR-21
Unirrigated -HD2285, K68
2- Seed rate-100-125 Kg/hectare
3- Requirement of fertilizers/ha $\mathrm{N}-125 \mathrm{Kg}, \mathrm{P}-70-75 \mathrm{Kg}, \mathrm{K}-70-75 \mathrm{Kg}$

## ESTIMATE OF DEMONSTRATION OF WHEAT IN WATERSHED (PER ha)



Hence demonstration cost of wheat /ha is Rs. $\mathbf{5 7 0 0 . 0 0}$

## DEMONSTRATION OF ARHAR IN WATERSHED AREA(PER ha)

1- Variety - Malviya-13, narendra-1, Amar
2- Seed rate/ha -30 kg
3- Requirement of fertilizers/ha $\mathrm{N}-20.0 \mathrm{~kg}, \mathrm{P}-50 \mathrm{~kg}, \mathrm{~K}-40 \mathrm{~kg}$

ESTIMATE FOR DEMONSTRATION OF ARHAR (PER ha)
$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline \text { S.No. } & \text { Particulars } & \text { Quantity } & \text { Rate } & \text { Amount } & \text { Remark } \\ \hline 1 & \begin{array}{c}\text { Tillage operation in } \\ \text { preparation of field and } \\ \text { seed sowing }\end{array} & 1.0 \text { ha } & 1000.00 / \mathrm{ha} & 2000.00 & \begin{array}{c}\text { Since the project } \\ \text { is to be }\end{array} \\ \text { operated in }\end{array}\right\}$

Hence per hectare of demonstration -Rs. $\mathbf{6 4 0 0 . 0 0}$

## DEMONSTRATION OF HYBRID BAJRA IN WATERSHES (per ha)

1- Requirement of Seed/ha -10kg
2- Requirement of fertilizers/ ha $\mathrm{N}-60.00 \mathrm{~kg}, \mathrm{P}-40.00 \mathrm{~kg}, \mathrm{~K}-40.00 \mathrm{~kg}$
3-
ESTIMATE FOR DEMONSTRATION OF BAJRA (per ha) RAINFED

| S.No. | Particulars | Quantity | Rate | Amount | Remark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Tillage operation in <br> preparation of field and <br> for sowing | 1.0 a | $1000.00 / \mathrm{ha}$ | 2000.00 | Since the project <br> is to be <br> operated in <br> participatory <br> Mode, |
| 2 | Cost of seed | 10.0 kg | $130.00 / \mathrm{kg}$ | 1300.00 |  <br> contribution of <br> tillage |
| 3 | Nitrogen N.P.K <br> $16: 32: 16$ | 125.0 kg | $470.00 / 50 \mathrm{~kg}$ | 1175.00 | operation, and <br> harvesting cost |
| 4 | Urea | 90 kg | $270.00 / 50 \mathrm{~kg}$ | 486.00 |  |
| 5 | M.O.P. | 40 kg | $300.00 / 50 \mathrm{~kg}$ | 240.00 |  |
| 6 | Harvesting | 1.00 Ha | $650.00 / \mathrm{ha}$ | 600.00 |  |

Hence per hectare of demonstration of Bajra is Rs. 3200.00/ha


## DEMONSTRATION OF AGRO-HORTICULTURE USING PLASTIC DRUM OF 200 LITRES CAPACITY

District St. Ravidas Nagar is situated in Eastern U.P., where there is water problem and in summer temperature rises up to $48^{\circ} \mathrm{c}$ causing upper layer of fields dry and therefore mortality rate of plants is very high. Farmers usually like to grow grain crops only. They are not interested in horticulture because of Anna Pratha and less holding. The production of crops decreases below the tree.

Therefore to promote horticulture with crops a demonstration model using plastic drums for horticulture is made. Mainly crops roots go in to the soil up to "4-5" in cereal crops and "6-9" in pulses. Using plastic drums the plants will be planted 50-60 cm below the ground level which is below the root zone of crops. Therefore trees will not able to take nutrients from upper layer of fields and there will no effect of plants on crops.

In summer season up to 1 to 1.50 m depth of soil becomes dry causes more mortality rate of plants, using drums plants are planted below 50-60 from Ground level and in rainy and winter season up to February roots of plants goes below 2.10 m below where moisture will be available and plants will be safe in summer also. Using barbed wire fencing the plants will be protected by Anna Pratha.

Therefore, it is hoped that farmers will adapt this procedure for Agro-forestry and will become prosperous.

## DETAIL ESTIMATE OF DEMONSTRATION OF HORTICULTURE AND MIXED CROPPING

For 1.00 Hectare

| S.No. | Description of Work | No. | L. | B. | D./H. | Quantity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Earth work in cutting | 156 | $3.14 \times 1.20$ | - | 1.35 | 793.54 |
|  | Trench | 156 | 1.50 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 131.62 |
|  | Fencing Poll | 133 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 1.064 |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  | 926.22 cum |
| 2. | Farm yard manure | 156x10 |  |  |  | 1560 kg |
| 3. | Filling of earth work with farm yard manure | 156 | $3.14 \times 1.00$ | - | 1.20 | 587.80 cum |
| 4. | C.C.W. 1:2:4 for fencing poll | 133 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 1.064 cum |
| 5. | Angle iron for poll | 133 | 1.80 | - | - | 239.40 m |
| 6. | Barbed wire | 3 | 400 | - | - | 1200.00 m |
| 7. | Plants | 156 | - | - | - | 156 nos. |
| 8. | Plastic drums (200 litre) | 156 | - | - | - | 156 nos. |

CONSUMPTION OF MATERIALS

| S.No. | Description of Work | Quantity | Farmyard Manure (kg) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cement } \\ \text { Bags } \\ \text { (nos) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Coarse Sand (cum) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { G.S.Grit } \\ & \text { 10-20 mm } \end{aligned}$ | Angle Iron (m) | Barbed Wire (kg) | Planting Drum (nos) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | C.C.W. 1:2:4 | 1.064 cum | - | 6.49 | 0.446 | 0.883 | - | - | - |
| 2. | Angle iron | 239.4 m | - | - | - | - | 239.40 | - | - |
| 3. | Barbed wire | 1200.0 m | - | - | - | - | - | 1200.0 | - |
| 4. | Farmyard manure | 1560.0 kg | 1560 kg | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 5. | Plastic drum | 156 nos. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 156 |
| Total |  |  | 1560.0 kg | 6.49 | 0.446 | 0.883 | 239.40 | 1200.0 | 156 |
| Say |  |  | 1560.0 kg | 6.50 bags | 0.450 cum | $\begin{gathered} 0.900 \\ \text { cum } \end{gathered}$ | 239.40 | 1200.0 m | 156 |

## COST OF MATERIALS

| S.No. Particulars | Quantity | Rate | Amount |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Farm yard manure | 1560.0 kg | $10.00 / \mathrm{kg}$ | 15600.00 |
| 2. | Barbed wire | $1200.0 \mathrm{~m} / 120.0 \mathrm{~kg}$ | $60.50 / \mathrm{kg}$ | 7260.00 |
| 3. | Angle iron | $239.40 \mathrm{~m} / 785 \mathrm{~kg}$ | $40.50 / \mathrm{kg}$ | 31792.50 |
| 4. | Plastic drum | 156 nos | 690.00 each | 107640.00 |
| 5. | Cement | 6.50 bags | $285.00 / \mathrm{bag}$ | 1852.50 |
| 6. | Coarse sand | 0.450 cum | $2500.00 / \mathrm{cum}$ | 1125.00 |
| 7. | G.S.Grit 10-20 mm | 0.900 cum | $1250.00 / \mathrm{cum}$ | 1125.00 |
| 8. | Plants | 156 nos | 18.00 each | 2808.00 |

## LABOUR CHARGES

| S.No. | Particulars | Quantity | Rate | Amount |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Earth work | 1514.02 cum | $36.66 / \mathrm{cum}$ | 55503.97 |
| 2. | C.C.W. 1:2:4 | 1.064 cum | $492.00 / \mathrm{cum}$ | 523.88 |
| 3. | Fixing of angle iron | 10 Man Days | $100 /$ Man Day | 1000.00 |
| 4. | Fixing of barbed wire | 15 Man Days | $100 /$ Man Day | 1500.00 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |


| Total Expenditure |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. Cost of materials | $1,69203.50$ |
| 2. Labour Charges | $58,527.85$ |
| Total | Rs. 227730.35 |
| Say | Rs. 2,27,730.00 only |

## Estimate of Orchard Development in the Watersheds Per Hectare (With Fencing)

| S.No. | Particulars | Quantity | Rate | Amount | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. Horticulture |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | Soil working $1 \mathrm{~m} \times 1 \mathrm{~m} \times 1 \mathrm{~m}$ size pits (270nos.) including cost of refilling | 270.00 cum | 36.66/cum | 9898.20 | Since, the project is to be operated in a participatory mode, contribution in the form of labour input for pit digging, FYM and its applications, weeding and hoeing are to be provided by the participating farmers, hence the costs are not included in the estimates. |
| 2. | Application of Farmyard Manure, including cost |  | L.S. | 450.00 |  |
| 3. | Cost of NPK mixture, neemicide @ 250 gm/plant |  | L.S. | 400.00 |  |
| 4. | Cost of plants (including $15 \%$ etc. for mortality) including transportation and planting | 310 nos. | 15.00/Plant | 4650.00 |  |
| 5. | Casualty replacement @ 10\% of item No. 4 \& 5 |  |  | 465.00 |  |
| 6. | Cost of 2 weedings and hoeing |  | 1.00/Plant | 540 |  |
| 7. | Contingency and unforeseen (3\%) |  |  | 492.00 |  |
|  | Total |  |  | Rs. 6,007.00 |  |
|  | Say |  |  | Rs. 6,000.00 |  |
|  | Maintenance cost $2^{\text {nd }}$ year onwards $-15 \%$ of $1^{\text {st }}$ year cost |  | - | 900.00 |  |
|  | For next 5 years i.e., Rs. $900 \times 5$ |  |  | 4500.00 |  |
|  | Total Cost |  |  | Rs, 10,500.00 |  |
|  | Say |  |  | Rs. 10,500.00 |  |
| B. Agro-Horticulture (cost per ha) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | Cost of raising 270 plants up to 5 years @ Rs. 10,000.00 |  |  | 10500.00 | The remarks mentioned under Horticulture are also applicable for AgroHorticulture. |
| 2. | Cost of raising agricultural crops <br> @ Rs. 5,000 per hectare per year |  |  | 5000.00 |  |
| 3. | Fencing |  |  | 45300.00 |  |
|  | Total |  |  | Rs. $60,800.00$ |  |

## Estimate of Orchard Development in the Watersheds Per Hectare (Without Fencing)

| S.No. | Particulars | Quantity | Rate | Amount | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. Horticulture |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | Soil working $1 \mathrm{~m} \times 1 \mathrm{~m} \times 1 \mathrm{~m}$ size pits (270nos.) including cost of refilling | 270.00 cum | 36.66/cum | 9898.20 | Since, the project is to be operated in a participatory mode, contribution in the form of labour input for pit digging, FYM and its applications, weeding and hoeing are to be provided by the participating farmers, hence the costs are not included in the estimates. |
| 2. | Application of Farmyard Manure, including cost |  | L.S. | 450.00 |  |
| 3. | Cost of NPK mixture, neemicide @ 250 gm/plant |  | L.S. | 400.00 |  |
| 4. | Cost of plants (including $15 \%$ etc. for mortality) including transportation and planting | 310 nos. | 15.00/Plant | 4650.00 |  |
| 5. | Casualty replacement @ 10\% of item No. 4 \& 5 |  |  | 465.00 |  |
| 6. | Cost of 2 weedings and hoeing |  | 1.00/Plant | 540 |  |
| 7. | Contingency and unforeseen (3\%) |  |  | 492.00 |  |
|  | Total |  |  | Rs. 6,007.00 |  |
|  | Say |  |  | Rs. 6,000.00 |  |
|  | Maintenance cost $2^{\text {nd }}$ year onwards $-15 \%$ of $1^{\text {st }}$ year cost |  | . | 900.00 |  |
|  | For next 5 years i.e., Rs. $900 \times 5$ |  |  | 4500.00 |  |
|  | Total Cost |  |  | Rs. 10,500.00 |  |
|  | Say |  |  | Rs. 10,500.00 |  |
| B. Agro-Horticulture (cost per ha) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | Cost of raising 270 plants up to 5 years @ Rs. 10,000.00 |  |  | 10500.00 | The remarks mentioned under Horticulture are also applicable for AgroHorticulture. |
| 2. | Cost of raising agricultural crops @ Rs. 5,000 per hectare per year |  |  | 5000.00 |  |
|  | Total |  |  | Rs. 15,500.00 |  |

## DEMONSTRATION OF GREEN MANURING

Green Manuring is very useful but due to sowing of Kharif season crop, lack of suitable type of seeds, and limitation of moisture, it is not widely practiced. Green Manuring brings immediate advantage because of its quick decomposition where as FYM and compost improves the soil physical condition in the long-run. Benefits of Green Manuring accrue from substitution of chemical fertilizers, enhance soil biological activities and erosion control due to vegetative cover.

Sesbania Species (Dhaincha) and Crotolaria Juneea (Sunhemper Sanai) are most common green manure crops. They accumulate about $100 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{ha}$ in their biomass and $64-88 \%$ of this is derived from atmosphere. Apart from direct benefit of green Manuring as a source of nutrients and organic matter, it has the capacity to mobilize soil phosphorus and other nutrients. It also helps in reclamation of problem of soil, e.g., Sesbania helps in removing exchangeable sodium and reclamation of salt affected soils.

In I.W.M.P. Ist Project, efforts will be made to oblise the farmers for Green Manuring.

## A typical estimate is made for Green Manuring is given below:

ESTIMATE FOR GREEN MANURING IN THE WATERSHED (PER ha)

| S.No. | Particulurs | Rate | Cost | Remark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Seed of Sesbania (Dhaincha) $25 \mathrm{Kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ | 25.00/Kg | 625 | Since the project is to be operated in a participatory mode, contribution in the form of tillage will be done by farmers is not included in the estimate. |
| 2 | Tillage operation before sowing and to plough the plants of Dhaincha after 40-45 days of sowing for Green Manuring. | 1000/ha <br> Before and after saring | 2000.00 |  |
| Total |  |  | Rs. 625.00 |  |

Therefore cost per hectare of Green Manuring is Rs. 625.00/ha

## PASTURE MANAGEMENT

Introduction:The sound animal industry in any country centers around good quality feed and fodders. The livestock population in India is nearly $15 \%$ of the total livestock population of the world, tough we have only $2 \%$ of the world's geographical area. The project on for green and dry fodder requirement in India has been estimated at 1061 and 590 million L.B. Ghaghra, Sarju Branch, Soti Jori by 2010 A-D, while the present feed and fodder resources in the country can meet only $4 \%$ of the requirement. The grazing intensity is very high i.e., 26 adult cattle unit (ACU)/ha as against 0.8 ACU in the developing countries.

The importance of grasses for protection and production, the two aspects of soil and water conservation is well known. Grass is unique in that it is the only resource utilized in situ by grazing. A "grassland" or more appropriately, a "range" is defined as "the areas which are predominantly covered with grasses or grass like plants and are primarily utilized as for age for grazing animals or used as hay." The grasslands are the major sources of food to the animals.

Pasture Management:_All grazing areas are referred to as pastures, but ore specifically the term is applied to cultivated grassland used for grazing. Thus pastures are artificial grasslands with or without non-grass vegetation (such as legumes) that are created with selected high forage-yielding grass and legume species and with inputs like fertilizers and irrigation and carefully managed to exclude all other vegetation. Pastures are usually fenced and used either for grazing, for gay and silage making or for both.

Intensive Fodder Production: In areas where the major enterprise of the farmers centers around the milk production. Continuous supply of green fodder round the year is the basis for success of such as industry. Under the aegis of ICAR's all India coordinated Research Project on Forage Crops, several highly productive fodder
cropping system have been tested and recommendations made for their general use. For central region important intensive crop rotations are presented as given below

| Zone wise crop rotations | Green <br> fodder <br> yield(t / ha) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Central region |  |
| 1- Hybrid napier +Cowpea-Berseem+Japanrape | 286.3 |
| 2- Maize+Cowper-Jowar-Berseem+Japanrape | 197.2 |
| 3- Jawar+Cowper-Berseem+Japanrape-Jawer+Cowpea | 168.6 |

Conservation on of Forages: In order to sustain animal production, it is essential that the optimum feeding should be maintained round the year. In India, we have two seasons, rainy season and winter season, when surplus quantities of green fodder is available-country to this there are 2 to 3 months of lean periods(OctoberNovember and April to July) when the fodder availability to animals is at its low. In the summer months, it is difficult even to meet the maintenance requirements of the animals. Stage of maturity to feed the animals adequately during the lean period. The conservation of forages could be done in the form of silage from cultivated fodders (legumes and cereals) and also pasture grasses. Forages could also be conserved in the form of hay when dried to its nutrients. This feed stuff is quantitatively important from both maintenance and nutritional point of view.

Agro-forestry system for fodder production: A number of fodder trees play an important role in human food security through their function as animal food sources, especially as drought services. Agro-forestry systems consisting of such tress and animals and/or pasture are called Silvo-Pastoral system.

Silvi-Pasture (or Silvo-Pastoral system) is the most promising alternate land use system which integrates multipurpose trees, shrubs, legumes and grasses mostly on non-arable, degraded and marginal lands for
optimizing land productivity. It helps in conservation of vegetation, soil and nutrients and provides forage, timber and fuel wood on a sustainable basis.

Potentials of Semi-arid region for different forage production systems.

## Region Forge Production Systems <br> Semi arid <br> Integration of Agro-Silvi-Pasture, dry land agriculture on cultivated lands. Forage-cum-Copping forming on the marginal and sub marginal lands with intercropping dry lands cereals and legumes

## ROLE OF GRASSLAND IN SOIL CONSERVATION

The grass plant itself protects the soil from the forces of water erosion including the impact of rain drops and surface flow. Grass acts a spring cushion intercepting and broking up the falling rain drops in their way down. Conducting the water down the blades and stems of the plants and finally allowing it to reach the ground as fine sprays without disturbing the surface. Clamps of grass plants, in a mechanical way, obstruct-flowing water and reduce its rate of flow.

In fact to control soil erosion whatever technique is adopted, there are four approaches to deal with the problem:

1-To condition the soil to make it resistant to determent and transportation and create more absorptive surface layer.

2-To cover the soil so that it is protected from the impact of wind and rain drops.
3-To decrease the velocity of wind or runoff water.
4-To provide safe disposal outlet for surplus run off.

Grass in the nature highly efficient device to protect the soil from destructive forces like rain, wind etc. Grass and legumes increase the aggregation of soil particles; improve soil structure and water holding capacity of the soil. Grasses gives quicker protection to eroded lands. To establish gully sides, water ways, gully head and check dams. Grass is perhaps the most effective and economical tool. It can put to various uses in soil conservation:

1- Strip cropping, rotational cropping or lay farming.
2- Stabilization of bunds and terraces.
3- Stabilization of gullies, diversion or drainage channels.
4- Stabilization of sand dunes.
5- Meadows and pasture on steep slopes.
6- Fertility builder for eroded soil.
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Table-1.1: Project at a Glance IWMP-II ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ (Sant Ravidas Nagar (Bhadohi)

| Sr. No. | Particulars | IWMP - II | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Name of the State | Uttar Pradesh |  |
| 2 | Name of the project | I.W.M.P. -II St. Ravidas Nagar |  |
| 3 | Name of the District | St. Ravidas Nagar |  |
| 4 | Names of the Blocks | Bhadohi |  |
| 5 | Names of Gram Panchayats | Total number of Gram panchayat in the project area are - | 23 |
| 6 | Names \& Census Code of Villages covered | Total number of revenue Villages in the project area are - | 96 |
| 7 | Four major reasons for selection of watershed | 1. Population are poor $80 \%$ to $50 \%$ <br> 2. Rain fed area more then $90 \%$ <br> $3 . \%$ of small and Marginal farmer> $80 \%$ <br> 4. Land with moderate production |  |
| 8 | Name, Address \& Phone No. of the PIA(s) | Satish Chandra Srivastava Bhoomi Sanrakshan Adikhari, DPAP- I, Uttar Mohal, Near Chandi Hotel, Rabatsganj, Sonabhadra Ph. : 0544-225389 |  |
| 9 | Date of approval of Watershed Development Plan by the DPC | 19-10-2010 |  |
| 10 | Area of the Project (ha.) | 6310 ha |  |
| 11 | Area proposed to be treated (ha.) | 4278 ha |  |
| 12 | Financial Year of sanction | 2010-2011 |  |
| 13 | Project duration from ----- to ... | 2010-2011 to 2014-2015 |  |
| 14 | Project Cost (Rs. in Lakhs) | 513.36 |  |
| 15 | Date of Sanction of state authority |  |  |

Table-1.2 : Details on ongoing Development Programme

| Sr. <br> No. | Name of Programme | Impleme- <br> nting agency | Objectives of the <br> programme | Year of <br> Commencement | Villages <br> covered | Project <br> Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Swarnajayanti Gram <br> Swarojgar Yojana <br> (SGSY) | DRDA | Farmulation of groups <br> for weaker section of <br> the BC/SC | On going | All the villages <br> of the project <br> area | - |
| 2 | Indira Awas Yojana <br> (IAY) | DRDA | Provide low cast <br> houses to the weaker <br> section SC/ST | On going | All the villages <br> of the project <br> area | - |
| 3 | Drought Prone Area <br> Programme (DPAP) | B.S.A DOLR | To improvement of <br> environmental <br> condition of the <br> watershed | 1995 onwords | - | - |

Table-1.3 : Ongoing Watershed Programmes in the project area
$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline \begin{array}{c}\text { Sr. } \\ \text { No. }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Name of } \\ \text { Project }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Year if } \\ \text { Commence } \\ \text { ment }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Villages } \\ \text { covered }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { No. of } \\ \text { Micro } \\ \text { Water } \\ \text { sheds }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Watershed } \\ \text { Code }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Area } \\ \text { under } \\ \text { treatment }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Funding } \\ \text { Source }\end{array} & \text { PIA } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Status of } \\ \text { completion }\end{array} \\ \hline \mathbf{1} & \text { IWMP- I } & \begin{array}{c}\text { 2009-2010 to } \\ \mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 2 0 1 4}\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { More than } \\ \mathbf{8 0}\end{array} & \mathbf{6} & \begin{array}{c}\text { 2B3A3a3c } \\ \text { 2B3A3a3a }\end{array} & \text { 5082 ha. } & \text { DOLR } & \begin{array}{c}\text { B.S.A } \\ \text { DOLR } \\ \text { St. }\end{array} & \text { 2013-2014 } \\ \text { 2B3A3a2b }\end{array}\right)$

Table 2.1 : Climatic condition of last five year

| Sr. <br> No. | Year | Average Rainfall <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | Highest Rainfall <br> intensity in a day ( <br> mm) | Temperature (C) |  | Relative humidity <br> $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Max. | Min. | Max. | Min. |  |
|  | $2007-2008$ | 1393.1 | - | 3.5 | 42.4 | 96 |
|  | $2008-2009$ | 1291 | - | 4.2 | 43.3 | 90 |
|  | $2009-2010$ | 1172.5 | - | 3.8 | 42.6 | 94 |
|  | $2010-2011$ | 1200 | - | 3.7 | 41.2 | $\mathbf{8 9}$ |

Table - 2.3 : Details of soil erosion in the project area

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cause | Type of erosion | Area affected (ha) | Run off (mm/ year) | Average soil loss <br> (Tonnes/ ha/ year) |  |  |  |  |
| Water erosion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a | Sheet | 3230 | 800 | 16.50 |  |  |  |  |
| b | Rill | 950 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c | Gully | 385 |  | 16.50 |  |  |  |  |
| Sub-Total |  |  |  |  |  | 4565 | 800 | - |

Table- 3.1 (i) : Human Population of the Project Area IWMP-II Sant Ravidas Nagar, U.P.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Census } \\ & \text { CODE } \end{aligned}$ | Village Name | Total House Hold | Total Population | Male | Female | Total Popula. SC | Male | Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10309600 | Ahamadpur Phulwariya | 233 | 1675 | 878 | 797 | 799 | 414 | 385 |
| 10310900 | Ahopur | 46 | 472 | 239 | 233 | 29 | 15 | 14 |
| 10315400 | Amawa Kala | 241 | 2158 | 1108 | 1050 | 171 | 97 | 74 |
| 10315500 | Amawa Khurd | 358 | 2791 | 1422 | 1369 | 394 | 198 | 196 |
| 10310300 | Anangpur | 191 | 1702 | 902 | 800 | 774 | 403 | 371 |
| 10310600 | Araji Amani | 1 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10313700 | Arajidibui | 19 | 140 | 73 | 67 | 26 | 15 | 11 |
| 10314800 | Asogapur | 36 | 372 | 172 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10312200 | Badamanpur | 242 | 2136 | 1114 | 1022 | 332 | 168 | 164 |
| 10315000 | Bag Amani | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10318800 | Bagahi | 67 | 494 | 276 | 218 | 28 | 16 | 12 |
| 10314600 | Bahari | 75 | 582 | 290 | 292 | 75 | 42 | 33 |
| 10312000 | Bankat Z.Madho Rampur | 30 | 301 | 153 | 148 | 19 | 10 | 9 |
| 10313100 | Baraila | 198 | 1601 | 822 | 779 | 202 | 113 | 89 |
| 10312900 | Bardha | 476 | 3693 | 1837 | 1856 | 525 | 262 | 263 |
| 10317800 | Basantpur | 84 | 575 | 318 | 257 | 251 | 140 | 111 |
| 10311800 | Bhadarmanpur | 65 | 507 | 255 | 252 | 272 | 138 | 134 |
| 10311600 | Bhakuda | 90 | 808 | 459 | 349 | 302 | 164 | 138 |
| 10310000 | Bhikharipur | 56 | 433 | 238 | 195 | 255 | 132 | 123 |


| 10314400 | Bhulaipur | 62 | 704 | 369 | 335 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10326700 | Chainsinghpur | 6 | 52 | 26 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10315100 | Chak Basawan | 2 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10312300 | Chak Bhuidhar | 220 | 2015 | 1055 | 960 | 46 | 24 | 22 |
| 10315200 | Chak Muglani | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10317900 | Chak Nanhu | 32 | 201 | 100 | 101 | 189 | 95 | 94 |
| 10317700 | Chak Sanath | 19 | 143 | 81 | 62 | 78 | 47 | 31 |
| 10313300 | Chandaipur Or Chanaipur | 65 | 653 | 336 | 317 | 38 | 20 | 18 |
| 10314200 | Chandapur | 32 | 297 | 157 | 140 | 10 | 6 | 4 |
| 10316800 | Chandi Gahana | 152 | 1036 | 516 | 520 | 55 | 31 | 24 |
| 10310200 | Chaudharipur | 9 | 101 | 53 | 48 | 101 | 53 | 48 |
| 10312400 | Chauri Khas | 67 | 761 | 399 | 362 | 62 | 36 | 26 |
| 10311000 | Chauridanu Patti | 241 | 1948 | 1053 | 895 | 386 | 205 | 181 |
| 10311100 | Chaurinipur Patti | 59 | 528 | 268 | 260 | 35 | 16 | 19 |
| 10316900 | Darunha | 111 | 704 | 364 | 340 | 263 | 131 | 132 |
| 10314700 | Dattipurz.Bahari | 42 | 321 | 166 | 155 | 197 | 102 | 95 |
| 10311900 | Deeh Koiran | 103 | 737 | 385 | 352 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10315900 | Dhanapur | 79 | 580 | 332 | 248 | 175 | 104 | 71 |
| 10317500 | Domanpur Chiwathiya | 209 | 1583 | 823 | 760 | 257 | 124 | 133 |
| 10318100 | Dubha | 81 | 580 | 299 | 281 | 175 | 85 | 90 |
| 10309000 | Dudawa Kukruthi | 353 | 2881 | 1494 | 1387 | 639 | 349 | 290 |
| 10328300 | Gobindpur | 82 | 562 | 306 | 256 | 98 | 59 | 39 |


| 10316300 | Gondamir Imamali | 1 | 26 | 15 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10313000 | Gopalpur | 20 | 264 | 143 | 121 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10313500 | Gouda Chamarhatta | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10318700 | Hadha | 4 | 41 | 24 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10328100 | Hadhi Bari | 28 | 304 | 163 | 141 | 156 | 84 | 72 |
| 10315300 | Hari Chandanpur | 45 | 356 | 176 | 180 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10316600 | Horila | 13 | 80 | 44 | 36 | 61 | 34 | 27 |
| 10314500 | Jadupur Z.Bahri | 156 | 1385 | 733 | 652 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10313600 | Jagdishpur Sujan | 107 | 719 | 365 | 354 | 267 | 135 | 132 |
| 10309900 | Jallapur | 40 | 346 | 190 | 156 | 85 | 45 | 40 |
| 10312500 | Jamua | 206 | 1615 | 869 | 746 | 262 | 146 | 116 |
| 10309700 | Jamunipur Badfaros | 401 | 2517 | 1403 | 1114 | 247 | 126 | 121 |
| 10318600 | Jawsanpur | 85 | 584 | 304 | 280 | 10 | 5 | 5 |
| 10315600 | Jhuri Sonbarsa | 8 | 44 | 26 | 18 | 44 | 26 | 18 |
| 10316200 | Jolhapur | 43 | 383 | 192 | 191 | 8 | 3 | 5 |
| 10328000 | Kachhuwa Bojh | 50 | 413 | 209 | 204 | 97 | 49 | 48 |
| 10316000 | Kandhiya | 104 | 971 | 473 | 498 | 258 | 128 | 130 |
| 10317100 | Kandui | 99 | 886 | 432 | 454 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10317600 | Kantapur | 70 | 611 | 307 | 304 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10316700 | Kapal Deeh | 56 | 412 | 203 | 209 | 330 | 171 | 159 |
| 10311200 | Kolahd | 328 | 2707 | 1473 | 1234 | 478 | 245 | 233 |
| 10311700 | Kom | 157 | 1219 | 631 | 588 | 302 | 165 | 137 |


| 10316100 | Lachhapur | 107 | 788 | 411 | 377 | 157 | 78 | 79 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10310100 | Lakhanpur Urf <br> Abhayanpur | 139 | 1235 | 665 | 570 | 38 | 19 | 19 |
| 10317000 | Latiya | 261 | 1991 | 1013 | 978 | 423 | 203 | 220 |
| 10314300 | Loh Chanda | 19 | 103 | 50 | 53 | 103 | 50 | 53 |
| 10312100 | Madho Rampur | 162 | 1285 | 674 | 611 | 557 | 289 | 268 |
| 10316400 | Madho Singhpur | 28 | 232 | 122 | 110 | 112 | 63 | 49 |
| 10309500 | Mahbubpur | 217 | 1519 | 754 | 765 | 442 | 224 | 218 |
| 10314100 | Maihardo Patti | 296 | 2357 | 1184 | 1173 | 722 | 370 | 352 |
| 10313900 | Majhilapur | 11 | 96 | 50 | 46 | 49 | 23 | 26 |
| 10315700 | Manapur | 114 | 1192 | 609 | 583 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10311500 | Manikpur | 141 | 1247 | 662 | 585 | 276 | 150 | 126 |
| 10313200 | Narharpur | 22 | 250 | 127 | 123 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10313400 | Narpatpur | 119 | 939 | 453 | 486 | 464 | 229 | 235 |
| 10318000 | Nidiur | 207 | 1643 | 854 | 789 | 901 | 474 | 427 |
| 10313800 | Pachpatiya | 186 | 1484 | 764 | 720 | 323 | 166 | 157 |
| 10317400 | Palheya | 156 | 1375 | 723 | 652 | 60 | 26 | 34 |
| 10312600 | Parsipur | 73 | 697 | 359 | 338 | 272 | 142 | 130 |
| 10311300 | Parsotam Patti | 23 | 183 | 105 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10310400 | Parsotampur Raveli | 104 | 807 | 417 | 390 | 262 | 131 | 131 |
| 10314900 | Parsottampur Z.Bargaon | 2 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| 10312700 | Prem Rajpur | 50 | 326 | 175 | 151 | 100 | 54 | 46 |
| 10315800 | Raghupur | 77 | 509 | 262 | 247 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| 10314000 | Rajputan Z.Ravali | 61 | 415 | 215 | 200 | 300 | 149 | 151 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10310700 | Ramdeo Patti | 108 | 754 | 369 | 385 | 243 | 120 | 123 |
| 10310800 | Raveli | 116 | 976 | 497 | 479 | 98 | 49 | 49 |
| 10311400 | Samalkot | 89 | 701 | 361 | 340 | 497 | 257 | 240 |
| 10316500 | Sankarpur | 186 | 1548 | 771 | 777 | 215 | 113 | 102 |
| 10308900 | Sewapur Or Senabarpura | 120 | 966 | 496 | 470 | 245 | 134 | 111 |
| 10310500 | Sivdaspur | 26 | 178 | 88 | 90 | 156 | 79 | 77 |
| 10317200 | Surhan | 442 | 3074 | 1545 | 1529 | 583 | 293 | 290 |
| 10318200 | Suwajag | 57 | 447 | 219 | 228 | 40 | 20 | 20 |
| 10328200 | Tikaitpur | 137 | 1072 | 544 | 528 | 278 | 139 | 139 |
| 10312800 | Udhopur | 16 | 79 | 44 | 35 | 79 | 44 | 35 |
|  | Total | 10427 | 83202 | 43104 | 40098 | 17861 | 9265 | 8596 |

Table- 3.1 (ii) : VILLAGE WISE AREA IN THE WATERSHED, IWMP-II, St. RAVIDAS NAGAR

| WS_CODE | VILLAGE NAME | AREA (ha) | WS_CODE | VILLAGE NAME | AREA (ha) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kochari | 0.001 | $\stackrel{0}{m}$$\stackrel{1}{4}$$\underset{N}{N}$ | Loh Chanda | 55.604 |
|  | Sewapur Or Senabarpura | 5.348 |  | Chaudharipur | 7.977 |
|  | Arajidibui | 15.371 |  | Chaudharipur | 45.736 |
|  | Ramdeo Patti | 18.052 |  | Jallapur | 1.896 |
|  | Araji Amani | 28.037 |  | Anangpur | 81.060 |
|  | Majhilapur | 29.219 |  | Jamunipur Badfaros | 118.780 |
|  | Pachpatiya | 66.084 |  | Ahamadpur Phulwariya | 49.382 |
|  | Sivdaspur | 96.639 |  | Bhulaipur | 106.402 |
|  | Rajputan Z.Ravali | 16.185 |  | Chaurinipur Patti | 0.079 |
|  | Raveli | 16.063 |  | Chaurinipur Patti | 28.694 |
|  | Raveli | 31.709 |  | Chauridanu Patti | 37.014 |
|  | Mahbubpur | 31.923 |  | Chauridanu Patti | 59.899 |
|  | Maihardo Patti | 71.807 |  | Parsotam Patti | 0.425 |
|  | Chandapur | 130.367 |  | Parsotam Patti | 21.385 |
|  | Parsotampur Raveli | 115.970 |  | Hari Chandanpur | 24.742 |
|  | Parsotampur Raveli | 39.108 |  | Hari Chandanpur | 38.000 |
|  | Dudawa Kukruthi | 92.257 |  | Bhakuda | 169.641 |
|  | Loh Chanda | 90.252 |  | TOTAL AREA | 846.716 |
|  | TOTAL AREA | 894.393 |  |  |  |
| WS_CODE | VILLAGE NAME | AREA (ha) | WS_CODE | VILLAGE NAME | AREA (ha) |
| $\underset{\sim}{0}$$\underset{\sim}{0}$$\underset{\sim}{m}$ | Samalkot | 7.459 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U} \\ & \underset{N}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{N} \\ & \underset{N}{N} \end{aligned}$ | Chak Bhuidhar | 10.549 |
|  | Samalkot | 42.947 |  | Chak Bhuidhar | 28.779 |
|  | Lakhanpur Urf Abhayanpur | 34.893 |  | Badamanpur | 45.553 |
|  | Bag Amani | 3.578 |  | Manapur | 170.105 |
|  | Kolahd | 53.425 |  | Bankat Z.Madho Rampur | 10.380 |
|  | Kolahd | 2.026 |  | Deeh Koiran | 28.398 |
|  | Manikpur | 6.675 |  | Deeh Koiran | 14.457 |
|  | Manikpur | 46.209 |  | Lachhapur | 3.011 |
|  | Asogapur | 15.147 |  | Lachhapur | 12.953 |
|  | Parsottampur Z.Bargaon | 5.462 |  | Jhuri Sonbarsa | 53.135 |


|  | Jadupur Z.Bahri | 73.327 |  | Chauri Khas | 6.933 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bhikharipur | 54.318 |  | Chauri Khas | 27.842 |
|  | Chak Basawan | 4.834 |  | Mahrajpur | 0.000 |
|  | Amawa Khurd | 21.111 |  | Kom | 71.036 |
|  | Ahopur | 18.196 |  | Raghupur | 86.239 |
|  | Chak Muglani | 2.291 |  | Kandhiya | 24.455 |
|  | Dattipurz.Bahari | 38.627 |  | Kandhiya | 25.684 |
|  | Dattipurz.Bahari | 7.881 |  | Udhopur | 46.921 |
|  | Amawa Kala | 0.220 |  | Udhopur | 20.303 |
|  | Amawa Kala | 38.204 |  | Dhanapur | 200.073 |
|  | Bardha | 105.676 |  | Dhanapur | 2.244 |
|  | Bardha | 104.221 |  | Gopalpur | 72.485 |
|  | Bahari | 62.047 |  | Parsipur | 24.945 |
|  | Jamua | 46.539 |  | TOTAL AREA | 986.480 |
|  | TOTAL AREA | 795.313 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WS_CODE | VILLAGE NAME | AREA (ha) | WS_CODE | VILLAGE NAME | AREA (ha) |
|  | Madho Rampur | 39.577 | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\wedge} \\ & \underset{\sim}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{N} \\ & \underset{N}{N} \end{aligned}$ | Chandi Gahana | 128.413 |
|  | Jolhapur | 13.581 |  | Sankarpur | 11.680 |
|  | Jolhapur | 0.080 |  | Sankarpur | 37.789 |
|  | Jolhapur | 31.853 |  | Sankarpur | 90.751 |
|  | Jagdishpur Sujan | 3.901 |  | Tikaitpur | 171.844 |
|  | Jagdishpur Sujan | 18.532 |  | Madho Singhpur | 66.188 |
|  | Jagdishpur Sujan | 62.583 |  | Suwajag | 6.894 |
|  | Jagdishpur Sujan | 19.972 |  | Gobindpur | 58.319 |
|  | Bhadarmanpur | 80.144 |  | Horila | 53.659 |
|  | Bhadarmanpur | 36.104 |  | Horila | 0.050 |
|  | Palheya | 89.656 |  | Horila | 1.188 |
|  | Kantapur | 59.009 |  | Dubha | 103.592 |
|  | Rasulaha | 0.000 |  | Hadha | 54.651 |
|  | Kachhuwa Bojh | 49.643 |  | Darunha | 49.708 |
|  | Kachhuwa Bojh | 102.082 |  | Darunha | 40.749 |
|  | Prem Rajpur | 5.274 |  | Latiya | 190.008 |
|  | Prem Rajpur | 35.506 |  | Surhan | 78.722 |


|  | Chak Sanath | 13.937 | Jawsanpur | 1.141 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gondamir Imamali | 19.950 | Bagahi | 38.171 |
|  | Gondamir Imamali | 5.689 | Kandui | 24.768 |
|  | Domanpur Chiwathiya | 41.159 | Kandui | 21.915 |
|  | Domanpur Chiwathiya | 42.880 | Chainsinghpur | 23.754 |
|  | Baraila | 11.300 | TOTAL AREA | 1253.951 |
|  | Baraila | 50.566 |  |  |
|  | Basantpur | 22.611 |  |  |
|  | Narharpur | 18.757 |  |  |
|  | Narharpur | 88.209 |  |  |
|  | Nidiur | 233.519 |  |  |
|  | Narpatpur | 3.211 |  |  |
|  | Narpatpur | 78.576 |  |  |
|  | Gouda Chamarhatta | 10.046 |  |  |
|  | Gouda Chamarhatta | 0.404 |  |  |
|  | Kapal Deeh | 98.041 |  |  |
|  | Kapal Deeh | 19.953 |  |  |
|  | Hadhi Bari | 87.703 |  |  |
|  | Chandaipur Or Chanaipur | 18.367 |  |  |
|  | Chak Nanhu | 20.777 |  |  |
|  | Chak Nanhu | 0.131 |  |  |
|  | TOTAL AREA | 1533.282 |  |  |

Table - 3.2 : Migration Status

| No. of <br> Villages | Total Population |  | Migration Days | Reason for migration | Expected reduction in no of <br> persons migrating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 96 | Male | Female |  | $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ days | Due to Unemployment in <br> village and high wages in <br> city |
|  | $\mathbf{4 3 1 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0 9 8}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3.3: The land under different categories within watershed

| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{S} . \\ & \mathbf{N} . \end{aligned}$ | Watershed Code | Name of villages falling in the watershed | $\begin{gathered} \text { Built- } \\ \text { Up } \\ \text { Land } \end{gathered}$ | Agriculture | Waste land all types | Water Bodies | Plantation | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2B3A3a1a | Samalkot,Lakhanpur Urf Abhayanpur, <br> Bag Amani, Kolahd, Manikpur, <br> Asogapur, Jadupur Z.Bahri, <br> Bhikharipur,Chak Basawan,Amawa <br> Khurd, Ahopur, Chak Muglani, <br> Dattipurz.Bahari, Dattipurz.Bahari, <br> Amawa Kala, Bardha, Bahari, Jamua | 47.05 | 623.04 | 35.26 | 6.33 | 53.91 | 765.59 |
| 2 | 2B3A3a1c | Chak Bhuidhar,Badamanpur,Manapur, Bankat Z.Madho Rampur, Deeh Koiran, Lachhapur, Jhuri Sonbarsa,Chauri Khas, Parsipur, Mahrajpur, Kom, Raghupur, Kandhiya,, Udhopur, Dhanapur, Gopalpur | 73.38 | 764.19 | 142.39 | 9.99 | 27.98 | 1017.93 |
| 3 | 2B3A3a1d | Madho Rampur, Jolhapur, Jagdishpur Sujan, Bhadarmanpur, Palheya, Kantapur, Rasulaha, Kachhuwa Bojh, Prem Rajpur, Chak Sanath,Gondamir Imamali, Chiwathiya, Domanpur, Baraila, Basantpur, Narharpur, Nidiur, Narpatpur, Gouda Chamarhatta, Kapal Deeh, Hadhi Bari, Chandaipur Or Chanaipur, Chak Nanhu | 125.16 | 1491.62 | 115.55 | 33.93 | 46.65 | 1812.91 |
| 4 | 2B3A3a1e | Madho Singhpur, Suwajag, Gobindpur, Horila, Dubha, Hadha, Darunha, Darunha,Latiya, Surhan ,Chandi Gahana, Sankarpur, Tikaitpur, | 44.62 | 717.36 | 26.55 | 2.74 | 22.72 | 813.99 |


|  |  | Jawsanpur, Bagahi, Kandui, Chainsinghpur |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 2B3A1f3a | Kochari, Sewapur Or Senabarpura, Arajidibui, Ramdeo Patti, Araji Amani, Majhilapu, Pachpatiya, Sivdaspur, Rajputan Z.Ravali, Raveli, Mahbubpur, Maihardo Patti, Chandapur, Parsotampur Raveli, Dudawa Kukruthi, Loh Chanda | 55.74 | 847.94 | 55.60 | 4.92 | 30.77 | 994.97 |
| 6 | 2B3A1f3b | Loh Chanda, Chaudharipur, Jallapur, Anangpur, Jamunipur Badfaros, Ahamadpur Phulwariya, Bhulaipur, Chaurinipur Patti,Chaurinipur Patti, Parsotam Patti, Hari Chandanpur, Bhakuda, Hari Chandanpur | 18.27 | 781.06 | 95.37 | 5.54 | 4.37 | 904.61 |
|  |  | Total | 364.22 | 5225.21 | 470.72 | 63.45 | 186.40 | 6310.00 |

Table - 3.4 : Area production \& productivity of Kharif/Rabi/Summer season crops

| Sr. <br> No. | Name of Village | Name of Crop-1 |  | Expected project satatus |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Area | Productivity (Kg/ ha.) | Area | Productivity (Kg/ ha.) |
| 1 | Kharif Paddy | $\mathbf{1 1 8 0}$ | 1259 | $\mathbf{1 6 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 3 7}$ |
| 2 | Rabi Wheat | $\mathbf{1 7 7 6}$ | 1949 | $\mathbf{1 4 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 3 4}$ |
| 3 | Zaid/Other <br> Season | - | - | - | - |

Table- 3.6 : Details of land holding pattern in the project area

| Sr. No. | Project Area | No. of <br> households | No. of BPL <br> households | Type of Farmer | Land holding ( ha.) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | IWMP-II | 10427 | 2032 |  | Irrigated | Unirrigated | Total |
| 972 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | (i) Large | 322 | 650 | 5032 |
|  |  |  |  | (ii) Small | 3022 | 2010 | 5032 |
|  |  |  |  | (iii) Marginal | 2099 | 1922 | 4021 |
|  |  |  |  | Sab-Total | 5663 | 4764 | 10427 |

Table 4.1 (a) : Details of Project Implementing Agency (s)

|  2  <br> S. No.  Particulars of PIA <br> (i) Date of selection of PIA Government of Uttar Pradesh <br> (ii) Type of organization\# Department of Land and water resources <br> (iii) Name of organization Satish Chandra Srivastava <br> Bhoomi Sanrakshan Adikhari, <br> DPAP- I, Uttar Mohal, Near Chandi Hotel, Rabatsganj, <br> Sonabhadra <br> (iv) Designation \& Address Ph. : 0544-225389 <br> (v) Telephone  <br> (VI) Fax  <br> (VII) E-Mail  |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |

\# Only the letter assigned to each type, as given below, needs to be typed.
A Line Dept. B Autonomous organization
C Govt. Institute D Research Bodies
E Zila Parishad F Intermediate Panchayat
G Voluntary Organizations H Any other (please specify).
4.2 Details of Watershed Development Teams (WDTs) in the project area.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sr. <br> No. | Name of the <br> PIA | Names of WDT <br> members | $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{FA}$ | Age | Qualification/ <br> Experience | Description of <br> professional training | Role/ Function\#\# | Year of appointment <br> of WDT member |
| 1. | Sri Satish <br> Chandra <br> Srivastava | Jagdish Prasad | M | 48 | Intermediate, Engg. <br> Diploma | Agriculture | Water <br> Management | $2009-10$ |
|  |  | Mohan Lal Gupta | M |  | Intermediate, Engg. <br> Diploma. | Horticulature | Aforestation | $2009-10$ |
|  |  | Harish Prasad <br> Srivastava | M |  | B.Sc (Ag) | Soil Conservation | Soil Conservation | $2009-10$ |
|  | Sunder Lal <br> Bajpayee | M |  | B.Sc (Ag) | Watershed <br> Management | Community <br> Management | $2009-10$ |  |
|  | Raj Kumar Shukla | M |  | Intermediate |  | Extension | $2009-10$ |  |
|  |  | Smt.Sangita Devi | F |  | M.A. |  | Social <br> Mobilization | $2009-10$ |

\# M - Male, F - Female \#\# In column 8, only the letter, assigned as below, needs to be typed, except for ` ${ }^{\prime}$ ', where the type may be specifically mentioned. A. Participatory Net Planning (PNP) and Participatory Rural Approach (PRA), Training and Capacity Building B. Planning C. Maintenance of Accounts D. Signing of cheques and making payments E. Social audit F. Engineering surveys, drawings and cost estimations G. Physical verification \& measurement H . Record of labour employed I. Livelihood opportunities for landless J. Post project operation, maintenance of assets K . Any other (please specify)

Table - 4.3 (i) : Details of Watershed Committees (WC)

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { S. } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | Names of WCs | Date of Registration as a Society (dd/mm/yyy y) | Name of the Watershed member | Designation | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M} \\ & / \mathrm{F} \end{aligned}$ | SC | ST | SF | MF | LF | Land -Less | UG | SHG | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{G} \\ & \mathbf{P} \end{aligned}$ | Any Othe r | Educational Qualification | Function (s) assigned \# |
| 1 | 2B3A3a1a | Under <br> Process | Sri Algu | President | M |  |  | V |  |  |  |  |  | V |  | Intermediate | A \& D |
|  |  |  | Sri Kallu | Secretary | M |  |  | V |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | B.A | C \& H |
|  |  |  | Sri Avadh Karan | Member | M |  |  | V |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | Intermediate | E |
|  |  |  | Sri Amarnath | Member | M | V |  |  | V |  |  |  |  | V |  | Primary | E \& F |
|  |  |  | Sri Kalika Prasad | Member | M |  |  | V |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | High School | E |
|  |  |  | Sri Giridhari | Member | M |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | High School | E |
|  |  |  | Sri Abhimanyu | Member | M |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | V |  |  |  | High School | E |
|  |  |  | Sri Kamla Shankar | Member | M |  |  |  | V |  |  |  |  | V |  | Intermediate | I \& F |
|  |  |  | Sri Kashinath | Member | M |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | Intermediate | B \& E |
|  |  |  | Smt. Geeta Devi | Member | F | V |  |  | V |  |  | V |  |  |  | High School | E |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

\#\# In column 17, only the letter assigned, as below, needs to be typed, except for ' $\mathbf{J}$ ', where the type may be specifically mentioned. A. PNP and PRA B. Planning C. Maintenance of Accounts D. Signing of cheques and making payments E. Supervision of construction activities F. Cost Estimation G. Verification \& Measurement H. Record of labour employed I. Social Audit J. Any other (please specify).

Table - 4.3 (ii) : Details of Watershed Committees (WC)

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { S. } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | Names of WCs | Date of Registration as a Society (dd/mm/yyy y) | Name of the Watershed member | Designation | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M} \\ & / \mathrm{F} \end{aligned}$ | SC | ST | SF | MF | LF | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Land } \\ & \text {-Less } \end{aligned}$ | UG | SHG | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{G} \\ & \mathbf{P} \end{aligned}$ | Any Othe $r$ | Educational Qualification | Function <br> (s) <br> assigned \# |
| 1 | 2B3A3a1d | Under <br> Process | Sri Jagdish Prasad | President | M |  |  | V |  |  |  |  |  | V |  | Intermediate | A \& D |
|  |  |  | Sri Vidyadhar | Secretary | M |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | B.Sc. | C \& H |
|  |  |  | Sri Amarnath | Member | M |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | Intermediate | E |
|  |  |  | Sri Chouthi | Member | M | V |  |  | V |  |  |  |  | V |  | High School | E \& F |
|  |  |  | Sri Mahfuz Alam | Member | M |  |  | V |  |  |  | V |  |  |  | High School | E |
|  |  |  | Sri Bechan | Member | M |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | J.High School | E |
|  |  |  | Sri Bhikhai | Member | M |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | B.A. | E |
|  |  |  | Sri Hari Prasad | Member | M |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  | V |  | Intermediate | I \& F |
|  |  |  | Sri Dinesh Kumar | Member | M |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | V |  |  |  | Intermediate | $B$ \& E |
|  |  |  | Sri Pancham | Member | M | V |  |  | V |  |  | V |  |  |  | High School | E |
|  |  |  | Smt. Jamuni Devi |  | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table - 4.3 (iii) : Details of Watershed Committees (WC)

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { S. } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | Names of WCs | Date of Registration as a Society (dd/mm/yyy y) | Name of the Watershed member | Designation | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M} \\ & / \mathrm{F} \end{aligned}$ | SC | ST | SF | MF | LF | Land -Less | UG | SHG | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{G} \\ & \mathbf{P} \end{aligned}$ | Any Othe r | Educational Qualification | Function (s) assigned \# |
| 1 | 2B3A3a1c | Under Process | Sri Keshav | President | M |  |  | V |  |  |  |  |  | V |  | B.A. | A \& D |
|  |  |  | Sri Achiyuta | Secretary | M |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | V |  |  |  | Intermediate | C \& H |
|  |  |  | Sri Vishundeo | Member | M |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | Intermediate | E |
|  |  |  | Sri Khaderu | Member | M | V |  |  | V |  |  |  |  | V |  | High School | E \& F |
|  |  |  | Sri Tulsi | Member | M |  |  | V |  |  |  | V |  |  |  | High School | E |
|  |  |  | Sri Nanhe | Member | M |  |  |  | V |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | J.High School | E |
|  |  |  | Sri Fular | Member | M |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | B.A. | E |
|  |  |  | Sri Guru Charan | Member | M |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  | V |  | Intermediate | I \& F |
|  |  |  | Sri Vidya Devi | Member | F |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | High Schoole | $B$ \& E |
|  |  |  | Sri Dinanath | Member | M | V |  |  | V |  |  | V |  |  |  | High School | E |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table - 4.3 (iv) : Details of Watershed Committees (WC)

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { S. } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | Names of WCs | Date of Registration as a Society (dd/mm/yyy y) | Name of the Watershed member | Designation | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M} \\ & / \mathrm{F} \end{aligned}$ | SC | ST | SF | MF | LF | Land -Less | UG | SHG | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{G} \\ & \mathbf{P} \end{aligned}$ | Any Othe r | Educational Qualification | Function (s) assigned \# |
| 1 | 2B3A1f3b | Under Process | Sri Mata Prasad | President | M |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  | V |  | High School | A \& D |
|  |  |  | Sri Ram Rajya | Secretary | M |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | Intermediate | C \& H |
|  |  |  | Sri Tara Shankar | Member | M |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | Intermediate | E |
|  |  |  | Sri Dhiraj | Member | M | $\checkmark$ |  |  | V |  |  |  |  | V |  | Primary | E \& F |
|  |  |  | Sri Girijashankar | Member | M |  |  | V |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | High School | E |
|  |  |  | Sri Chandradev | Member | M |  |  |  | V |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | High School | E |
|  |  |  | Sri Pratap | Member | M |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | High School | E |
|  |  |  | Sri Ram Ujagar | Member | M |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  | V |  | High School | I \& F |
|  |  |  | Sri Ram Adhar | Member | M |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | Intermediate | B \& E |
|  |  |  | Smt. Saraswati | Member | F | V |  |  | V |  |  | V |  |  |  | High School | E |
|  |  |  | Smt. Asha Devi | Member | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table - 4.3 (v) : Details of Watershed Committees (WC)

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { S. } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | Names of WCs | Date of Registration as a Society (dd/mm/yyy y) | Name of the Watershed member | Designation | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M} \\ & / \mathrm{F} \end{aligned}$ | SC | ST | SF | MF | LF | Land <br> -Less | UG | SHG | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{G} \\ & \mathbf{P} \end{aligned}$ | Any Othe r | Educational Qualification | Function (s) assigned \# |
| 1 | 2B3A3a1e | Under Process | Sri Dasrath | President | M |  |  | V |  |  |  |  |  | V |  | High School | A \& D |
|  |  |  | Sri Vijay | Secretary | M |  |  | V |  |  |  | V |  |  |  | $B . A$. | C \& H |
|  |  |  | Sri Baliram | Member | M |  |  | V |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | Intermediate | E |
|  |  |  | Sri Rajendra | Member | M | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  | V |  | Primary | E \& F |
|  |  |  | Sri Ram Payare | Member | M |  |  | V |  |  |  | V |  |  |  | High School | E |
|  |  |  | Sri Shitla Prasad | Member | M |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | J.High School | E |
|  |  |  | Sri Siyaram | Member | M |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | B.A. | E |
|  |  |  | Sri Srinath | Member | M |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  | V |  | High School | I \& F |
|  |  |  | Sri Ram Sagar | Member | M |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | V |  |  |  | High School | B \& E |
|  |  |  | Sri Lalu | Member | M | V |  |  | V |  |  | V |  |  |  | High School | E |
|  |  |  | Smt. Santara Devi | Member | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | High School |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table - 4.3 (vi) : Details of Watershed Committees (WC)

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { S. } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | Names of WCs | Date of Registration as a Society (dd/mm/yyy y) | Name of the Watershed member | Designation | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M} \\ & / \mathrm{F} \end{aligned}$ | SC | ST | SF | MF | LF | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Land } \\ & \text {-Less } \end{aligned}$ | UG | SHG | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{G} \\ & \mathbf{P} \end{aligned}$ | Any Othe $r$ | Educational Qualification | Function (s) assigned \# |
| 1 | 2B3A1f3a | Under Process | Sri Bahadur | President | M |  |  | V |  |  |  |  |  | V |  | Intermediate | A \& D |
|  |  |  | Sri Manna Lal | Secretary | M |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | V |  |  |  | Intermediate | C \& H |
|  |  |  | Sri Adya Prasad | Member | M |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | V |  |  |  | Intermediate | E |
|  |  |  | Sri Foujdar | Member | M | V |  |  | V |  |  |  |  | V |  | Primary | E \& F |
|  |  |  | Sri Vishwanath | Member | M |  |  | V |  |  |  | V |  |  |  | High School | E |
|  |  |  | Sri Krishna Nand | Member | M |  |  |  | V |  |  | V |  |  |  | J.High School | E |
|  |  |  | Sri Girija Shankar | Member | M |  |  |  | V |  |  | V |  |  |  | B.A. | E |
|  |  |  | Sri Guruhu | Member | M |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  | V |  | Intermediate | I \& F |
|  |  |  | Sri Gokran | Member | M |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | Intermediate | B \& E |
|  |  |  | Smt. Mira Devi | Member | F | V |  |  | V |  |  | V |  |  |  | High School | E |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table-4.4 : Details of Self Help Groups (SHGs) in the project area

| S. <br> No. | Name of <br> Project <br> (M.W.S.) | Code No. <br> (M.W.S.) | Name of S.H.G. | Occupation of <br> S.H.G. | Name of Chairman \& No. Members |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 1. | Bardha | 2B3A3a1a | Ambe mahila S.H.G | Goat Farming | Sri Amresh Chand S/o Achhaibar \& 12 member |
| 2. | Badamanpur | 2B3A3a1c | Maa Santoshi mahila S.H.G | Carpet pheri | Sri Uma Shankar S/o Sri Bhagwan Das \& 12 member |
| 3. | Kachuwa <br> Bojh | 2B3A3a1d | Durga Mahila S.H.G. | General <br> Merchant | Sri Avadh Narayan S/o Sri Dev Sharan \& 10 other <br> member |
| 4. | Latiya | 2B3A3a1e | Parwati Mahila S.H.G. | Carpet weaving | Sri Arjun S/o Jai Managal \& 12 other member |
| 5. | Chandapur | 2B3A1f3a | Maa Lakshmi mahila S.H.G. | Goat Farming | Smt. Geeta Devi W/o Jothan \& 11 Other members |
| 6. | Bhakuda | 2B3A1f3b | Baba shahab S.H.G. | Goat Farming | Sri Amarnath S/o Sri Shiva Nand \& 10 other members |

Table - 4.5 : Details of Users Groups in Project Area

| S. No. | Name of Project (M.W.S.) | Cod No. (M.W.S.) | No of U.G. | No. of Cultivators |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Bardha | 2B3A3a1a | 18 | 217 |
| 2. | Badamanpur | 2B3A3a1c | 12 | 173 |
| 3. | Kachuwa Bojh | 2B3A3a1d | 19 | 150 |
| 4. | Latiya | 2B3A3a1e | 22 | 214 |
| 5. | Chandapur | 2B3A1f3a | 24 | 225 |
| 6. | Bhakuda | 2B3A1f3b | 20 | 178 |

Table- 6.1 : Capacity Building Institution

| S. No. | Name of the Training Institute | Full Address with contact no., website \& e-mail | Type of Institute\# | Area(s) of speciali-zation\$ | Accreditation details | Trainings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Reference Year | No. of Trainings Assigned | No. of Trainees to be Trained |
| 1 | Deen Dayal Gram Vikas Sansthan | Bakshi Ka Talab, Lucknow | Research Institutes | Agriculture/ <br> Horticulture/ <br> Animal <br> Husbandry | Govt. of U. P. | 2012-2014 | 3 | 40 |
| 2 | Acharya <br> Narendra Dev <br> Agril. <br> University | Faizabad | University | Agriculture/ <br> Horticulture/ <br> Animal <br> Husbandry | Govt. of U. P. | 2012-2014 | 3 | 70 |
| 3 | Land <br>  <br> Water <br> Resource <br> Devlopment <br> Training <br> Institute | Beli Kala <br> Lucknow | Training Institute | Watershed Devlopment | Govt. Of U.P. | 2010-2014 | 4 | 60 |

Table-6.2 : Institutional Arrangement \& Capacity Building in the Projects

| S. No. | Project Stake <br> holders | No. of <br> Stake <br> holders | Total <br> no. of <br> persons | No. of <br> persons <br> trained so <br> far | No. of <br> Persons to <br> be trained | Sources of funding for training, <br> BSA Unit or DOLR or others | Name \& Address <br> of Training <br> institute |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table- 7.1 : Details of Scientific Planning and Inputs in IWMP projects

| Scientific criteria / input used | Whether scientific <br> criteria was <br> used |
| :--- | :---: |
| (A) Planning |  |
| Cluster approach | Yes |
| Whether technical back-stopping for the project has been arranged? If yes, mention the name of the Institute | - |
| Baseline survey | Yes |
| Hydro-geological survey | Yes |
| Contour mapping | Yes |
| Participatory Net Planning (PNP) | Yes |
| Remote sensing data-especially soil/ crop/ run-off cover | - |
| Ridge to Valley treatment | - |
| Online IT connectivity between | Yes |
| (1) Project and DRDA cell/ZP | Yes |
| (2) DRDA and SLNA | Yes |
| (3) SLNA and DoLR | Yes |
| Availability of GIS layers | Yes |
| Cadastral map |  |
| Village boundaries |  |
| Drainage |  |


| Land use | Yes |
| :--- | :---: |
| Ground water status | Yes |
| Watershed boundaries | Yes |
| Activity | Yes |
| Crop simulation models | No |
| Integrated coupled analyzer/ near infrared visible spectroscopy/ medium spectroscopy for high speed soil <br> nutrient analysis | No |
| Normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI)\# | No |
| Weather Station | - |
| (B) Inputs | NO |
| Bio-pesticides | No |
| Organic manures | No |
| Vermi compost | Yes |
| Bio-fertilizer | Yes |
| Water saving devices | Yes |
| Mechanized tools/ implements | Yes |
| Bio-fencing | Yes |
| Nutrient budgeting | Yes |
| Automatic water level recorders \& sediment samplers | NO |
| Any other (please specify) | NO |

Table-7.3: Details of the Goat rearing Financial Component

| S.No. | Component | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Cost of 10 goats of improved breed (not less than 6 months of age) @ Rs. 3000.00 each | 30000.00 |
| 2. | Cost of 1 buck of improved breed @ Rs. 5000.00 | 5000.00 |
| 3. | Cost of insurance @ 11.63/unit | 4070.00 |
| 4. | Feed cost for 3 months @ 250 gm/ day for goats @ Rs. 11.84/ 250 gm | 2930.40 |
| 5. | Provision of deworming, mineral and vitamin supplement, treatment, vaccination @ Rs.16o/ animal | 1760.00 |
| 6. | The expense including monitoring expenses, register and records @ Rs. 170.00/ unit | 170.00 |
|  | Total | Rs. 43,930.40 |
|  | Say Rs. 43,950.00 |  |

Table- 7.13 : Component wise \& Year wise Phasing of Physical \& Financial Outlay,I.W.M.P-II
Financial (Lacs Rs.) Physical (ha.)

| S. No. | Component | \% of Budg et | Ist Year(2010-11) |  | Ind Year(2011-12) |  | IIIrd Year(2012-13) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { IV Year } \\ (2013-14) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { V Year } \\ (2014-15) \end{gathered}$ |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | F | P | F | P | F | P | F | P | F | P | F | P |
| 1. <br> A. | Administration Cost <br> TA \& DA, POL/Hiring of vehicles/ office and payment of electricity and Phone bill etc. computer, stationary and office consumable and contingency. | 10\% |  | - | 10.27 | - | 10.27 | - | 15.40 | - | 15.40 | - | 51.336 | - |
| B. | Monitoring | 1\% | - | - | 1.03 | - | 1.03 | - | 1.54 | - | 1.54 | - | 5.134 | - |
| C | Evaluation | 1\% | - | - | 1.54 | - | 1.54 | - | 1.54 | - | 0.51 | - | 5.134 | - |
|  | Sub Total | 12\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. <br> A. | Preparatory Phases <br> Entry Point Activities, like well repairing, Kisan vikash munch renovation of chabootra, school boundary, old well , brick lining channel etc. | 4\% | 20.53 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 20.534 | - |
| B. | Capacity Building | 5\% | 5.13 | - | 10.27 | - | 10.27 | - | - | - | - | - | 25.668 | - |
| C. | Preparation of DPR | 1\% | 5.13 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5.134 | - |
|  | Sub Total | 10\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 3. Watershed works <br> A Soil \& moisture conservation <br> Construction of Bunds. (graded bund, contour bund, field Bund, Marzinal bund \& Peripheral Bundh) <br> B.Water Resources Development <br> New and Renovation of exitising Water Harvesting Structure/ Gully plug/Chak Dam/Ponds etc. <br> Drainage line treatment(Pucca structure/ Check Dam) <br> C.Agroforestry <br> Rainfed horticulture with fencing Rainfed horticulture without fencing Aforestation \& development of Silvi_pastoral system |  | 50\% | - | - | 38.50 | - | 77.00 | - | 77.00 | - | 64.17 |  | 256.680 | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub Total | 50\% | - | - | 38.50 | - | 77.00 | - | 77.00 | - | 64.17 |  | 256.680 | - |
| 4. | Livelihood Activities <br> Income generating Activities through SHGs for landless and Marginal formers (Diary, Goat farming, Bee keeping, Fruit processing ,General merchant shop \& live stock development Activities) | 10\% | - | - | 5.13 | - | 20.53 | - | 15.40 | - | 10.27 | - | 51.336 | - |
|  | Sub Total | 10\% | - | - | 5.13 | - | 20.53 | - | 15.40 | - | 10.27 | - | 51.336 | - |
| 5. | Production System \& Micro enterprises <br> Farming system approach, animal husbandry, horticulture, vegetables growing, Crop, Silvi Pasture etc | 13\% | - | - | 5.13 | - | 15.40 | - | 25.67 | - | 20.53 | - | 66.737 | - |
|  | Sub Total | 13\% | - | - | 5.13 | - | 15.40 | - | 25.67 | - | 20.53 | - | 66.737 | - |



Table - 10.1 : EXPECTED EMPLOYMENT RELATED OUTCOMES

| S.No. | No. of the Villages | Wage employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Self employment |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. of mandays (Lakhs) |  |  |  |  | No. Of beneficiaries |  |  |  |  | No. Of beneficiaries |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | SC | ST | Others | Women | Total | SC | ST | Others | Women | Total | SC | ST | Others | Women | Total |
| 1 | 96 | 1.5250 | 0 | 1.220 | 0.305 | 3.050 | 1653 | 0 | 993 | 54 | 2700 | 271 | 0 | 119 | 50 | 440 |

Table-10.2 : DETAILS OF MIGRATION (I.W.M.P.-II) ST. RAVIDAS NAGAR

| Name of the <br> Project | No. of persons <br> migrating | No. of days per year of <br> migration | Main reason for <br> migration | Expected reduction in no. <br> of persons migrating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I.W.M.P.-II | 260 | 110 |  <br> Poverty | 150 |

Table-10.3 (i) : STATUS OF DRINKING WATER

| S. <br> N. | No. of the villages | Availability of drinking water <br> (no. of months in a year) |  | Quality of drinking water |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Pre-project | Expected Post- <br> Project | Pre-project | Expected Post- <br> Project |
|  | 96 | 09 months | 12 months | Normal | Pure \& Soft water |

Table- 10.3 (ii) : DETAILS OF AVERAGE GROUND WATER TABLE DEPTH IN THE PROJECT AREAS (IN METERS)

| S. N. | No. of the <br> villages | Sources | Pre-project | Expected Post- <br> Project | Remarks <br> 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Table-10.4 : MAJOR CROPS GROWN AND THEIR PRODUCTIVITY IN THE PROJECT AREA

| S. | Names of the crop | Current status |  | Expected Post-Project Status |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Area <br> (ha) | Productivity <br> $(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha})$ | Area(ha) | Productivity(kg/ha) |
| 1 | Kharif - Rice | 1180.00 | 1259.00 | 1652.00 | 1637.00 |
| 2 | Rabi - Wheat | 1776.00 | 1949.00 | 1486.00 | 2534.00 |
| 3 | Zaid/Other season | - | - | - | - |

Table - 10.9(a) : COST BENEFIT RATIO OF THE PROJECT AREA IWMP-II, SANT RAVIDAS NAGAR

| S. No. | Name of Cereal | Area in hectare | Production /Hect. in Quintal | InvestCost/hect. | Rate/Quintal. | Net profit/hect. | Total Net profit. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Paddy | 1180 | 12.59 | 4000 | 1000 | 8590 | 10136200 |
| 2 | Arhar | 250 | 5 | 2300 | 4500 | 20200 | 5050000 |
| 3 | Maize | 50 | 8 | 3300 | 800 | 3100 | 155000 |
| 4 | Wheat | 1776 | 19.49 | 4200 | 1000 | 15290 | 27155040 |
| 5 | Mustard | 120 | 8 | 2600 | 2000 | 13400 | 1608000 |
|  | Total | 3376 |  |  |  |  | 44104240 |
| Status After Work: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S. <br> No. | Name of Cereal | Area in hectare | Production /Hect. in Quintal | Invest Cost/hect. | Rate/Quintal. | Net profit/hect. | Total Net profit. |
| 1 | Paddy | 1652 | 16.37 | 4000 | 1000 | 12370 | 20435240 |
| 2 | Arhar | 250 | 6 | 2300 | 4500 | 24700 | 6175000 |
| 3 | Maize | 50 | 10 | 3600 | 800 | 4400 | 220000 |
| 4 | Wheat | 1486 | 25.34 | 4200 | 1000 | 21140 | 31414040 |
| 5 | Mustard | 120 | 12 | 2600 | 2000 | 21400 | 2568000 |
|  | Total | 3558 |  |  |  |  | 60812280 |

[^0]The above ratio clearly indicated that the conservation of land is extremely profitable.

Table - 10.9(b) : SUMMARY OF EXPECTED /ESTIMATED OUTCOMES OF IWMP-II(2010-2011)

| S.No. | Name of the Distict | Item | Unit of Measurement | Pre-project Status | Expected Postproject Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 1. | St. Ravidas Nagar | Status of water table | Meter | 16.00-17.00 | 14.00-15.00 |
| 2. |  | Grand water sturcture repaird/rejuvenated | - | - | - |
| 3. |  | Quality of drinking water | - | - | - |
| 4. |  | Availability of drining water | Meter | 09 months | 12 Months |
| 5. |  | Increase in irrigation potential |  |  |  |
|  |  | Change in cropping/land use pattern | - | Paddy, Single | Double Crooping |
| 6. |  | Area under agriculture crop | Hector | 5225 | 5400 |
|  |  | i- Area under single crop | Hector | 1180 | 1652 |
|  |  | ii- Area under double crop | Hector | 1914 | 2400 |
|  |  | iii- Area under multiple crop | Hector | - | 50 |
|  |  | iv-Cropping Intensity | Ha | - | - |
| 7. |  | Increase in area under vegetation | Hector | 175 | 250 |
| 8. |  | Increase in area under horticulture | Hector | 165 | 300 |
| 9. |  | Increase in area under fuel \& fodder | Hector | 3.50 | 9.0 |
| 10. |  | Increase in milk production | \% | 3 | 4 |
| 11. |  | No. of SHGs | No. | - | 35 |
| 12. |  | Increase in no. of livelihoods | No. | - | 54 |
| 13. |  | Migration | No. | 260 | 150 |
| 14. |  | SHG Federation formed | No. | - | - |
| 15. |  | Credit Linkage with banks | - | - | - |

## MAPS

An attempt has been to map the surface details of the project area, as per the instruction of the common Guide lines-2008, All the thematic maps of the study area have been prepared through using remote sensing and geographical information system(G.I.S.) technique, following the fundamental norms of the National Map Policy2006. The details of the thematic maps have been given below.

1- Base map
2- Transportation map
3- Dranage map
4- Land use/ Land cover map
5- Countor map
6- Slope map.
7- Village Map.
These maps were interpreted from the high resolution satellite dada freely available on internet.








## CHAPTER -14 <br> ABBRIVIATIONS/REFERENCES

## LIST OF ABBRIVIATIONS/REFERENCES

| DOLR | Department of Land Resources |
| :--- | :--- |
| IWMP | Integrated Waterhsed Management Programme |
| SLNA | State Level Nodal Agency |
| CGL | Common Guidelines |
| PIA | Project Implementing Agency |
| BSA | Bhoomi Sangrakshan Adhikari |
| WDT | Watershed Development Team |
| WC | Watershed Committee |
| UC | User Group |
| SHG | Self Help Group |
| CB | Countour Bund |
| MB | Marginal Bund |
| PFB | Peripheral Bund |

## REFERENCES

- Common Guideline of watershed development-2008.
- Jila Sankhikiya Patrika
- Census 2001
- santravidasnagar.nic.in


## Preparation of DPR

Detail Project Report of Integrated Watershed Management Programme IWMP-II had been prepared through base line/ bench Mark survey for physiography climate, soil, land use, vegetation, and hydrology and socio economic data analysis. PRA have been exercised to collect primary data, secondary data have been collected from Revenue, Statistics department, Statistical Magazine of the district, St. Ravidas Nagar, Topo sheet (1:50000) survey of India- Deheradoon and technical \& specific input and health with preparation and drafting of detail project report.

| S.No. | Name | Designation |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Sri A.K. Srivastava | Ex. Scientist, RSAC-UP, Lucknow |
| 2 | Sri Laxman Singh | Ex. Project Scientist |
| 3 | Sr. R.K. Singh | Computer Operator |
| 4 | Sri Satish Chandra Srivastava | Bhoomi Sanrakshan Adhikari |
| 5 | " Jagdish Prasad | Junior Engineer |
| 6 | " Santosh Kumar Srivastava | Accountant |
| 7 | " Rajeev Lochan | Accountant |
| 8 | " Lalta Prasad | Draftsman |
| 9 | "Suresh Kumar | Tracer |
| 10 | "Kamal Bajpayee | Senior clerk |
| 11 | "Shamsher Singh | Junior clerk |
| 12 | " Mohan Lal Gupta | A.S.C.I. |
| 13 | "Harish Prasad Srivastava | A.S.C.I. |
| 14 | "Sunder Lal Bajpayee | Seech Paryavechhak |
| 15 | "Raj Kumar Shukla | Seenchpal |
| 16 | "Shiv Murat | Seenchpal |
| 17 | "Ram Kedar | Seenchpal |
| 18 | "Rama pati Shukla | Seenchpal |
| 19 | "Ram Swaroop Yadav | Seenchpal |
| 20 | "Iftekhar Hussain Rizvi | Seechpal |
| 21 | "Ganga ram | Munshi |
|  |  |  |

## DPR PLAN ABSTRACT

The collection of all the relevant data of watershed area and the possible option and solution are described with the help of feedback of focused discussion and detailed perspective plan for the watershed area with year wise and activity wise summarized for the DPR plan abstract for 5 years (2010-11 to 2014-15).

The summary of the above document is verified by the following persons:

## Physically \& Financially Approved:




[^0]:    Bhoomi Sanrakshan after the treatment of Land
    60812280
    Bhoomi Sanrakshan before the treatment of Land
    44104240
    Net Profit
    16708040
    Ratio of cost and profit
    1.3788307

