


# DETAILED PROJECT REPORT (D.P.R.)

(I.W.M.P. 2<sup>nd</sup> – MAU )

INTEGRATED WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PROGRAMME, BLOCK- KOPAGANJ, GHOSI & BADARAON  
DISTRICT - MAU (UTTAR PRADESH)



Submitted to: -

Department of Land Development &  
Adhikari  
Water Resources. Lucknow (U.P.)



Development

Prepared By:-

Bhoomi Sanrakshan

Department of Land

& Water Resources, Mau

Land Development & Water Resources Government of U. P. Lucknow

## **TABLE OF CONTENT**

### **Executive Summary**

### **PROJECT AT GLANCE**

### **CHAPTER 1 PROJECT INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND**

1.1 Project Background.....	4
Table No.1 : Basic project information.....	4
1.2 Need and Scop for Watershed Development .....	4
Table No.2 : Weightage of the Project .....	4
Table No.2.1 : Criteria and Weightage for Selection of Watershed .....	5
1.3 Objective and Scope of project.....	5
1.4 Watershed Information .....	6
1.5 Status of previous watershed programmes and other development project scheme on the watershed area .....	6
Table No. 4 Development programmes running in the project area:.....	6

### **CHAPTER 2 GENREAL DISCRIPTION OF THE WATERSHED**

2.1 location.....	7
2.2 Aria.. land use pattern .....	8
Table No. 5 Aria Under major land uses, irrigated and rain fed aria etc.	
2.3 Physiographiy .....	9
Table No 6 : Elevation range Longitude latitude relief height defiance etc. ....	9
2.3.1 Major stream .....	10
Table No.7 : Stream characteristics of watershed	
2.4: Climate :.....	10
2.4.1 : Climatic Condition .....	10
Table No. 8: Climatic Condition .....	10
2.5: Watershed Characteristics .....	11
2.5.1: Shape and size .....	11
Table No. 9: Shape and size of watershed .....	11
2.5.2. : Length of main stream drainage density, average slope, watershed relief etc. ....	11
Table No. 10 : Length of main stream drainage density, average slope, watershed relief etc. ....	11
2.6.: Geomorphology and soils.....	11
2.6.1 : Geomorphology .....	11

2.6.2: Sloppy land. sandy loam .....	11
2.6.3: Fine Textured alluvial soils .....	12
2.6.4: Coarse textured alluvial soils .....	12
2.6.5: Drainage .....	12

### **CHAPTER 3 BASELINE SURVEY**

3.1: Socio Economic condition : .....	13
3.1.1: Demographic pattern : .....	13
Table No 11 : Demographic pattern Detail .....	13
3.1.2 Literacy Rate .....	14
Table No. 12 Literacy Rate Detail .....	13
3.1.3 : Migration pattern .....	15
Table No. 13 : Migration Detail.....	15
3.1.4: Infrastructure social features .....	16
Table No. 14 Details of Infrastructure In the project aria .....	16
3.1.5 : Venn Diagram .....	18
3.1.6 : Historical timeline.....	20
3.2.: Soil And land use .....	22
3.2.1. : Soil Morphology .....	22
3.2.2.: Morphology of a typical soil profile of micro watershed (dominant soil) .....	23
3.2.3: Soil characteristics and fertility status .....	23
Table no. 15 soil characteristics and fertility <b>status up to 6</b> .....	<b>23</b>
3.2.4: land capability classification (L.C.C.) .....	24
Table No. 16 area under different land capability class under micro watershed .....	24
3.2.4.1.: Land capability class I (white ) .....	24
3.2.4.2: land capability class II whitish, yellow.....	25
3.2.4.4.: land capability class III/IV (Greyish yellow) .....	25
3.2.4.5.: Mapping units symbol .....	25
3.2.4.6 Detail of soil erosion in project area.....	25
Table no 16a Detail of soil erosion	
3.3. : land use pattern .....	26
3.3.1: Land holding .....	26
Table No. 17 Distribution of farm families according to their size of landings .....	26
3.3.2.: Land use.....	27

Table No. 18 : Land use pattern .....	27
3.3.2.1 : Agriculture .....	27
3.3.2.2 : Crop Productivity .....	29
Table No. 19 : Production and Productivities of important commodities in IWMP II <sup>nd</sup> Mau .....	29
3.3.2.3: Indigenous technological knowledge (ITK).....	30
3.4. Horticulture .....	30
3.5: live Stock Population .....	31
Table No. 20 : Live Stock Population.....	31
3.6.: Fisheries .....	32
3.7: Forest and other vegetation .....	32
3.7.1. : Forests .....	32
3.7.2.: Horticulture and agro-forestry .....	32
3.7.3: Agro. Forestry .....	32
3.7.: Livelihood Pattern .....	33
Table No. 21: Livelihood Pattern (Occupational Distribution) .....	33
3.7.1.: Per Capita income .....	33
Table no. 22: Per capita income and IWMP I <sup>st</sup> Mau.....	33
3.8.: Hydrology and water resource .....	34
3.8.1. : Table No. 23 : Hydrology Status .....	34
3.8.2: Water resource .....	34
Table No. 24: Information about water resource.....	34
3.9.: PROBLEMS AND NEEDS OF THE AREA.....	35
3.9.1 : Problems identification and prioritization .....	35
Table No. 25. : Problems identification and prioritization for Micro Watersheds .....	35
Table No. 26: SWOT analysis of the Micro Watersheds.....	36

## **CHAPTER 4 : INSTITUTION BULDING AND PROJECT MANAGEMENT .**

4.1 : Participatory Rural Appraisal (PRA) .....	37
4.2. : Social Mobilization and community Organization.....	37
Table No. 27 : Physical Outlays of PIA,U.G. SHG , W.D.T. and W.C.....	37
4.2.1: Watershed Committee .....	37
Table No. 28 : Details of watershed Committee (WC) .....	38
4.2.2. : Watershed Development Team.....	42
Table NO. 29 : Details of watershed development teams (WDTs) in the project area .....	42
4.2.3: Self help group .....	42



Table No. 30 SHG Detail .....	43
4.2.4: User Group .....	45
Table No. 31: UGS Detail .....	45
4.3.: Project Implementing agencies .....	48
Table No. 32 : Project Implementing agency (PIA) .....	48
4.4: Project Implementation Strategy.....	50
4.4.1 : Scientific Planning .....	50
I. Cluster Approach .....	50
II. Base Line Survey .....	50
III. Participatory Rural Appraisal (PRA) .....	50
IV. Use Of GIS and Remote sensing for planning .....	51
A. Prioritization .....	51
B. Planning .....	51
C. Hydrological modeling.....	51
Table no. 34 : Details of Scientific Planning and inputs in IWMP project .....	54
4.5. : Convergence of watershed programs .....	53
Table No. 35: Details Of Convergence Other Schemes in the project Area with IWMP Project .....	

## **CHAPTER 5 MANAGEMENT AND ACTION PLAN**

5.1. Preparatory Phase .....	56
5.1.1 : Entry Point Activities .....	56
Table no. 36 : Entry Point Activities (EPA) .....	56
Table No. 37 : Other activities of Preparatory Phase .....	58
5.1.2: Institution and capacity Building .....	58
5.1.2.1: Institution .....	58
Table No. 38; List of approved Training institutes @ for capacity Building in the project area .....	58
5.1.2.2: Capacity Building .....	59
Table No. 39 : Capacity Building activities in the project .....	59
Table no. 40 : Detail of activities undertaken .....	60
Table No. 41: Information Education & Communication (IEC) activities in the project area .....	60
5.1.3: Detail Project Report .....	60
5.2. WORK PHASE .....	61
5.2.1: Soil and moisture conservation .....	61
1. Ridge Area Treatment Plans: .....	61

A.	Contour Bunding.....	61
B.	Marginal and Peripheral Bund.....	62
C.	Submergence Bundhies and gully Plug .....	62
2.	Water Harvesting Budhies .....	62
3.	Pucca Structure : .....	62
4.	Aroforestry : .....	62
5.	Dry Land horticulture .....	62
	Table No. 42 : Activities related to surface water resources in the project areas@.....	63
	Table no. 43: Technical detail of Farm Ponds and Injection Well .....	63
	Table no. 44: Activities related to recharging ground water resources in the project area @ .....	64
	Table No. 45: Activities executed by user groups in the Project@.....	64
	Table no 46 : Details of engineering structures in watershed works.....	65
	Table no 47: Total Treatment of Micro Watershed of IWMP 1 <sup>st</sup> .....	
	Table no 48: Technical detail of engineering works in project area.....	66
5.2.2	PROPOSED LAND USE	
	Table no. 50: Present and proposed land use plan of the IWMP-I <sup>st</sup> watershed.....	67
5.2.3	WATER RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT AND SOIL CONSERVATION MEASURES	
	Table no. 51 : Details of activities connected with vegetative cover in watershed works.....	72
	Table no. 52: Technical Details of Afforestation and Agro forestry Activities in 1ha.....	74
	Table no. 53: Cost Estimation for afforestation and Agro forestry activity in 1ha.....	74
	Table no. 54: Technical Details of Horticultural Activities in 1ha.....	75
	Table no. 55 : Cost Estimation for Horticultural Activities in 1ha.....	75
5.2.4	PRODUCTION SYSTEM AND MICRO ENTERPRIZES (Financial out lays 13% i.e., Rs. 92.04 lacs)	
	Table no. 56 : Agriculture Production.....	76
	Table no. 57: Horticultural Production :- .....	78
	Table no. 58:ANIMAL HUSBANDRY.....	79
	Table no. 59: Fish Production.....	80
	Table no. 60 : Details of allied/ other activities (Total of the production system and micro enterprises intervention).....	80
	Table no. 61: Item wise total for the project.....	83
	Table no 62: Activities related production system and micro enterprizes intervention by Self Help Groups (SHGs) in the Project areas.....	83
5.2.5	LIVELIHOOD ACTIVITIES	
	Table no. 63 : Details of allied/ other activities (Total of livelihood intervention) .....	84
	Table no. 64: Item wise total for the project.....	86
	Table no. 65: Activities related to livelihoods by Self Help Groups (SHGs) in the Project areas.....	87

Table no 66 : (A)	Details of livelihoods created for landless people*- including micro enterprises.....	87
Table no. 67: (B)	Details of other livelihoods created for farmers .....	87
5.2.6	<u>Marketing linkage</u>	
	Table no. 68: Backward and Forward Linkage.....	88
5.3	<u>Convergence Planning for various activities</u>	
5.3.1	Convergence with MNREGS.....	88
5.3.2	Soil health card, crop demonstration, kisan gosthi, kisan mela, farmer's school also organized in watershed area under many scheme of department of Agriculture. ....	88
5.3.3	Composite fish farming or mixed fish farming popularized in this area with Department of fisheries. ....	88
5.3.4	Animal health camp, fodder development, vaccination work also made through Veterinary Department.....	88
5.3.5	Aforestation in project area also done with Forest Department. ....	88
5.3.6	Dry land horticulture also convergence with department of horticulture. ....	88
	Table no. 69: Details of Convergence of other Schemes in the Project area with IWMP Project.....	89
<b><u>CHAPTER 6 CAPACITY BUILDING PLAN</u></b>		
	Table no 70 : Capacity Building activities in the project.....	92
	Table no 71: Detail of activities undertaken .....	92
<b><u>CHAPTER 7 PHASING OF PROGRAMMES AND BUDGETING</u></b>		
7.1	<u>FUNDING OF THE PROJECT</u> .....	93
	Table no. 72 : Funding for the IWMP Project * (All financial figures in lakh Rs.) .....	93
7.2	<u>YEAR WISE PHASING OF WORK (Physical and Financial)</u> .....	93
	Table no. 73: COMPONENT WISE AND YEAR WISE PHASING OF PHYSICAL AND FINANCIAL OUTLAY.....	93
	Table no. 74:ABSTRACT OF COMPONENT WISE WORK AND FINANCIAL OUTLAY OF WATERSHED.....	94
<b><u>CHAPTER 8 CONSOLIDATION/EXIT STRATEGY</u></b>		
8.1	Quality and Sustainability Issues.....	96
8.1.1	Plans for Monitoring and Evaluation .....	96
8.1.2	Plans for Project management: .....	96
8.1.3	Watershed Development Fund: .....	96
8.1.4	User Charges: .....	97
8.2	Activities in the CPRs in the project area.....	97
	Table no. 75: Details of activities in the CPRs in the Project areas .....	97
8.3	Sustainability and environment security.....	98
8.4	Economic Analysis.....	98
8.4.1	Agriculture.....	99
	Table no. 76: Economics of agriculture sector.....	99

8.4.2	Horticulture.....	99
	Table no. 77: Economics of Horticulture sector.....	99
8.4.3	Forest/ Fuel wood plantation.....	100
	Table no. 78: Economics of aforestation sector.....	100
8.4.4	Food sufficiency .....	100
	Table no 79: Status of food requirement and availability per annum in IWMP-II watershed.....	100

## **CHAPTER 9 EXPECTED OUTCOMES**

9.1	<u>Employment</u> .....	101
	Table no. 80: Employment in Project area .....	101
9.2.	<u>Migration</u> .....	101
	Table no. 81: Details of seasonal migration from Project area .....	101
9.3	Drinking water .....	102
	Table no. 82: Status of Drinking water .....	102
9.4	Vegetative cover .....	102
	Table no. 83: Forest/vegetative cover .....	102
9.5	Livestock .....	102
	Table no. 84: Details of livestock in the project areas (for fluids please mention in litres, for solids please mention in kgs. and income in Rs.) .....	103
9.6	Vegetation/ crop related outcomes: .....	103
	Table no. 85: Details of karif drop area and yield in the project areas .....	103
	Table no. 86: Details of Rabi drop area and yield in the project areas * .....	104
	Expected/Estimated Outcomes (IWMP-II)-Mau.....	

## **CHAPTER 10**

**DETAILS ESTIMATE OF WATERSHED DEVELOPMENT WORK PHASE- (ANNEXURE-1).....105-136**

## **CHAPTER 11**

**DRAWING AND DETAILS ESTIMATE OF LIVELIHOOD PROGRAMME- (ANNEXURE-2).....136-141**

## **CHAPTER 12**

**DRAWING AND DETAILS ESTIMATE OF PRODUCTION SYSTEM AND MICRO ENTERPRISES - (ANNEXURE-3).....142-157**

## **CHAPTER 13 MAPS**

Mapping.....

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Watershed comprises of Seventy two villages in Ghosi, Badrao, Kopaganj Block of Mau District of Uttar Pradesh. All these watersheds have been identified by the Land development and water resources by IWMP-II scheme proper prioritization of different parameters for watershed selection criteria Mau. The watershed is located in the south west of Mau District. Its lies between 25.63 N Latitude and 83.75E (2B2A4e1g, 2B2A4c1e, 2B2A4c1b, 2B2A4c1c, 2B2A4c2a, 2B2A4c2b ) Its altitude 63.50 M above the mean sea Level (MSL) The total area of watershed is 7575.00 ha., All these watershed are surrounded by the 33 grampanchayat. A watershed is the entire land areas which drain into a stream from its mouth. The watershed of a stream has not only area, but also depth extending from the top of the vegetation to the confining geologic strata beneath. It is a hydrologic unit. There is an infinite relationship between land & water. In simple words it can be defined as the divide separating one drainage basin from other. It is also used a synonym for catchments over or a drainage basin.

The intensification of land use in to traditional agricultural sifting is self defeating because it is exploitive the present agricultural practices greatly increase runoff is soil erosion, reduce ground water recharge, cause flood & sedimentation of reservoirs etc. As a result, the cultivated land resource base is shrinking and its productive capacity is diminishing.

The climate of the region is characterized as arid to semi arid with average annual rainfall ranges 780 mm annually with an average of 62 rainy days. Out of which above 85% is received during the monsoon season from July to September. The area receives very less rainfall in the winter season. However temperature ranges from as high as 43°C in the May- June to as low as 5°C during December January the pattern of rainfall is highly erratic & maximum water goes as runoff.

Run off, erosion & drainage represent serious problems in many areas of semiarid tropics. These problems can be solved by evolving developmental programmes which take into consideration natural topography and drainage pattern of the land. The collection of excess water and its utilization to provide greater stability to rainfed agriculture appears to be a viable developmental alternative. The watershed is the natural framework for resource development in relation to crop production.

The most soils of targeted area are sodic in nature, where productivity is very low. PH of these soils ranges from 8.5 to 10. These soils are deficient in organic matter, water holding capacity & micronutrients. Improved breeds of animal & high yielding varieties of different crops, which have sodiness tolerance capacity like Usar Dhan 1 & 3 Daincha, Wheat, Barley, Bajara, Bal & Anola, Guava have need to introduce, In spite of that 33% area of sandy clay loam in nature, which have good soil characteristics along with productivity.

Farming is the main occupation of the dwellers of the watershed. The major crops over Rice Wheat Bajra, Arhar, Mustard, Sugarcane etc. raised most of the lands kept fallow during khariff because of irregular & uncertain rainfall during the rainy season; Rice & Wheat are the most pre dominant cropping system in the area. About 42 % area under agricultural crop is covered during khariff season in the watershed. Among them various crops like rice. Shares maximum area (28%) followed by Arhar (5%) Jowar (4%), Maize (3%) & sugarcane (2%).

Natural vegetation of watershed is not very scientific way. The Forest vegetation is far-dominant with shisham (*Dalbergia sissoo*) Karanj (*Dongainia global*), Mango (*Manjifera indica*) Babul (*Acacia lilotica*) Golar, Neem (*Azadirachta indica*) etc. There is no proper pasture in the watershed. Grass patches are seen only on the bunds, road side & other such places, the principal grasses are serpat, dub (*Cynodon dactylon*) Kansk.

The erosion is main problem of the watersheds is to be locked by harvesting additional water is existing water harvesting structure, which have lost most of their capacity due to siltation & creating new water bodies. Water stored in the water harvesting structures shall be properly recycled to provide supplemental irrigation of critical growth stages of crops & for the establishment of fruit orchards and forest trees.

In agricultural land will treated with bunding alongwith minor leveling. Waste land will be treated with the engineering measures like staggered trenches and a forestation etc.

Budget for the various components is given as below –

S.No.	Budge Component	Percentage	Total (Lakhs)
1	Management Cost		
	a) Administration Cost	10%	66.90
	b) Monitoring Cost	1%	06.69
	c) Evaluation	1%	06.69
	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>80.28</b>
2	Preparatory Phase		
	a) Entry Point Activities	4%	26.76
	b) Capacity building	5%	33.45
	c) Preparation of DPR	1%	06.69
	<b>Sub Total</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>66.90</b>
3	Watershed Works	50%	334.50
4	Livelihood Activities	10%	66.90
5	Production System and Micro-enterprises	13%	86.97
6	Consolidation Phase	5%	33.45
	<b>Total</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>581.82</b>

	<b>Grand Total</b>		<b>669.00</b>
--	--------------------	--	---------------

### **PROJECT AT GLANCE**

1	<b>Name of Project</b>	IWMP-II-, Mau
2	<b>Name of Block</b>	Ghosi, Badrao, Kopaganj
3	<b>Name of District</b>	Mau
4	<b>Name of State</b>	Utter Pradesh
5	<b>Name of Gram Panchayat</b>	1. Rajpura, Bhira, Mishrauli Kuchahra, Sarayasadi, Dhraki, Madia Rampur, Mairjapur Jamalpur, Sarhara, Karissath, Lakhipur, Pyraepur, Masina, Bhawarkol, Hilasakorar, Machikla.
6	<b>Name of village under micro- watershed</b>	Chamarhi, Devakol, Rajpura, bhupaura, Bhira, Saraiganga Palli, Nadwa Khas, Nadwa Patti, Kheri Deeh, Bhikhari pur, Tajpur, Dera Ghat, Mishrauli, Harbanshpur,, Pakari Khurd, Chak Khurd, Chak Kanheli, Zamin Pakaripur, Ojhi Pur, Bhairapur, Faridpur, Chandpur, Kanheli, Bhatauli Bhaisa, Fatehpur, Kuchahara, Beezpura, Vikrampur, Sarai Sadi, Chak Siwar Mo., Moonzdad, Miyanpur, Dharauli, Sodhanpur, Somwari Deeh, Kathauli Zamin, Gauri Deeh, Baraipur Dawarika, Makdumpur Samastpur, Kasba Khas, Pahadpur, Zamialpur Mirzapur, Chak payag, Chak Babban, Chak Vishwnath, Chak Chakarpan, Sarsaha Zamin, Kalafanpur, Kapoorpur, Karishath, Khaspur Kirk., Lakhipur, Bhairapur, Tighara, Bibipur, Pyarepur, Padami Dand, Singhpur, Nokhat, Maseena, Bhawarkol, Abupur, Kodar, Hilsa, Chisti, Tikma, Garha, Kachhi Kalan, Kopaganj, Rajpur, Murarpur, Saidpur
7	<b>Micro watershed code selected</b>	2B2A4e1g, 2B2A4c1e, 2B2A4c1b, 2B2A4c1c, 2B2A4c2a, 2B2A4c2b <b>Note-</b> Micro watershed Code No. 2B2A4e1g area have 0.56 ha. so, it is not workable.
8	<b>Total area of project</b>	5790.00 ha.
9	<b>Proposed area for treatment</b>	5575.00 ha.
10	<b>Cost per Hectare</b>	12000.00 per ha.
11	<b>Project period</b>	2010-11 to 2014-15 1st

12	<b>Total cost of project</b>	669.00 lakhs
----	------------------------------	--------------

# 1. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

## 1.1 Project Background

IWMP-II -Mau district UP watershed with code No. 2B2A4e1g, 2B2A4c1e, 2B2A4c1b, 2B2A4c1c, 2B2A4c2a, 2B2A4c2b respectively having area 7575.00 ha. Located in south-west part of Mau district of Uttar Pradesh has been taken up by Department of Integrated watershed management programme District –Mau.U.P. Under funded Ministry of rural development, GOI. The afore said watershed has also been taken up programme implementation comprising of development & management plan during next five years 2010-11 to 2013-14).. The total proposed area of watershed is 5790.00 ha and treatable area is 5575.00ha

**Table no.1: Basic Project information**

<b>Name of Project</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Block</b>	<b>No of Village</b>	<b>No of MWS</b>	<b>Geographical Area</b>	<b>Proposed Area</b>	<b>Treatable Area</b>	<b>Cost (Rs in Lacs)</b>
IWMP- II <sup>nd</sup>	Mau	Ghosi, Badraon, kopaganj	72	6	7575	5790	5575	669.00

## 1.2 Need and Scope for Watershed Development

Watershed Development Programme is prioritised on the basis of thirteen parameters namely Poverty Index, Percentage of SC/ST, Actual wages, Percentage of small and marginal farmers, Ground water status, Moisture index, Area under rainfed agriculture, Drinking water situation in the area, Percentage of the degraded land, Productivity potential of the land, Continuity of another watershed that has already developed/treated, cluster approach for plain or for hilly terrain. Based on these thirteen parameters a composite ranking was given to Eval Watershed project as given in Table no. 2 The total number of families under BPL is above 50 percent of the total households of the village. Hence a score of 7.5 is allotted. The percentage of schedule castes in the village is about 35 percent to the total population; hence a score of 10 was allotted. Rainfed agriculture for is the primary occupation of the village due to the fact that ground water is saline and hence unfit for usage. More than 80 percent of the farmers are small and marginal by natural and the actual wages earned by the labour is less than the minimum wages hence a composite rank of 5, and 10 are allotted respected.

Since the rainfall received is erratic and irregular. Drinking water is problematic in the village. The soil is very permeable and production of the land can be significantly enriched with the availability of timely irrigation. These watersheds fall in continuity with other watershed. Cluster approach was followed taking into consideration six micro-watersheds covering a total area of 7575.00 ha. and Thus, a cumulative score of 82.50. All the parameters taken together give a cumulative score of 90 to the watershed (reference Table below).



**Table no. 2: Weightage of the project**

Project Name	Project Type	Weightage													Total
IWMP-II-	Eastern plain	i	ii	iii	iv	v	vi	vii	viii	ix	x	xi	xii	xiii	82.5
		7.5	5	5	10	5	0	10	5	5	10	5	15	0	

**Table no 2.1: Criteria and weight age for selection of watershed**

S. No.	Criteria	Maximum score	Ranges & scores			
i	Poverty index (% of poor to population)	10	Above 80 % (10)	80 to 50 % (7.5)	50 to 20 % (5)	Below 20 % (2.5)
ii	% of SC/ ST population	10	More than 40 % (10)	20 to 40 % (5)	Less than 20 % (3)	
iii	Actual wages	5	Actual wages are significantly lower than minimum wages (5)	Actual wages are equal to or higher than minimum wages (0)		
iv	% of small and marginal farmers	10	More than 80 % (10)	50 to 80 % (5)	Less than 50 % (3)	
v	Ground water status	5	Over exploited (5)	Critical (3)	Sub critical (2)	Safe (0)
vi	Moisture index/ DPAP/ DDP Block	15	-66.7 & below (15) DDP Block	-33.3 to -66.6 (10) DPAP Block	0 to -33.2 (0) Non DPAP/ DDP Block	
vii	Area under rain-fed agriculture	15	More than 90 % (15)	80 to 90 % (10)	70 to 80% (5)	Above 70 % (Reject)
viii	Drinking water	10	No source (10)	Problematic village (7.5)	Partially covered (5)	Fully covered (0)
ix	Degraded land	15	High – above 20 % (15)	Medium – 10 to 20 % (10)	Low- less than 10 % of TGA (5)	

x	Productivity potential of the land	15	Lands with low production & where productivity can be significantly enhanced with reasonable efforts (15)	Lands with moderate production & where productivity can be enhanced with reasonable efforts (10)	Lands with high production & where productivity can be marginally enhanced with reasonable efforts (5)	
xi	Contiguity to another watershed that has already been developed/ treated	10	Contiguous to previously treated watershed & contiguity within the microwatersheds in the project (10)	Contiguity within the microwatersheds in the project but non contiguous to previously treated watershed (5)	Neither contiguous to previously treated watershed nor contiguity within the microwatersheds in the project (0)	
xii	Cluster approach in the plains (more than one contiguous micro-watersheds in the project)	15	Above 6 micro-watersheds in cluster (15)	4 to 6 microwatersheds in cluster (10)	2 to 4 microwatersheds in cluster (5)	
xiii	Cluster approach in the hills (more than one contiguous micro-watersheds in the project)	15	Above 5 micro-watersheds in cluster (15)	3 to 5 microwatersheds in cluster (10)	2 to 3 microwatersheds in cluster (5)	
	<b>Total</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>2.5</b>

### 1.3 Objectives and Scope of Project

- Conservation, development and sustainable management of natural resources including their use
- Enhancement of agriculture production and productivity in a sustainable manner.
- Restoration of ecological balance in the degraded and fragile rain-fed ecosystem.
- Reduction in regional disparity between rain-fed and irrigated areas.
- Creation of sustainable employment opportunities for the rural community for livelihood security.

### 1.4 Watershed Information

S. No.	Name of the Project	Type of watershed	Micro watershed Name	Code	Area (ha)
1	IWMP-II - MAU	Micro watershed	Karisath	<b>2B2A4c1e</b>	1680.00
2		Micro watershed	Vijpura	<b>2B2A4c2c</b>	780.00
3		Micro watershed	Rawridih	<b>2B2A4c1g</b>	335.00
4		Micro watershed	Maidapur Samastipur	<b>2B2A4c2b</b>	625.00
5		Micro watershed	Jamalpur Mirzapur	<b>2B2A4c1c</b>	950.00
6		Micro watershed	Hazipur	<b>2B2A4c1b</b>	1205.00
	<b>Total</b>				<b>5575.00</b>

Source: secondary data

### 1.5 Status of previous watershed programmes & other development project/scheme in the watershed area

The IWMP-II -watershed area being very backward, has been on top priority of a number of development project. These programmes are mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme (MGNREGS), Swarnjayanti Gram Swarojgar Yojna (SGSY), Indra Awas Yojna etc.

**Table no. 4 Developmental Programmes running in the project area**


S. No.	Name of Programmes/Scheme	Sponsored Agencies	Objectives	Commencement (year)	Village Covered
1	MGNREGS	Rural Development Dept.	Employment	2009	65
2	IAY	Rural Development Dept.	Housing	2005	58
3	SGSY	Rural Development Dept.	Self employment	1999	52

**Source: Primary data**

## 2. GENERAL DISCRIPTION OF THE WATERSHED

### 2.1 Location

The eight watersheds in Mohammadabad Gohna and Badraon block of Mau District (U.P.) is located 20 to 25 Km away from District headquarters and 10 Km away from block headquarter situated between 25.63 N Latitude and 83.75E longitude



## 2.2 Area: Land use Pattern

The IWMP-II -watersheds has diversified land uses namely agriculture, waste land (open scrub), seasonal water bodies etc. The varied present land use and area under different categories in watershed is shown in Table 5. The mixed land use followed in the watershed is almost similar in other parts of the U.P. During PRA exercise, the villagers prepared land use. One such map of village of IWMP-II -watershed is shown in table5.

**Table 5. Area under major land uses, irrigated and rain fed area, etc**

S.N.	Name of Project	No of Micro Watershed	Geographical area	Forest Area	Agriculture land	Rain fed Area	Pasture land	Area in hectare		Treatable Area
								Cultivated	Uncultivable	
1	IWMP-II	6	7575	1.50	7315.00	5790.00	2.50	2150	256.00	5575.00

**Source: Primary data**

Various agricultural land uses in the watershed are extended to diversified land capabilities starting fro marginal to good class II lands. The watershed distinctly has three types of lands i.e. leveled, sloping and degraded and undulating. The agriculture is

practiced on all these soil types though the productivity considerably varies. The total area under agriculture in the watershed is about 7315.00 ha out of which 1525.00 ha is irrigated while 5790.00 ha is under rain-fed agriculture. The water (both irrigated and drinking) is most scarce natural resource in the watershed. The problem of tube wells for irrigation of agricultural crops frequently leads to the drinking water problem to the farmers of watershed forcing them to carry drinking water from out side the watershed area. The agricultural field bunds are common in the watershed, however, they frequently breach on heavy rains adversely affecting the in situ percolation of rain water in the soils.

### 2.3 Physiography

Total area of the IWMP- II<sup>nd</sup> MAU is 5790.00 ha with treatable area 5575.00 ha. Elevation range and location of altogether five micro watershed shed are given below above

**Table no. 6: Elevation range, longitude latitude, relief height difference etc**

Location of watershed						Elevation of watershed from MSL		
District	Mandal	Micro watershed	Habitation	Longitude	Latitudes	Highest	Lowest	Relief height difference
Mau	Azamgrh	<b>Karisath 2B2A4c1e</b>	Rajpura, Bhikharipura, Tajpur, Deraghat, Mishrauli Bhaupur,	8375° 15'' to 8375° 10'' East	2563° 10'' to 2563° 20'' North	61	57	5
Mau	Azamgrh	<b>Vijpura 2B2A4c2c</b>	Bijpura, Fatehpur, Kuchahara, Bikarampur, Bhatauli Baishya,	8375° 30'' to 8375° 15'' East	2563° 35'' to 2563° 45'' North	66	58	8
Mau	Azamgrh	<b>Rewaridih 2B2A4c1g</b>	Reuridih, Nadwapatti, Chakmir,	8375° 45'' to 8375° 20'' East	2563° 25'' to 2563° 35'' North	65	52	13
Mau	Azamgrh	<b>Maidapur Samastipur 2B2A4c2b</b>	Pakarikhurd, Ojipur, Harbanshpur,	8375° 10'' to 8375° 20'' East	2563° 10'' to 2563° 20'' North	62	56	6

			Chak Kanheli, Gauri, Kaithauli					
Mau	Azamgrh	<b>Jamalpur Mirzapur 2B2A4c1c</b>	Zamapur Mirzapur, Chak Payag, Chak Bishwanath, Chak Ghughwl Rampur	8375' 55'' to 8375'40'' East	2563'40'' to 2563'30'' North	65	50	15
Mau	Azamgrh	<b>Hazipur 2B2A4c1b</b>	Hazipur, Sinhpur, Khunsekhpur, Takiya Shahbatala,	8375' 25'' to 8375'20'' East	2563'10'' to 2563'25'' North	63	57	6

**Source : Remote sensing Lknow.& GPS**

### 2.3.1 Major stream

The watershed is in the mid of IWMP-II Ind Mau in the form of tauns river having precipitous slop and drained in gully of Tauns river near Bara village. About 4 % of watershed area has slop more than 1% upright ridges. The top of the watershed exhibit extremely precipitous and manifesting moderate to severe erosion class. The lower portion of the watershed has moderate slope (less than 1 %). At the outlet of water shed small gullied are notice , covered with sparse vegetation. In Total (1<sup>st</sup> order - 42numbers, 2<sup>nd</sup> order-4 numbers and 3<sup>rd</sup> order-2 numbers) numbers of streams of different order are found in the watershed, with total stream length of 44100 m. Stream characteristics of watershed are presented in the table

**Table No.7: Stream characteristics of watershed (IWMP-II -Mau)**

Stream order	Stream number	Main stream length
1 <sup>st</sup> order	2	20250.00
2 <sup>nd</sup> order	4	20400.00
3 <sup>rd</sup> order	2	3450.00
Total	8	44100.00

### 2.4 Climate

The watershed falls under the semi-arid region of tropical climate. The average annual precipitation is 680 mm spreading over 62 rainy days. Most of the rainfall (about 85 %) is received during July to September. The rainfall is of moderate to high intensity. The area receives on or scanty rainfall in the winter season. The temperature variation ranges from as high as 43°C in the month of May- June to as low as 5°C in December- January.

#### 2.4.1 Climatic Condition

**Table no. 8: Climatic Condition**

S.	Name of Project	Name of the	No. of Micro	No. of	Information
----	-----------------	-------------	--------------	--------	-------------

No.		block/	Watershed	revenue villages	Average Rainfall and rainy days (in mm)						Temperature (c )			Relative humidity (%)		
					2008		2009		2010		2008	2009	2010	2008	2009	2010
					Avg. in Mm	No. Of Days	Avg. in Mm	No. Of Days	Avg. in Mm	No. Of Days	Min-Max	Min-Max	Min-Max	Min-Max	Min-Max	Min-Max
1	IWMP-II II <sup>nd</sup> - MAU	Ghosi	3	24	400.00	90	432.00	119	199.00	85.00	38.00	37.9	35	85	85	82
2		Badraon	2	24	484.00	81	542.00	118	185.00	77.00	37.50	37.6	35	86	85	83
		Kopaganj	2	24	484.00	81	542.00	118	185.00	77.00	37.50	37.6	35	86	85	83



## 2.5 Watershed Characteristics

### 2.5.1 Shape and Size

The watershed shape (IWMP-II -Mau) is elongate type. The maximum length and width of IWMP-II -Mau the watershed are 23550 m and 20300 m, respectively with the length: width ratio 1.16:1

**Table no. 9: Shape and Size of watershed**

S. N.	Micro watershed Name	Code	Area (ha)	Shape	Approximate size in meter		Ratio Length: width
					Length	Width	
1	Karisath	2B2A4c1e	1680.00	Pentagonal	3650	2400	1.52:1
2	Vijpura	2B2A4c2c	780.00	Rectangle	2300	2100	1.09:1
3	Rawridih	2B2A4c1g	335.00	L shape	10100	2750	3.67:1
4	Maidapur Samastipur	2B2A4c2b	625.00	Rectangle	8200	1250	6.56:1
5	Jamalur Mirzapur	2B2A4c1c	950.00	Cubical	3750	2300	1.63:1
6	Hazipur	2B2A4c1b	1205.00		3450	3300	1.04:1

### 2.5.2 Length of main stream, drainage density, average slope, watershed relief etc.

**Table no. 10: Length of main stream, drainage density, average slope, watershed relief etc**

S.No.	Project Name	Main stream	Drainage Density	Average Slope	Watershed Relief
1	IWMP-II - MAU	I-2050 meter II- 20400 meter III- 3450 meter	0.90-1.20 meter/second	0-4%	6-13 meter

## 2.6 Geomorphology and Soils

### 2.6.1 Geomorphology

The IWMP-II, Mau watershed is located South-west side of the Mau district. The entire watershed is topographically divided into two major landforms. Accordingly, the soils of watershed can be grouped into two major categories. Such as

- Plain land
- Ravinous land

### **2.6.2 Sloppy Land-Sandy Loam**

The topmost portion (western & northwest part) of the watershed is Sloppy land with occasional depressions of flat land. These soils are derived from the Sandy loam and are located at some height of around 10 feet. They have developed from typical alluvial soil slightly alkaline in nature with occasional thin layers of silt in small patches. Near the high level, the soils are coarse and underlying with hard sandstones. The soils of the upper level and very nearby adjoining areas are loamy sand to sand in texture. Depth is the major limitations of these soil groups. As move away upper level, the soil depth gradually increases along with clay content thereby improving the fertility. The soils are alluvial in colour with ferruginous concretions with slightly alkaline in reaction.

### **2.6.3 Fine textured alluvial soils**

These soils are the most extensive soil group found in the IWMP-II -Mau watershed. The middle portion of watershed is relatively flat land with fine soil texture. These soils are grey in colour and are inherently high in fertility status. These grey soils are sticky with high pH and on drying develop numerous cracks and fissures. Soil texture is silty clay loam particularly in depressions and loam in the elevated portion. The soils of the lower horizon are invariably heavier than the surface, being a zone of compaction and invariably a zone of calcium carbonate accumulation in the form of Kankar nodules. A subsurface indurate pan of kankar of clay or mixtures of both locally called as Potni soils are prevalent, which impede the downward movement of water thereby creating problems of high runoff.

### **2.6.4 Coarse textured alluvial soils**

These soils are lying mostly near the adjoining areas of Tamsa River near the outlet and around the lower portion of foot hill of watershed. These soils are coarser in texture and are relatively poor in fertility status. The soils are loamy sand in texture. These soils also occupy significant area of the watershed. The soils are derived from the alluvial deposit and also from residual soils of the hill region. Rill and gully formation in some parts particularly near the outlet of watershed can be seen.

### **2.6.5 Drainage**

Due to prevalence of mild to steep slopes and presence of a number of drainage lines in the watershed, the drainage system is adequate. The watershed forms part of Ghagra basin.

### 3. BASELINE SURVEY

#### 3.1. Socio Economic Condition

##### 3.1.1 Demographic pattern

The total population of six micro watershed are 34064 and 9460 house holds is with average family size is 3.60 person s.

**Table no. 11: Demographic pattern detail**

S. No.	Name of Micro Watershed	Name of Villages	Total No of House Hold	Population			Population of SC/ST		
				Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
1	<b>Karisath 2B2A4c1e</b>	Rajpura, Bhikharipura, Tajpur, Deraghat, Mishrauli Bhaupur, Tighara, Bibipur, Sarai Sadi, Sarhasa Zamin Sarhasa, Kalatanpur, Kapoorpoor, Karishath, Muzadand, Fatehpur, Naukhar, Masina,	3643	13121	13241	26362	1323	1366	2689
2	<b>Vijpura 2B2A4c2c</b>	Bijpura, Fatehpur, Kuchahara, Bikarampur, Bhatauli Baishya, Bhairapur, Kanheli, Paharpur, Chandpur, Faridpur, Devakol	1339	4614	4675	9289	348	371	719
3	<b>Rewaridih 2B2A4c1g</b>	Reuridih, Nadwapatti, Chakmir, Dadachawar, Khawajapur	460	1677	1759	3436	253	253	506
4	<b>Maidapur Samastipur 2B2A4c2b</b>	Pakarikhurd, Ojipur, Harbanshpur, Chak Kanheli, Gauri, Kaithauli Zamin Kaithauli, Baraipar Dwarika, Makhdumpur Malik, Maidapur, Samastpur, Rasulpur Taluka Kasim Khan, Sarai Kakulat, Milzumala Mubarakpur Asan	797	2857	2939	5796	741	722	1463
5	<b>Jamalpur Mirzapur 2B2A4c1c</b>	Zamalpur Mirzapur, Chak Payag, Chak Bishwanath, Chak Chakarpan, Mustafabad, Ariyaso, Tilai Buzurg, Lakhipur, Tilai Khurd, Khanpur Khurd, Chaupur, Hasapur Kirkitpur, Ghughwl Rampur	1364	5224	4889	5224	1601	1562	3163
6	<b>Hazipur 2B2A4c1b</b>	Hazipur, Sindhur, Khunsekhpur, Takiya Shahbatala, Manikpur Zamin Hazipur, Kol Motalika Kalyanpur, Baniyapar Chak Muzeba Chak Saiyad Asaraf, Mizumala Abarana, Padamidand, Bishunpur Pyarepur Slamuddin Pur, Ladpur, Kalayanpur	1857	6596	6561	13157	1320	1343	2663
<b>Total</b>			<b>9460</b>	<b>34089</b>	<b>34064</b>	<b>63264</b>	<b>5586</b>	<b>5617</b>	<b>11203</b>

Source: District statistic magazine

### 3.1.2. Literacy rate

**Table no. 12: Literacy rate detail**

S. No.	Name of Micro Watershed	Name of Villages	Total population	% of Literacy		
				Male	Female	Average
1	<b>Karisath</b> <b>2B2A4c1e</b>	Rajpura, Bhikharipura, Tajpur, Deraghat, Mishrauli Bhaupur, Tighara, Bibipur, Sarai Sadi, Sarhasa Zamin Sarhasa, Kalatanpur, Kapoorpur, Karishath, Muzadand, Fatehpur, Naukhar, Masina,	26362	65	41	53
2	<b>Vijpura</b> <b>2B2A4c2c</b>	Bijpura, Fatehpur, Kuchahara, Bikarampur, Bhatauli Baishya, Bhairpur, Kanheli, Paharpur, Chandpur, Faridpur, Devakol	9289	67	49	58
3	<b>Rewaridih</b> <b>2B2A4c1g</b>	Reuridih, Nadwapatti, Chakmir, Dadachawar, Khawajapur	3436	66	42	54
4	<b>Maidapur</b> <b>Samastipur</b> <b>2B2A4c2b</b>	Pakarikhurd, Ojipur, Harbanshpur, Chak Kanheli, Gauri, Kaithauli Zamin Kaithauli, Baraipar Dwarika, Makhdumpur Malik, Maidapur, Samastpur, Rasulpur Taluka Kasim Khan, Sarai Kakulat, Milzumala Mubarakpur Asan	5796	68	46	57
5	<b>Jamalpur</b> <b>Mirzapur</b> <b>2B2A4c1c</b>	Zamalpur Mirzapur, Chak Payag, Chak Bishwanath, Chak Chakarpan, Mustafabad, Ariyaso, Tilai Buzurg, Lakhipur, Tilai Khurd, Khanpur Khurd, Chaupur, Hasapur Kirkitpur, Ghughwl Rampur	5224		47	58
6	<b>Hazipur</b> <b>2B2A4c1b</b>	Hazipur, Sinhpur, Khunsekhpur, Takiya Shahbatala, Manikpur Zamin Hazipur, Kol Motalika Kalyanpur, Baniyapur Chak Muftaba Chak Saiyad Asaraf, Mizumala Abarana, Padamidand, Bishunpur Pyarepur Slamuddin Pur, Ladpur, Kalayanpur	13157		48	59
Total			<b>63264</b>	<b>66.71</b>	<b>56.01</b>	<b>55.28</b>

### 3.1.3. Migration pattern

**Table no. 13: Migration detail**

S. No.	Name of Micro Watershed	No. of Villages	Total population	Migration			Migration by Month			Main reason for migration	Income during migration
				Total	Male	Female	<3Month	3-6 Month	>6 month		
1	<b>Karisath 2B2A4c1e</b>	17	26362	18000	16125	1121	15318	1256	22	Employment	Rs 3500/ month
2	<b>Vijpura 2B2A4c2c</b>	11	9289	9000	8275	725	7025	975	14	Employment	Rs 4500/ month
3	<b>Rewaridih 2B2A4c1g</b>	5	3436	3000	2522	426	2436	563	13	Employment	Rs 3500/ month
4	<b>Maidapur Samastipur 2B2A4c2b</b>	13	5796	5426	3652	1254	4562	975	15	Employment	Rs4000/ month
5	<b>Jamalpur Mirzapur 2B2A4c1c</b>	15	5224	5001	4561	875	4189	785	12	Employment	Rs 4500/ month
6	<b>Hazipur 2B2A4c1b</b>	14	13157	13000	12475	985	10254	978	16	Employment	Rs 4500/ month


Source: District statistic magazine and Secondary data

### 3.14 Infrastructure social features


**Table no.14: Details of infrastructure in the project area**

S.No.	Parameters	Status			
(I)	No. of Villages connected to the main road by an all-weather road	72			
(ii)	No. of villages provided with electricity	72			
(iii)	No. of households without access to drinking water	9460			
(iv)	No. of educational institutions: Primary (p)/Secondary(S)/ Higher secondary(HS)/ vocational Institution (VI)	(P) 42	(S) 12	(HS) 6	(VI) -
(v)	No. of villages with access to primary Health Center	4			
(vi)	No. of villages with access to Veterinary Dispensary	10			
(vii)	No. of villages with access to Post office	14			
(viii)	No. of villages with access to Banks	4			
(ix)	No. of villages with access to Markets/mandis	11			
(x)	No. of villages with access to Agro-industries	-			
(xi)	Total quantity of surplus milk	2642 liter			
(xii)	No. of milk collection centers (e.g. Union(U)/Society(S)/ Private agency (PA)/ others (O)	(U) No	(S) No	(PA) 32	(O)
(xiii)	No. of villages with access to anganwadi Center	48			
(xiv)	Any other facilities with no. of villages (please specify)	-			


The IWMP-II -watersheds has moderate communication facilities and all 64 villages are approachable through motorable road. Literacy rate in the watershed is very low because all villages are having education upto junior high school. All the villages are electrified and have TV & telephonic connection. Nearest small market is at Thekma and Lalganj 10, 15 km and nearest big market Mau is about 35 km from the watershed. Religious and ritual features are almost common as in other part of the U.P. Small land holding (average less than 2 ha) with large family size (average 7 person) and more than 45% of the labour force of the total population living below poverty line indicate poor socio economic status of the watershed community. However, strong community spirit among the villager's show positive indication for the success of any programmed to be implemented in participatory mode. Traditionally the entire village community participates in the individual works. Various village features is shown in fig




**SOCAL MAP-VILLAGE KARISATH**




**SOCAL MAP-VILLAGE VIJPURA**




**SOCAL MAP-VILLAGE REWARIDIH**



**SOCAL MAP-VILLAGE MAIDAPUR SAMASTIPUR**



**SOCAL MAP-VILLAGE JAMALPUR MIRZAPUR**




**SOCAL MAP-VILLAGE HAZIPUR**




### 3.15 Venn diagram


In the Venn diagram, farmers' perceptions were recorded for importance and role of different development institutions in relation to infrastructure development in the villages. Importance has been depicted with the size of the circle and role with distance from the village circle. The Venn diagram of the IWMP-II watershed villages are being attached here with




VENN DIGRAM OF VILAAGE KARISATH




VENN DIGRAM OF VILAAGE VIJPURA




VENN DIGRAM OF VILAAGE REWARIDIH



VENN DIGRAM OF VILAAGE MAIDAPUR SAMASTIPUR



VENN DIGRAM OF VILAAGE JAMALPUR MIRZAPUR



VENN DIGRAM OF VILAAGE HAZIPUR

### 3.1.6. Historical timeline

An historical timeline is the chronological record of important events in the history of the village which is useful in understanding its background in the context of five watersheds of Mau district. Historical timeline depicting important events in respect of different villages of the IWMP-II -watershed was prepared through PRA. Historical timeline for important villages are given in table .

Village- KARISATH-2B2A4c1e		Village-VIJPURA-2B2a4c2a	
Year	Activities	Year	Activities
1701	Established	1710	Established
1965	Construction Bandhi (water harvesting structure)	1964	Construction Bandhi (water harvesting structure)
1967	Opening up Primary School	1985	Opening up Primary School
1977	Introduction of Tractor	1980	Introduction of Tractor
1979	Establishment of Gobar gas plant	1978	Establishment of Gobar gas plant
1981	Kacha road	1985	Kacha road
1985	Introduction of thresher	1987	Introduction of thresher
1986	First Tube well	1988	First Tube well
1990	First motorcycle	1995	First motorcycle
1993	TV and DVD player	1997	TV and DVD player
2002	Electricity in the village	2005	Electricity in the village
2003	Bituminous road	2007	Bituminous road
2005	Temple renovation	2009	Temple renovation
2009-10	Planning for watershed project	2009-10	Planning for watershed project
Village- REWARIDIH- 2B2A4c1g		Village-MAIDAPUR SAMASTIPUR-2B2A4c2b	
Year	Activities	Year	Activities
1702	Established	1707	Established
1960	Construction Bandhi (water harvesting structure)	1965	Construction Bandhi (water harvesting structure)
1985	Opening up Primary School	1986	Opening up Primary School
1980	Introduction of Tractor	1985	Introduction of Tractor
1978	Establishment of Gobar gas plant	1982	Establishment of Gobar gas plant
1983	Kacha road	1984	Kacha road
1985	Introduction of thresher	1986	Introduction of thresher
1987	First Tube well	1988	First Tube well
1991	First motorcycle	1992	First motorcycle
1995	TV and DVD player	1996	TV and DVD player
2003	Electricity in the village	2000	Electricity in the village
2005	Bituminous road	2003	Bituminous road
2007	Temple renovation	2007	Planning for watershed project
2009-10	Planning for watershed project	2009-10	Established

Village- JAMALPUR MIRZAPUR-2B2A4c1c		Village-HAZIPUR-2B2A4c1b	
Year	Activities	Year	Activities
1810	Established	1712	Established
1964	Construction Bandhi (water harvesting structure)	1963	Construction Bandhi (water harvesting structure)
1984	Opening up Primary School	1987	Opening up Primary School
1983	Introduction of Tractor	1980	Introduction of Tractor
1989	Establishment of Gobar gas plant	1978	Establishment of Gobar gas plant
1982	Kacha road	1983	Kacha road
1986	Introduction of thresher	1987	Introduction of thresher
1989	First Tube well	1989	First Tube well
1993	First motorcycle	1992	First motorcycle
1999	TV and DVD player	1997	TV and DVD player
2002	Electricity in the village	2002	Electricity in the village
2006	Bituminous road	2003	Bituminous road
2009-10	Planning for watershed project	2007	Temple renovation
1810	Established	2009-10	Planning for watershed project

Source : Secondary data


### 3.2. SOIL AND LAND USE

#### 3.2.1 Soil morphology

The IWMP-II-, Mau watershed is located south-west corner of the Mau district. The entire watershed is topographically divided into two major land forms. Accordingly, the soils of watershed have been grouped in two major categories.

- (i) Plain land
- (ii) Sloppy land
- (iii)

Soil profile-A representative soil profile (Dominant soil-Table 8)



### 3.2.2 Morphology of a typical soil profile of micro watershed (dominant soil)

**Table no. 15: Morphology of a typical soil profile of micro watershed (dominant soil)**

Horizon	Depth (cm)	Morphology
A	0-150	Black in colour, clay content 28%, with free CaCO <sub>3</sub> , sticky when moist, hard when dry, high elasticity, fissures and cracks, occasional occurrence of tree calcium carbonate granules pH 8.3-8.7.
B	150-600	Whitish-yellow in colour, high effervescence with dilute HCl, very fine mixed with tree CaCO <sub>3</sub> and granules, very hard when dry, compact & indurate land pan, restricting development of root and downward water transmission (locally called as pathrili soil)
C	>600	Red & White sand stone, Regolith (Parent material)

### 3.2.3. Soil characteristics and fertility status

**Table no. 15.1: Soil characteristics and Fertility Status up to 6''**

Soil properties	LCC II	LCC IV	LCC VII/VIII
Sand (%)	45.5	74.30	74.14
Silt (%)	25.03	19.40	19.20
Clay (%)	29.5	6.52	6.53
Texture	Sandy Clay loam	Loamy sand	Loamy sand
pH (1 : 2)	8.01	8.52	6.96
EC (DSm <sup>-1</sup> )	0.47	0.11	0.15
Organic carbon (%)	0.36	0.13	0.18
Available N (Kgha <sup>-1</sup> )	322	175	222
Available P (Kgha <sup>-1</sup> )	28	16	6-7
Available K (Kgha <sup>-1</sup> )	180	318	232

Values correspond to soil fraction

### 3.2.4. Land Capability Classification (LCC)

Land capability classification was done to classify the soils in different groups based upon the capabilities & limitation and to emphasize the hazards prevailing in the watershed under different kinds of soils. Initially reconnaissance survey was carried out for entire watershed in order to find out the different topo-sequences, land forms, soil depth and erosion hazards. This was followed by the detailed investigation of selected land forms to bring out the LCC classes of the IWMP-II-, Mau watershed. Three classes of land capabilities namely II, IV & VII were demarcated in the watershed. The areas under different classes are shown in table 16.

**Table no. 16: Area under different land capability class under micro watersheds.**

Land capability class	Area (ha)
II	4375.00
IV	1531.00
VII	1135.00
VIII	274.00
Total	7315.00

#### 3.2.4.1. Land capability class I (White)

This group is one of the most extensive LCC class of the IWMP-II-, Mau watershed. This group of soil is occupying around 4375.00 ha of the watershed area. The soil are clay loam or silty clay loam in texture. The land under this class is nearly level to wild sloping (1-3%). The soils are deep and erosion hazard in slight. Most of the production agriculture land comes under class II. A considerable area of watershed is seasonally water logged comes under this LCC class, primarily found near the earthen check. These areas are subject to water logging in most part of the year. The lands are almost flat, silty clay or clay loam, in texture, deep and very mild slopping. These lands have no major limitations other than occasional water logging. During rabi seasons, the water is drained out and cultivation is carried out. These lands potentially very productive but due to water logging during the rainy season, it could not be brought out under cultivation during the kharif season. The mapping unit for this class is given as under-

Land capability class IV = Scl-d<sub>5</sub>/B-e<sub>1</sub>

#### **3.2.4.2 Land capability class II (whitish yellow)**

A considerable area of watershed i.e. 1531 ha is under class IV. This class is found in lower portion i.e. near the outlet of watershed. The soils are coarser in texture (loamy sand/sand), deep, susceptible to erosion hazard and undertaking in topography. Limiting factors in this class are steep slopes, severe past erosion, severe acidity, shallow soils, lower water holding capacity, severe alkalinity and salinity with poor drainage are common features. Rill & initiation of gully can be seen near the outlet of the watershed. In some part of calcareous soils particularly near the foot hill, where soils are coarser in texture and shallow in depth, is also coming under this class. The mapping unit for their class is as follows.

Land capability class  $IV_e = 1s-d_5/C-e_3$ ,  $IV_{es}=1s-d_3/D-e_3$  (calcareous soils)

#### **3.2.4.4. Land capability class III/IV (Greysh yellow)**

These lands are occupying an area of 1135 ha of the watershed. This class of land is mostly found in pathrili area of watershed. The soils are very shallow underlying hard rock, steep slope (>22%) and coarser in texture. Mostly brown soils are found under this class. Class VII and VIII are intermixed in top of the foot hill of watershed. Mostly class VIII (274 ha) lands are located on moderately trill topes (Bhita), where soil depth is almost negligible. Soils with admixture of gravels/rock fragments are found in these classes of lands. The mapping unit for this class of land is as follows.

Land capability class  $VII_e=gl_s-d_1/H/I-e_4$

#### **3.2.4.5. Mapping units symbol**

Soils depth (cm)  $d_5 > 90$  cm;  $d_3=22.5-45.0$ ;  $d_1 < 7.5$  cm. Texture cl-clay loam; IS-loamy sand; gls-gravelly loamy sand, slope %) B-1-3; C-3-5; D-5-10%, H-25-33; I-33-50

Erosion  $e_1=25\%$  of A horizon lost;  $e_2 = 50-75\%$  of A horizon lost;  $e_4=50-75\%$  B horizon lost.



### 3.2.4.6 Detail of soil Erosion in the Project Area

**Table no. 16 a**

1	2	3	4	5
Cause	Type Of erosion	Area affected (h)	Run Off (mm/year)	Average soil loss (Tonnes/ha/year)
Water erosion		IWMP-II		
a	Sheet	4000	725 MM	16.55
b	Rill	1500		
c	Gully	75		
Sub-Total		5575	725MM	
Wind erosion			NA	
<b>Total</b>		<b>6372</b>	<b>475MM</b>	<b>16.05</b>

### CONCLUSION

The land capability classification of IWMP-II, Mau Watershed provides reasonable good information with regard to capability of soil. That could be used for agriculture, agri-horticulture, silviculture & pasture development. The majority of land from is coming under class II, which give an insight of good agriculture production potential of this watershed. The productivity of these land could be further enhanced by adoption of simple soil & water conservation measures like mild leveling, bunding, diversion drain and in site moisture conservation practices. The reasonable area is under class VII indicating greater potential of this watershed for forestry and pasture development. The major physical limitation in case of agriculture soils are the sub soil hardness, low limitations in case of agriculture soils are the sub soils hardness, low water in filterability and slope. In case of area under calcareous soils, pronounced limitations of soils depth was noticed following by severe erosion hazard and coarse soil texture. A small portion watershed is under seasonally water logged. The soils under waterlogged are could be used for some other beneficial farming activities during the kharif and season also.

### 3.3. Land Use Pattern

#### 3.3.1 Land holding

Majority of the farmers are in the category of marginal (< 1 ha) and small (1-2 ha) with average land holding of about 2.2 ha. These small land holding are further scattered at different places, which makes cultivation very difficult. Distribution of farm families according to the size of the land holdings are given in the table.

**Table no. 17: Distribution of farm families according to their size of landings.**

S.N.	Name of Micro Watershed	Name of Villages	Land holding			
			Marginal (<1 ha)	Small (1-2 ha)	Large (>2 ha)	Total
1	<b>Karisath 2B2A4c1e</b>	Rajpura, Bhikharipura, Tajpur, Deraghat, Mishrauli Bhaupur, Tighara, Bibipur, Sarai Sadi, Sarhasa Zamin Sarhasa, Kalatanpur, Kapoorpur, Karishath, Muzadand, Fatehpur, Naukhar, Masina,	498	395	147	<b>1040</b>
2	<b>Vijpura 2B2A4c2c</b>	Bijpura, Fatehpur, Kuchahara, Bikarampur, Bhatauli Baishya, Bhairapur, Kanheli, Paharpur, Chandpur, Faridpur, Devakol	369	296	137	<b>802</b>
3	<b>Rewaridih 2B2A4c1g</b>	Reuridih, Nadwapatti, Chakmir, Dadachawar, Khawajapur	429	358	235	<b>1022</b>
4	<b>Maidapur Samastipur 2B2A4c2b</b>	Pakarikhurd, Ojipur, Harbanshpur, Chak Kanheli, Gauri, Kaithauli Zamin Kaithauli, Baraipar Dwarika, Makhdumpur Malik, Maidapur, Samastpur, Rasulpur Taluka Kasim Khan, Sarai Kakulat, Milzumala Mubarakpur Asan	551	429	245	<b>1225</b>
5	<b>Jamalpur Mirzapur 2B2A4c1c</b>	Zamalpur Mirzapur, Chak Payag, Chak Bishwanath, Chak Chakarpan, Mustafabad, Ariyaso, Tilai Buzurg, Lakhipur, Tilai Khurd, Khanpur Khurd, Chaupur, Hasapur Kirkitpur, Ghughwl Rampur	1340	1043	437	<b>2820</b>
6	<b>Hazipur 2B2A4c1b</b>	Hazipur, Sinhpur, Khunsekhpur, Takiya Shahbatala, Manikpur Zamin Hazipur, Kol Motalika Kalyanpur, Baniyapar Chak Muhtaba Chak Saiyad Asaraf, Mizumala Abarana, Padamidand, Bishunpur Pyarepur Slamuddin Pur, Ladpur, Kalayanpur	493	390	265	<b>1148</b>
<b>Total</b>			<b>3680</b>	<b>2911</b>	<b>1466</b>	<b>8057</b>

Source: District statistic magazine and Secondary data

### 3.3.2. Land Use

The IWMP-II -watersheds has diversified land uses namely agriculture, waste land (open scrub), seasonal water bodies etc. The varied present land use and area under different categories in watershed is shown in Table 18. The mixed land use followed in the watershed is almost similar in other parts of the U.P. During PRA exercise, the villagers prepared land use. One such map of village of IWMP-II -watershed is shown in table

**Table no 18: Land use pattern**

S.N.	Name of Project	No of Micro Watershed	Geographical area	Forest Area	Agriculture land	Rain fed Area	Pasture land	Area in hectare		Treatable Area
								Cultivated	Uncultivable	
1	IWMP-II	6	7575.00	1.50	7315.00	5790.00	2.50	2150	256.00	5575.00

#### 3.3.2.1 Agriculture

Various agricultural land use in the watershed are extended to diversified land capabilities starting from marginal to good class-II land. The watershed distinctly has three types of land i.e. leveled, sloping & degraded and undulating. The agriculture is practiced on all these soil types through the productivity considerably vary. The total area under agriculture in the watershed is about 7315.00 ha out of which 1556.00 ha is irrigated while 5790.00 ha is under rainfed agriculture. The water (both irrigated and drinking) is most scarce natural resource in the watershed. The operation of tube wells for irrigation of agricultural crops frequently leads to the drinking water problem to the formers of watershed & occasionally forcing them to carry drinking water from another side of the watershed area. The agricultural field bund are common in the watershed, however, they have poor thickness & usually water flow feely from top of bunds as well as frequently breach on heavy rains adversely affecting the in site percolator of rain water in the soils.

The agriculture soils are in the watershed have diversified texture i.e. clay loam, sandy clay loam and Kankar mixed textures which are located in patches throughout the watershed. The heavy soils and almost used by paddy crop during rainy season. The sodic soils also have hard calcium pan at variable depths. The irrigation water is conveyed either by earthen channels or plastic pipes and surface irrigation methods following mainly border method or flood method of irrigation by the farmers in the watershed. These factors substantially reduce the water use efficiency of limited available & valuable irrigation water in the watershed. The quality of irrigation water needs to be tested for assessing fitness of the quality for irrigation & other purposes. Rehabilitation of waste lands with appropriate drought hardy species like Karanj (*Pongamia glabra*), introduction of suitable multi-purpose trees, promoting agro-forestry on agricultural lands with appropriate fruits & forest species, suitable vegetative barriers on sloping lands can of high future value in meeting out not only fire wood & fodder demands in the watershed but also for soil & water conservation, eco-friendly environment, rehabilitation of watershed and substantial income generation for socio-economic upliftment of resource poor farmers in the watershed.

## One year rotation

**Single cropping :** Fallow-mustard/wheat/gram/pea/lentil/winter vegetables (In watershed area rabi crops are mostly raised on fallow fields of rabi season while kharif crops are grown on fallow of zaid season).

**Double cropping :** Bajra/Jowar/sesame/black gram/green gram-mustard/wheat/gram/lentil/winter vegetables. growing of two or more than two crops simultaneously in the same field at the same time with an objective to mitigate the risk factors as well as enhancement in the productivity & profitability in the per unit area e.g. wheat mustard, lentil+ line seed, Sugarce + mustard Gram + Line seed , Maize + Arhar etc.

## Irrigated Agriculture

**One Year Rotation :** Growing of number of crops in such a way that must complete their life cycle within agricultural year in a strict rotation without deteriorating soil fertility is called one year crop rotation. Examples Rice-wheat, Rice- mustard, maiz-potato, maize-gram/pea/lentil, rice-barley-zaid maize, maize-potato-urd/mung etc.

### 3.3.2.2. Crop Productivity

**Table no. 19 Production and productivities of important commodities in IWMP-II, MAU**

Sl.	Name of Crop	2005			2006			2007			2008			2009		
		A (Ha.)	P (Tons)	Y (Qt./Ha)	A (Ha.)	P (Tons)	Y (Qt./Ha)	A (Ha.)	P (Tons)	Y (Qt./Ha)	A (Ha.)	P (Tons)	Y (Qt./Ha)	A (Ha.)	P (Tons)	Y (Qt./Ha)
1	<b>Paddy</b>	5462	164187.72	30.06	5462	169322.00	31	5462	180246.00	33	5462	191170.00	35	5462	196632.00	36
2.	<b>Wheat</b>	6125	177625.00	29	6125	183750.00	30	6125	196000.00	32	6125	183750.00	30	6125	202125.00	33
3.	<b>Mustard</b>	200	1600.00	8	200	1900.00	9.5	200	1800.00	9	200	1600.00	8	200	1800.00	9
4.	<b>Lentil</b>	98	784.00	8	98	931.00	9.5	98	784.00	8	98	735.00	7.5	98	833.00	8.5
5.	<b>Pea</b>	50	250.00	5	50	400.00	8	50	425.00	8.5	50	450.00	9	50	450.00	9
6.	<b>Potato</b>	80	11360.00	142	80	11840.00	148	80	12400.00	155	80	12960.00	162	80	11840.00	148
8.	<b>Banana</b>	5	885.00	177	5	885.00	177	5	910.00	182	5	950.00	190	5	1025.00	205

Source: District statistic magazine and Secondary data

**Crop productivity :** The agricultural productivity is primarily driven by the amount and distribution of rain water specifically during two cropping seasons i.e. rabi & kharif. Productivity of kharif crops is also affected by the late onset or early withdrawal of monsoon as well as intermittent droughts of variable duration & intensity. The farmers also do not have suitable cropping systems to deal aberrant weather, weeds impose considerable constraint in productivity of both kharif and rabi crops under irrigated as well as rainfed production system. Farmers undertake normally one manual weeding in paddy & other valuable crops, however, practice is energy and time consuming. Use of weedicides is rare in the watershed.

The mixed cropping is in practice in limited area with kharif crops like Arhar, maize, mung, urd, bajra & jowar but it is not only irrational but also unscientific and beset with low productivity. Subsequent rabi crops in general and mustard, gram pea, barley crops, in particular are raised on

residual soil moisture under rainfed production system during post monsoon season. Imbalanced use of fertilizers is common is not only rabi & kharif crops but also in rainfed and irrigated production system. The recommended deep ploughing for enhanced in sites residual soil moisture conservation and higher production is also not followed in the watershed. The shallow ploughing through tractor drawn tillage implements are available with the farmers in the watershed but deep ploughing implements are available with the farmers in the watershed but deep ploughing implements yet need to be introduced. The soil fertility/health restoration practices like green manuring, crop rotations and intercropping specifically with legumes, use of FYM/compost, vermi-compost, bio fertilizers, soils & water conservation measures use of brought up or in sites mulches are widely lacking in the watershed. The soil and water conservation measures are limited to mechanical/earthen measures created by the state Govt. agencies. Conservation agronomical measures like seeding and ploughing across the slope, weed mulching, agro-forestry, vegetative barriers etc also completely lack in watershed.

### **3.3.2.3. Indigenous technological knowledge (ITK)**

The agriculture is an old traditional practice of farmers in the watershed who have improved themselves with passage of the time according to their domestic need and technological reforms in the nearby areas. The villagers have their traditional village ponds, practice of field bunding which typically constitute agriculture related ITKs in the watershed. The mustard being a cash/fire wood crop of the watershed is being cultivated in self designed manner by the farmers. However, limited fertilizer application specifically the DAP came in to practice since about 20 years.

## **3.4 Horticulture**

The subtropical fruits and vegetables have very good potential in the watershed. The fruit trees has limited in number like mango, guava, papaya, lemon, lime, ber, aonla, bael as vegetables like cucurbits, okra, radish, tomato, cauliflower cabbage, garlic, onion, brinjal, chilly, but they are found surviving well in the watershed villages. Organized orchards, commercial vegetable cultivation, horti-agri and other systems of agro-forestry etc are lacking but have good potential in the watershed.

## **3.5 Live Stock Population**

Total livestock population of the IWMP-II -watersheds is 12108 buffalo is preferred as milch animal compared to cow, but milk yield is very low. Goats are also kept for milk as well as for meat purpose. The detail of live stock population is given in table below

**Table no. 20: Live stock population**

S. N.	Name of Micro Watershed	Name of Villages	Cows	Buffaloes	Sheep	Goat	Cock	Pig	Fish	Total
1	<b>Karisath 2B2A4c1e</b>	Rajpura, Bhikharipura, Tajpur, Deraghat, Mishrauli Bhaupur, Tighara, Bibipur, Sarai Sadi, Sarhasa Zamin Sarhasa, Kalatanpur, Kapoorpur, Karishath, Muzadand, Fatehpur, Naukhar, Masina,	<b>1687</b>	<b>2698</b>	<b>260</b>	<b>4655</b>	<b>3290</b>	<b>512</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>13102</b>
2	<b>Vijpura 2B2A4c2c</b>	Bijpura, Fatehpur, Kuchahara, Bikarampur, Bhatauli Baishya, Bhairpur, Kanheli, Paharpur, Chandpur, Faridpur, Devakol	<b>1895</b>	<b>2450</b>	<b>370</b>	<b>3480</b>	<b>2035</b>	<b>300</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>10530</b>
3	<b>Rewaridih 2B2A4c1g</b>	Reuridih, Nadwapatti, Chakmir, Dadachawar, Khawajapur	<b>1130</b>	<b>1550</b>	<b>650</b>	<b>2060</b>	<b>950</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>6440</b>
4	<b>Maidapur Samastipur 2B2A4c2b</b>	Pakarikhurd, Ojipur, Harbanshpur, Chak Kanheli, Gauri, Kaithauli Zamin Kaithauli, Baraipar Dwarika, Makhdumpur Malik, Maidapur, Samastpur, Rasulpur Taluka Kasim Khan, Sarai Kakulat, Milzumala Mubarakpur Asan	<b>2575</b>	<b>3110</b>	<b>220</b>	<b>3535</b>	<b>1915</b>	<b>370</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>11725</b>
5	<b>Jamalpur Mirzapur 2B2A4c1c</b>	Zamalpur Mirzapur, Chak Payag, Chak Bishwanath, Chak Chakarpan, Mustafabad, Ariyaso, Tilai Buzurg, Lakhipur, Tilai Khurd, Khanpur Khurd, Chaupur, Hasapur Kirkitpur, Ghughwl Rampur	<b>630</b>	<b>775</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>740</b>	<b>230</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>2485</b>
6	<b>Hazipur 2B2A4c1b</b>	Hazipur, Sinhpur, Khunsekhpur, Takiya Shahbatala, Manikpur Zamin Hazipur, Kol Motalika Kalyanpur, Baniyapar Chak Muztaba Chak Saiyad Asaraf, Mizumala Abarana, Padamidand, Bishunpur Pyarepur Slamuddin Pur, Ladpur, Kalayanpur	<b>650</b>	<b>340</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>2365</b>	<b>2563</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>6057</b>
<b>Total</b>			<b>10157</b>	<b>12108</b>	<b>1846</b>	<b>24623</b>	<b>14395</b>	<b>1692</b>		<b>64821</b>

Source: District statistic magazine and Secondary data

### **3.6 Fisheries**

Commercial fish farming was not done by the farmers of in IWMP-II , but there is a big scope for fish farming in these area. Aware farmers gated 25.00q/ha production, it was very low.

### **3.7. Forest and other Vegetation**

#### **3.7.1. Forests**

The watershed has no forest area only some wild trees are found in scattered manner.

#### **3.7.2. Horticulture/ Agro-forestry**

No horticulture and Agro forestry practices were observed in the watershed.

#### **3.7.3. Agro-forestry**

The agro-forestry practices are highly lacking in the watershed though it has good potential under existing dispositions and may play a vital role particularly with respect to minimization of cropping risk, build up soil fertility and productivity, soil conservation, partly meeting out the fire wood demand of rural community and moreover, optimizing the economical return from system as a whole under typical semi arid climate in the watershed. The other agro-forestry systems like agri-silvi, silvi-pastoral, bund and boundary plantations also have good potential to cater the fire wood and fodder demands of the rural community in the watershed. The existing area under agro-forestry is almost negligible. Prosopis juliflora may be planted as block or sole plantation specifically on marginal and degraded lands in the watershed. The agro-forestry interventions comprising of ber, bail, aonla, guava, popular etc may be applied to benefit of the farmers under rain-fed to irrigated production systems on leveled to sloping and marginal agricultural using proper planting techniques and termite control measures. The multipurpose trees may also help in supplementing fire wood and fodder demands of the rural community in the watershed and may be planted as hedge rows on rain-fed, marginal and degraded lands.

### **Conclusions**

The land capability classification of IWMP-II -watershed provides reasonable good information with regard to capability of soil, that could be used for agriculture, agri-horticulture, silviculture and pasture development. The majority of land form is coming under class II, which give an insight of good agriculture production potential of this watershed. The productivity of these lands could be further enhanced by adoption of simple soil & water conservation measures like mild leveling, bunding, diversion drain and in-situ moisture conservation practices. The reasonable area is under class III indicating greater potential of this watershed for forestry and pasture development. The major physical limitations in case of agriculture soils are the sub soil hardness, low water infiltrability and slope. In case of area under topes of watershed, the most pronounced limitation of soil depth was noticed followed by severe erosion hazard and coarse soil texture. A small portion of watershed is under seasonally waterlogged. The soils under waterlogged area could be used for some other beneficial farming activities during the kharif season also.

### 3.7 Livelihood Pattern

The people of watershed area earn their livelihood from agriculture and animal husbandry. During lean period they migrate for daily wage labourers in block and district head quarter and as agriculture labour in other parts of the state. Another occupation of the people is parental small scale occupation.

**Table no. 21: Livelihood pattern (Occupational Distribution)**

S. N.	Name of Micro Watershed	Total Workforce	Agriculture	A. Husbandry	Agriculture + A. Husbandry	Casual Labour	Service	Handcraft	Daily wage labour
1	Karisath 2B2A4c1e	8845	3265	1256	2365	789	5	3	2365
2	Vijpura 2B2A4c2c	3525	1256	963	563	845	10	2	252
3	Rewaridih 2B2A4c1g	12823	3265	4562	569	856	9	3	456
4	Maidapur Samastipur 2B2A4c2b	5098	1256	956	785	456	10	8	2365
5	Jamalpur Mirzapur 2B2A4c1c	4519	1254	654	456	258	6	2	1254
6	Hazipur 2B2A4c1b	4712	1456	548	458	652	9	3	654

Source: District statistic magazine and Secondary data

#### 3.7.1. Per capita income

**Table no. 22: Per capita income in IWMP-II – Mau**

S. no.	Name of Project	Agriculture (Rs)	A. Husbandry (Rs)	Casual labour (Rs)	Others (Rs)	Total (Rs)
1	IWMP-II - MAU	6850.00	4725.50	4500.00	3220.00	19295.00



### 3.8 Hydrology and water resource

#### 3.8.1. Table no 23: Hydrology status

S.No.	Name of Project	Item	Unit of measurement	Status
1	IWMP-II - MAU	Status of water table	Meters	6-7
2		Ground water structures repaired/ rejuvenated	No.	-
3		Quality of drinking water	Quality	Poor
4		Availability of drinking water	Days	300
5		Irrigation potential	%	4

#### 3.8.2. Water Resource

**Table no. 24: Information about water resources and effectiveness use of water**

S. N.	Name of Micro Watershed	Canal		Wells/Borewells		Tubewells		Ponds		Govt. handpump		Pvt. handpumps		Others	
		D	N	D	N	D	N	D	N	D	N	D	N	D	N
1	Karisath 2B2A4c1e	-	-	325	7	250	5	310	7	235	18	284	42	-	-
2	Vijpura 2B2A4c2c	-	-	325	7	250	5	310	7	235	18	284	32	-	-
3	Rewaridih 2B2A4c1g	-	-	320	2	250	2	310	9	235	14	284	10	-	-
4	Maidapur Samastipur 2B2A4c2b	-	-	325	9	250	3	310	6	235	18	284	82	-	-
5	Jamalpur Mirzapur 2B2A4c1c	-	-	320	4	250	3	310	5	235	12	260	26	-	-
6	Hazipur 2B2A4c1b	-	-	330	6	251	4	310	5	235	12	260	23	-	-

**D= Availability of water in days**

**N= Number**

Source: District statistic magazine and Secondary data

### **3.9 PROBLEMS AND NEEDS OF THE AREA**

#### **3.9.1 Problem Identification and prioritization**

Food sufficiency, economic growth and environmental security were identified as the major issues to be addressed in the watershed area. The area has undulating topography, steep unstable slopes, and excessive channel gradient and hence highly prone to soil erosion. Effective soil depth is limited and spatially highly variable hampering good crop growth (Table 11).

Problems identified and prioritized during the transact walk and PRA exercises in all the villages of this IWMP-II -watershed were pooled and a list of nine problems representing the whole watershed was prepared. Problems were ranked as per their total weight age in the three villages. Lack of irrigation water was the greatest problem experienced by the people followed by low production of field crops, lack of fodder availability and low animal productivity (Annexure- I).

Strength, weakness, opportunity and threat (SWOT) analysis is a useful decision support tool, A SWOT analysis of the Micro watersheds is presented in Table 25.

**Table no. 25: Problem identification and prioritization for Micro Watersheds**

<b>S.No.</b>	<b>Problem</b>	<b>Rank</b>
1	Low production of field crops	5
2	Lack of drinking water	1
3	Lack of irrigation water	2
4	Lack of fodder availability and low annual productivity	3
5	Non-availability of fuel wood	4
6	Lack of inputs like quality seeds, fertilizer, pesticides etc.	6
7	Lack of market facility	9
8	Lack of medical, educational and transportation facilities	8
9	Medical and health care facilities for mulching animals and low productivity.	7

**Table no. 26: SWOT analysis of the Micro Watersheds**

<b>Strengths (S)</b>		<b>Weakness (W)</b>	
i.	Cooperative work culture in traditional activities	i.	Poor water management
ii.	Close ethic ties	ii.	Resource poor farmers
iii.	Road at the top as well as outlet of the watershed	iii.	Out migration of youth
iv.	Hard Working	iv.	Low and erratic rainfall
v.	Resource pool of crop genetics diversity	v.	Fragile geology
vi.	Awareness of farmers about watershed management programme	vi.	Fragmented land holing
vii.	Well established CPR maintaining and sharing system	vii.	Heavy infestation of wild animals
viii.	Stall feeding of animals	viii.	Problem of fuel and fodder
ix.	Well maintained seasonal water bodies	ix.	Shallow soil depth and with high percentage of gravel
x.	Social outlook of the community towards land less		
<b>Opportunities (O)</b>		<b>Threats (T)</b>	
i.	Wide range of annual and perennial crops	i.	Prone to adverse climate like drought
ii.	Scope of regular employment opportunities	ii.	High market risk
iii.	Strengthening of existing irrigation system	iii.	Social conflicts owing to PRI and WSM polices and local politics
iv.	Conducive climate for rain fed crop diversification	iv.	Weak coordination among lin departments
v.	Good Scope for Agro forestry and dry land horticulture	v.	Lack of expertise of implementing agency in different aspects of WSM
vi.	Potential for collective action and management of CPR		

## 4. INSTITUTION BUILDING AND PROJECT MANAGEMENT

### 4.1 Participatory Rural Appraisal (PRA)

The past experience of watershed has given tremendous input to focus on creating accountability of the stakeholders towards the programme. This has created an emphasis to includes the all stakeholders communities and their local indigenous technological knowledge while planning for any activity. Participatory approach provides a new path for planning, implementing, monitoring and post withdrawal activities with a complete accountability of the stakeholders. Various PRA techniques like resource mapping, social mapping, matrix ranking and season calendar were used to understand the physical and social orientation of the village in general and watershed specific. These tools put the villagers in ease than the complicated questionnaires. Various tool like matrix ranking, Venn diagramme were used to identify various local vegetation (apt for afforestation), fodder crops, various institution and their significance in the life of the farmers.

### 4.2 Social Mobilization and Community Organization

**Table no. 27: Physical outlays oh PIA,U.G., S.H.G., W.D.T. and W.C.**

S. No.	Name of watershed	Code No.	U.G. (No.)	SHG (No.)	WDT (No.)	PIA	WC (No.)
1	<b>Karisath</b> <b>2B2A4c1e</b>	<b>2B2A4c1e</b>	20	18	1	1	1
2	<b>Vijpura</b> <b>2B2A4c2c</b>	<b>2B2A4c2c</b>	22	20			1
3	<b>Rewaridih</b> <b>2B2A4c1g</b>	<b>2B2A4c1g</b>	10	12			1
4	<b>Maidapur Samastipur</b> <b>2B2A4c2b</b>	<b>2B2A4c2b</b>	20	25			1
5	<b>Jamalpur Mirzapur</b> <b>2B2A4c1c</b>	<b>2B2A4c1c</b>	5	5			1
6	<b>Hazipur</b> <b>2B2A4c1b</b>	<b>2B2A4c1b</b>	10	12			1

#### 4.2.1 Watershed Committee

Watershed committee has been constituted in all five nos of micro-watersheds partially by WDT and Gram Sabha village of micro watershed. These committee are registered under society Registration Act 1860. Capacity building trainings given to the watershed committee by WDT. The watershed committee has a pivotal role to play during and after the project implementation period. Detail of W.C. is given below

**Table no. 28: Details of Watershed Committees (WC)**

S.N o.	Name of WCs	Date of Registrat ion as a Society	Name	Designation	M/F	SC	S T	SF	M F	LF	Landl ess	UG	SHG	GP	Any othe r	Ed. qualific ation	Function (s) assigned #
1	<b>KARISATH 2B2A4c1e</b>	Under Progress	Sri. Kalpnath	President	M	√		√					√			H.S.	A,B, E
			Sri. Umasankar	Secretary	M		√					√		√		Inter	C, D, G
			10 member	Member	M/F	2		2	2	2	2						H, I
2	<b>VIJPURA 2B2A4c2a</b>		Sri Ramkuwar	President	M			√				√	√			B.A.	A,B, E
			Sri Devanand	Secretary	M	√			√			√	√		√	Inter.	C, D, G
			10 member	Member	M/F	2		4	5	1			1				H, I
3	<b>REWARIDIH 2B2A4c1g</b>		Sri Ramprevesh	President	M					√						Inter	A,B, E
			Sri Omprakash	Secretary	M					√						Inter	C, D, G
			10 member	Member	M/F	2		2	2	2		2					H, I
4	<b>MAIDAPUR SAMASTIPUR 2B2A4c2b</b>		Sri Uday Raj	President	F			√				√				Literate	A,B, E
			Sri. Anil	Secretary	M					√		√				Inter	C, D, G
			10 member	Member	M/F	2		2	2	2	2						H, I
5	<b>JAMALPUR MIRZAPUR 2B2A4c1c</b>		Smt. Budhiay	President	F			√								H.S.	A,B, E
			Sri. Dependra	Secretary	M				√							B.Sc.	C, D, G
			10 member	Member	M/F	2		2	2	2		2					H, I
6	<b>HAZIPUR 2B2A4c1b</b>		Smt .Paramjyoti	President	F			√								H S	A,B, E
			Sri Seshnath	Secretary	M				√							Inter	C, D, G
			10 member	Member	M/F	2		2	2	2		2					H, I

## In column 17, only the letter assigned, as below, needs to be typed, except for 'J', where the type may be specifically mentioned.

A	PNP and PRA	B	Planning
C	Maintenance of Accounts	D	Signing of cheques and making payments
E	Supervision of Construction activities	F	Cost Estimation
G	Verification & Measurement	H	Record of labour employed
I	Social Audit	J	Any other (please specity).

## Watershed Development Team

As per as common guide line direction/ instruction given in para 5.3 point 40 P.I.A. has been constitute watershed development team as given below

**Table no.29 : Details of Watershed Development Teams (WDTs) in the project area**

S. No.	Name of the PIA	Name of the Project	Names of WDT members	M/F	Age	Qualification/ Experience	Description of professional training	Role/ Function##	Date of appointment of WDT member
1	Bhomi Sanrakshan Adhikari Land development and water resources Department Mau (U.P.)	IWMP -II <sup>nd</sup>	Smt Dipendra	M	26	B. Sc.	Agriculture	E	
			Sri Seshnath	M	30	B. Sc.	Field Worker	H	
			sri J P Rai	M	25	B. Ed.	Field Worker	J	
			Sangeeta Rai	F	25	B.A.	Social Moblizer	H	
			Smt. Reeta	M	43	Inter		H	
			Smt. Anita	M	35	Inter	Field Worker	H	
			Sri. Ramdas	M	50	J.E.	Agriculture	F B	
			Sri D Tiwari	F	24	Inter	Social Moblizer	A B	
			Sri Jitendra	M	26	Inter		C D F	

# # In column 8, only the letter, assigned as below, needs to be typed, except for '3', where the type may be specifically mentioned.

A	Participatory Net Planning (PNP) and Participatory Rural Approach (PRA), Training and Capacity Building	C	Maintenance of Accounts
B	Planning	E	Social audit
D	Signing of cheques and making payments	G	Physical verification & measurement
F	Engineering surveys, drawings and cost estimations	I	Livelihood opportunities for landless
H	Record of labour employed	K	Any other (please specify)
J	Post project operation, maintenance of assets		

### 4.2.3 Self Help Group

The constitution of Self Help Groups have been constituted by W.C. in all micro watershed for generating income & improved their social status with the help and financial support through scheme by technical support of P.I.A., detail of 24 SHG<sub>s</sub> is given below

**Table no. 30 SHG detail**

S.No.	Names of Watershed	Total no. of registered SHGs				No. of Members				No. of SC/ST in each category			No. of BPL in each category			Date of formation of SHGs
		With only Men	With only Women	With Both	Total	Categories	M	F	Total	M	F	Total	M	F	Total	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
1.	<b>KARISATH 2B2A4c1e</b>	10	5	3	18	(i) Landless	20	4	24	12	8	20	16	8	24	UNDER PROCESS
						(ii) SF	27	8	35	10	4	14	10	2	12	
						(iii) MF	13	4	17	4	-	4	-	-	-	
						(iv) LF	6	2	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	<b>Total</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>18</b>		<b>66</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>36</b>	
2	<b>VIJPURA 2B2A4c2a</b>	10	7	3	20	(i) Landless	25	42	67	32	26	58	28	19	47	
						(ii) SF	70	30	100	15	14	29	22	6	28	
						(iii) MF	20	13	33	7	-	7	-	-	-	
						(iv) LF	10	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	<b>Total</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>20</b>		<b>125</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>210</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>75</b>	
3	<b>REWARIDIH 2B2A4c1g</b>	8	4	-	12	(i) Landless	24	22	44	27	18	45	28	12	40	
						(ii) SF	69	20	89	18	7	25	17	5	12	
						(iii) MF	19	8	27	7	-	7	-	-	-	
						(iv) LF	9	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	<b>Total</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>12</b>		<b>120</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>62</b>	
4	<b>MAIDAPUR SAMASTIPUR 2B2A4c2b</b>	16	9	-	25	(i) Landless	35	47	82	36	28	64	32	26	58	
						(ii) SF	108	35	143	19	20	39	19	14	33	
						(iii) MF	35	13	48	7	-	7	7	-	7	
						(iv) LF	15	-	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	<b>Total</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>25</b>		<b>193</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>288</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>98</b>	
5	<b>JAMALPUR MIRZAPUR 2B2A4c1c</b>	4	1	-	5	(i) Landless	5	8	13	12	9	21	13	7	20	
						(ii) SF	30	4	34	8	3	11	9	3	12	
						(iii) MF	10	-	10	4	-	4	4	-	4	
						(iv) LF	5	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>5</b>		<b>50</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>36</b>	
6	<b>HAZIPUR 2B2A4c1b</b>	4	1	-	5	(i) Landless	5	8	13	12	9	21	13	7	20	
						(ii) SF	30	4	34	8	3	11	9	3	12	
						(iii) MF	10	-	10	4	-	4	4	-	4	
						(iv) LF	5	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>5</b>		<b>50</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>36</b>	
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>		<b>52</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>85</b>		<b>604</b>	<b>272</b>	<b>876</b>	<b>242</b>	<b>149</b>	<b>391</b>	<b>231</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>343</b>	

(M- Male, F- Female)

#### 4.2.4 User Group

The following user's group are identified and constituted in all micro watershed committee in presence of Watershed Development Team for implementation of watershed work proper use and management of all engineering and vegetative measure to be creating/ constructing under watershed through scheme. Detail of user's group are given below

**Table no. 31: UGs detail**

S.No.	Names of Watershed	Total no. of registered SHGs				No. of Members				No. of SC/ST in each category			No. of BPL in each category			Date of formation of SHGs
		With only Men	With only Women	With Both	Total	Categories	M	F	Total	M	F	Total	M	F	Total	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
1.	KARISATH 2B2A4c1e	8	4	2	15	(i) Landless	10	6	30	15	10	10	12	8	24	UNDER PROCESS
						(ii) SF	27	8	35	10	4	14	10	2	12	
						(iii) MF	13	4	17	4	-	4	-	-	-	
						(iv) LF	6	2	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Total	8	4	2	15		56	20	90	29	14	28	22	10	36	
2	VIJPURA 2B2A4c2a	6	5	2	10	(i) Landless	20	30	67	10	10	30	28	19	47	
						(ii) SF	40	25	50	2	5	20	22	6	28	
						(iii) MF	20	10	33	7	-	7	-	-	-	
						(iv) LF	10	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Total	6	5	2	10		90	65	160	19	15	57	50	25	75	
3	REWARI DIH 2B2A4c1g	8	4	-	12	(i) Landless	9	-	9	7	-	7	8	-	8	
						(ii) SF	12	-	12	3	-	3	2	-	2	
						(iii) MF	3	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	
						(iv) LF	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Total	8	4	-	12		25	-	25	10	-	10	10	-	10	
4	MAIDAPUR SAMASTIPUR 2B2A4c2b	16	9	-	25	(i) Landless	40	-	40	13	-	13	9	-	9	
						(ii) SF	50	-	50	14	-	14	3	-	3	
						(iii) MF	8	-	8	8	-	8	3	-	3	
						(iv) LF	2	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	
						Total	16	9	-	25		100	-	100	35	-
5	JAMALPUR MIRZAPUR 2B2A4c1c	4	1	-	5	(i) Landless	23	-	23	9	-	9	4	-	4	
						(ii) SF	30		30	4	-	4	3	-	3	
						(iii) MF	11	-	11	2	-	2	3	-	3	
						(iv) LF	6	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Total	4	1	-	5		70	-	70	15	-	15	10	-	10	
6	HAZIPUR 2B2A4c1b	4	1	-	5	(i) Landless	5	8	13	12	9	21	13	7	20	
						(ii) SF	30	4	34	8	3	11	9	3	12	
						(iii) MF	10	-	10	4	-	4	4	-	4	
						(iv) LF	5	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Total	4	1	-	5		50	12	62	24	12	36	26	10	36	
GRAND TOTAL		46	24	4	72		391	97	507	132	41	181	133	45	182	

(M- Male, F- Female)



#### 4.2.5 Focused group discussion



FOCUSSED GROUP DISSCUSSION WITH MENS

### 4.3 PROJECT IMPLEMENTING AGENCIES

U.P. Government, Land Development Water Resources Department Section-1 Lucknow has nominated as PIA to Bhoomi Sanrakshhan Unit, Land Development Water Resources Department Mau for IWMP-II -vide letter no-666(10)/54-1-10-1(9)02008 dated 25-05-2010

**Table no. 32: Project Implementing Agency (PIA)**

S.No.	Particulars of PIA	
(i)	Date of selection of PIA	25.05.2011
(ii)	Type of organization	Govt. organization
(iii)	Name of organization #	DoLR
(iv)	Designation & Address	BSA, IWDP, Mau
(v)	Telephone	0546 2220 876
(vi)	Fax`	0546 2220 876
(vii)	E-Mail	IWMP-II_Mauup@rediffmail.com

# Only the letter assigned to each type, as given below, needs to be typed.

- |   |                         |   |                             |
|---|-------------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| A | Line Dept.              | B | Autonomous organization     |
| C | Govt. Institute         | D | Research Bodies             |
| E | Zila Parishad           | F | Intermediate Panchayat      |
| G | Voluntary Organisations | H | Any other (please specify). |

### 4.4 Project Implementation Strategy

Watershed management as a strategy has been adopted by Government of India especially in the rainfed region of semi arid tropics. These region are characterized by low and undependable rain, low soil fertility, poor infrastructure development, low literacy and high incidence of migration. Several studies have identified that there is a dire need of a systematic and scientific approach to deal with the watershed development. The common guideline generates a fresh and flexible framework for the next generation watershed development.

#### **4.4.1 Scientific Planning**

##### **i) Cluster Approach**

This envisages a broader vision of Geo-hydrological unit which involves treating a cluster of micro watershed. The IWMP-II 1<sup>st</sup> Mau watershed project consist of five micro watershed

##### **ii) Base line Survey**

To access the impact of any watershed development programme a detailed baseline survey has to be conducted. This acts a benchmark for any intervention during and post implementation of any development programme. A detailed baseline survey was undertaken which involved household census survey, Bio-physical survey and Village level data collection from *PIA*. Household census survey includes a detailed questionnaire which was been filled by visiting each and every household in the village. This gave in the details of the demographic profile of the village, the literacy percentage, SC/ST population, number of BPL household, cattle population, net consumption rate in the IWMP-II-1<sup>st</sup>, average milk production of the cattle and various schemes running and their benefits. Bio-physical survey was undertaken to identify various natural resources available in the village. It included the soil typology, well in the area, crop taken in the field, Cropping pattern, fertilizer used and various sources of irrigation in the field.

##### **iii) Participatory Rural Appraisal (PRA)**

The past experience of watershed has given tremendous input to focus on creating accountability of the stakeholders towards the programme. This has created an emphasis to include all the stakeholder communities and their local and indigenous Technological Knowledge (ITK) while planning for any activity. Participatory approach provides a new path for planning, implementing, and monitoring and post- withdrawal activities with a complete accountability of the stakeholders. Various PRA techniques like resource mapping, social mapping, and season calendars were used to understand the physical and social orientation of the village in general and watershed in specific. These tools put the villagers in ease than the complicated questionnaires. Various tools like Matrix ranking, Venn diagram were used to identify various local vegetations (apt for afforestation) , Fodders crops , various institution and their significance in the life of the farmers

#### **iv) Use of GIS and Remote sensing for planning**

Use of various high science tools has been promoted at various stages of watershed development.

##### **a) Prioritization**

Geographical Information System (GIS) has been used for prioritization process. Various layer maps were created like Geo-morphological, Soil, BPL Population, SC/ST population, Ground water Status, Drinking water situation Slope percent. These were all given proper weight age according to the DoLR specification. This helped in prioritization of various watershed areas.

##### **b) Planning**

A action plan matrix was formulated by State Level Nodal Agency (SLNA) taking into account various features like the slope percent, soil Depth, Soil Texture, Soil erosion in the area for wasteland, forest land and agricultural land. Global positioning System (GPS) was used to identify each and every water conservation structures available in the project area. This was used to create a map. Contour Map of vertical interval of 1 meter at a scale of 1:8000 was used for identifying various locations for soil and water conservation structures.

##### **c) Hydrological modelling**

Hydrology modelling technique was used for locating drainage, stream length, flow direction, sink, and flow accumulation. This model overlaid over cadastral map to calculate the catchments area of each structures like the gully plug etc. This has helped to remove the human error which generally occurs while calculating the catchments area of a check dam.

**Table no. 34: Details of Scientific Planning and Inputs in IWMP-II projects**

Scientific criteria/ inputs used	Whether scientific criteria was used
1	2
<b>(A) Planning</b>	
Cluster approach	Yes
Whether technical back-stopping for the project has been arranged? If yes, mention the name of the Institute	
Baseline survey	Yes
Hydro-geological survey	Yes
Contour mapping	Yes
Participatory Net Planning (PNP)	Yes
Remote sensing data-especially soil/ crop/ run-off cover	
Ridge to Valley treatment	
Online IT connectivity between	
(1) Project and DRDA cell/ZP	Yes
(2) DRDA and SLNA	Yes
(3) SLNA and DoLR	Yes
Availability of GIS layers	
1. Cadastral map	Yes
2. Village boundaries	Yes
3. Drainage	Yes
4. Soil (Soil nutrient status)	Yes
5. Land use	Yes
6. Ground water status	Yes



1	2
7. Watershed boundaries	Yes
8. Activity	Yes
Crop simulation models#	No
Integrated coupled analyzer/ near infrared visible spectroscopy/ medium spectroscopy for high speed soil nutrient analysis	No
Normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI)#	No
Weather Station	
<b>(B) Inputs</b>	No
1. Bio-pesticides	No
2. Organic manures	Yes
3. Vermicompost	Yes
4. Bio-fertilizer	Yes
5. Water saving devices	Yes
6. Mechanized tools/ implements	Yes
7. Bio-fencing	Yes
8. Nutrient budgeting	No
9. Automatic water level recorders & sediment samplers	No

#### 4.5 Convergence of watershed programmes

- 4.5.1 Earthen bund, contour bund, percolation tank, injection well will be made in watershed area convergence with MNREGS
- 4.5.2 Soil health card, crop demonstration, kisan goshti, kisan mela, farmer's school also organized in watershed area under many scheme of department of Agriculture.
- 4.5.3 Composite fish farming or mixed fish farming popularized in this area with Department of fisheries.
- 4.5.4 Animal health camp, fodder development, vaccination work also made through Veterinary Department.
- 4.5.5 Aforestation in project area also done with Forest Department.
- 4.5.6 Dry land horticulture also convergence with department of horticulture.

**Table no. 35 : Details of Convergence of other Schemes in the Project area with IWMP-II Project**

S.No.	Name of the MW	Names of Departments with	Fund made available to	Was this fund included in Rs. 12,000/15,000	Name of activity/task/structure	Reference no. of activity/task/structure in	Level at which decision for
-------	----------------	---------------------------	------------------------	---	---------------------------------	---	-----------------------------

		Schemes converging with IWMP-II*	IWMP-II project due to convergence (Rs. In lakh)	Per ha		undertaken with converged funds	DPR	convergence was taken \$
				Yes	No	(a) Structures (b) Livelihoods (c) Production System		
1	<b>KARISATH 2B2A4c1e</b>	MNREGS	20.00		NO	<b>a</b>	<b>5</b>	DRDA
		DA	0.40		No	<b>b, c</b>	<b>5</b>	PIA
		DHO	0.10		No	<b>b, c</b>	<b>5</b>	PIA
		DF	0.20		No	<b>b, c</b>	<b>5</b>	PIA
		F	0.30		No	<b>b, c</b>	<b>5</b>	PIA
		<b>Total</b>	<b>21.00</b>		No			
2	<b>VIJPURA 2B2A4c2a</b>	MNREGS	23.00		No	<b>a</b>	<b>5</b>	DRDA
		DA	1.50		NO	<b>b, c</b>	<b>5</b>	PIA
		DHO	0.30		No	<b>b, c</b>	<b>5</b>	PIA
		DF	0.40		No	<b>b, c</b>	<b>5</b>	PIA
		F	0.30		No	<b>b, c</b>	<b>5</b>	PIA
		<b>Total</b>	<b>25.50</b>		No			
3	<b>REWARIDIH 2B2A4c1g</b>	MNREGS	11.00		No	<b>a</b>	<b>5</b>	DRDA
		DA	1.70		No	<b>b, c</b>	<b>5</b>	PIA
		DHO	0.50		NO	<b>b, c</b>	<b>5</b>	PIA
		DF	0.50		No	<b>b, c</b>	<b>5</b>	PIA
		F	0.30		No	<b>b, c</b>	<b>5</b>	PIA
		<b>Total</b>	<b>19.00</b>		No			
4	<b>MAIDAPUR SAMASTIPUR 2B2A4c2b</b>	MNREGS	13.00		No	<b>a</b>	<b>5</b>	DRDA
		DA	1.40		No	<b>b, c</b>	<b>5</b>	PIA
		DHO	0.30		No	<b>b, c</b>	<b>5</b>	PIA
		DF	0.40		NO	<b>b, c</b>	<b>5</b>	PIA
		F	0.45		No	<b>b, c</b>	<b>5</b>	PIA
		<b>Total</b>	<b>15.55</b>		No			
5	<b>JAMALPUR MIRZAPUR 2B2A4c1c</b>	MNREGS	8.00		No	<b>a</b>	<b>5</b>	DRDA
		DA	0.50		No	<b>b, c</b>	<b>5</b>	PIA
		DHO	0.20		No	<b>b, c</b>	<b>5</b>	PIA
		DF	0.20		No	<b>b, c</b>	<b>5</b>	PIA
		F	0.20		No	<b>b, c</b>	<b>5</b>	PIA
		<b>Total</b>	<b>9.10</b>		No			
6	<b>HAZIPUR 2B2A4c1b</b>	MNREGS	8.00		No	<b>a</b>	<b>5</b>	DRDA
		DA	0.50		No	<b>b, c</b>	<b>5</b>	PIA
		DHO	0.20		No	<b>b, c</b>	<b>5</b>	PIA
		DF	0.20		No	<b>b, c</b>	<b>5</b>	PIA
		F	0.20		No	<b>b, c</b>	<b>5</b>	PIA
		<b>Total</b>	<b>9.10</b>		No			
		<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>99.25</b>					

# only letter (a) or (b) or (c) needs to be filled. In case more than one activity has been undertaken all the concerned letters may be indicated e.g. (a) + (b)

\$ WC/GP/WDT/PIA/DRDA cell/ZP/DPC/SLNA / DoLR- only initials as indicated here need to be entered.

\* DA= Department of Agriculture, DHO= Department of Horticulture, VO= Department of Veterinary, DF= Department of Fisheries, F= Forest

## 5. MANAGEMENT /ACTION PLAN

### 5.1 PREPARATORY PHASE

#### 5.1.1 Entry Point Activities

Integrated Watershed Development Programme II<sup>nd</sup> is aimed at the socio economic up liftments of the dweller of watershed area and to create trust about the programme to be implemented so that they can coordinate in participatory mode for the success of the programme. As per the new common guidelines total financial outlay for the entry point activities is 4 % of the total project cost. To increase the per capita availability of drinking water older wells of the village will be renovated as well as pacca jagat will be constructed, to increase the irrigation water availability older bundhies which are already existed but not functioning will be reconstructed/ renovated. Repairing and maintenance of water bodies have been proposed on priority basis. School lies in the watershed areas will be equipped with drinking water facility . To approach watershed village construction and repairing of damaged pulia has also been proposed and construction of women bathrooms besides hand pump or wells. Total estimated cost for these activities is Rs 26.76 Lacs.



**Table no. 36: Entry point activities (EPA)**

(All financial figures in lakh Rs.)

S.no.	Names of the Villages	Amount earmarked for EPA	Entry point Activities planned	Estimated cost	Expected outcome	Name of agency which selected the EPA#	Expected month & year of completion (mm/yyyy)
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	<b>KARISATH 2B2A4c1e</b>	8.064	Repair of well/ Pacca jagat-6	3.00	-	WC, PIA, WDT	2012
			Repairing of damaged Pulia-6	1.80	-	WC, PIA, WDT	2012
			Repairing of P.School Class room	0.264	-	WC, PIA, WDT	2012
			Traveler Tin shed-3	3.00	-	WC, PIA, WDT	2012
2	<b>VIJPURA 2B2A4c2a</b>	3.744	Repair of well/ Pacca jagat-4	2.00	-	WC, PIA, WDT	2012
			Repairing of damaged Pulia-5	1.500	-	WC, PIA, WDT	2012
			Repairing of Pnchayat ghar	0.244	-	WC, PIA, WDT	2012
3	<b>REWARIDIH 2B2A4c1g</b>	1.608	Repair of well/ Pacca jagat-1	0.500	-	WC, PIA, WDT	2012
			Repairing of damaged Pulia-2	0.600	-	WC, PIA, WDT	2012
			Repairing of Pnchayat ghar	0.508	-	WC, PIA, WDT	2012
4	<b>MAIDAPUR SAMASTIPUR 2B2A4c2b</b>	3.00	Repair of well/ Pacca jagat-2	1.000	-	WC, PIA, WDT	2012
			Repairing of damaged Pulia-3	0.900	-	WC, PIA, WDT	2012
			Repairing of P.School Class room	0.100	-	WC, PIA, WDT	2012
			Traveler Tin shed-1	1.000	-	WC, PIA, WDT	2012
5	<b>JAMALPUR MIRZAPUR 2B2A4c1c</b>	4.56	Repair of well/ Pacca jagat-2	1.000	-	WC, PIA, WDT	2012
			Repairing of damaged Pulia-4	1.200	-	WC, PIA, WDT	2012
			Repairing of P.School Class room	0.36	-	WC, PIA, WDT	2012
			Traveler Tin shed-2	2.000	-	WC, PIA, WDT	2012
6	<b>HAZIPUR 2B2A4c1b</b>	5.784	Repair of well/ Pacca jagat-6	3.000	-	WC, PIA, WDT	2012
			Repairing of damaged Pulia-2	0.600	-	WC, PIA, WDT	2012
			Repairing of P.School Class room	0.184	-	WC, PIA, WDT	2012
			Traveler Tin shed-2	2.000	-	WC, PIA, WDT	2012
	<b>Total</b>	<b>26.76</b>		<b>26.76</b>			

# Was the EPA selected by Gram Panchayat/WC/PIA/WDT/Any other (please specify)

**Table no. 37 : Other activities of preparatory phase**

S. No	Item	Initiation of village level institution	Capacity building	IEC activities	Baseline survey	Hydro-geological survey	Identifying technical support agencies	Resource agreements	Preparation of DPR	Evaluation of DPR	Any other (please specify)
1	Estimated cost	1.45	28.00	4.00	3.345	1.338	-	-	1.338	0.669	-
2	Status of the activity #	Under Complete	Under Complete	Under Complete	Complete	Complete	Complete		Complete	-	
3	Expected month & year of completion (mm/yyyy)	2012	2012	2012	2011	2011	2011	-	2011	-	

### 5.1.2 Institution and Capacity Building

#### 5.1.2.1 Institution

Table no. 38 : List of approved Training Institutes for capacity Building in the project area

S.No.	Name of the Training Institute	Full Address with contact no., website & e-mail	Name & Designation of the Head of Institute	Type of Institute	Area (s) of specialization	Accreditation details
1	Krishi Vigyan Kendra	Pilkhi haldharpur Mau(UP), Naveen_hamraj@memory.com 09451891755	Programme Co-ordinator	Research Extension &	Agriculture, Horticulture, A. Husbandry, Fisheries, Entrepreneurship, Livelihood and Capacity building etc	GOI
1	Krishi Vigyan Kendra	Mau (UP),	Dr. B.B. Singh Programme Co-ordinator	Research Extension &	Agriculture, Horticulture, A. Husbandry, Fisheries, Entrepreneurship, Livelihood and Capacity building etc	GOI
2	Directorate of Seed Reasearch	DSR, Kusmaur, Mau (U.P.), 0547-2530080, <a href="http://www.icar.org.in.nbaim/indexhtm">http://www.icar.org.in.nbaim/indexhtm</a>	Director, Dr. Mandal	Research	Agriculture	GOI
3	NBAIM	NBAIM, Kusmaur, Mau (U.P.), 0547-2530080, <a href="http://www.icar.org.in.nbaim/indexhtm">http://www.icar.org.in.nbaim/indexhtm</a>	Director, Dr. D.K.Arora	Research	Agriculture	GOI
4	Gramsthali Sikshha Parishad	29 rajrajeshwari nagar colony, Gilat Bajar, Varanasi-221002, abhaigkp@rediffmail.com, +91532107394	President, Dr R. K. Singh	NGO	Capacity Buildings, Production System	NGO
5	G.K.S.S.	SA-19/13 K-2 Janak nagar, Pandeypur Varanasi, +919838001699 <a href="mailto:Ashwini.yes21@gmail.com">Ashwini.yes21@gmail.com</a>	President, Dr. A.K. Singh	NGO	Capacity Buildings, Production System	NGO

#### 5.1.2.2 Capacity Building

Capacity building and training are the most important components of watershed management programme both for the field level project staff/ officers and functionaries of people institutions i.e. watershed community. Apart from enhancing technical skill of the project staff, this would also provide opportunities to community members to develop their capacity as the future custodians of the programmes after project's withdrawal. IWMP-II - MAU financial outlay for capacity buildings is 5% (Rs. 33.45) of the total project cost and out of which Rs 1.45 will be expended for initiation of village level institution.

**Table no 39 : Capacity Building activities in the project**

S.No.	Project Stakeholders	Total no. of persons	No. of persons trained so far	No. of persons of be trained during current financial year	Sources of funding for training		Name and Address of the Institute where Trained
					a) DoLR	b) any other (pl. specify)	
1	PIAs	6	2	8	DoLR		KVK, GSP,GKSS
2	WDTs	32	12	32	DoLR		KVK, GSP,GKSS
3	UGs	155	52	155	DoLR		KVK, GSP,GKSS
4	SHGs	180	42	180	DoLR		KVK, GSP,GKSS
5	WCs	17	5	17	DoLR		KVK, GSP,GKSS
6	GPs	22	-	22	DoLR		KVK, GSP,GKSS
7	Community	1200	-	1200	DoLR		KVK, GSP,GKSS
8	Others (pl. specify)						

**Table no 40: Detail of activities undertaken**

Strategy	Proposed activity	No. of Units	Unit cost	Total Cost
Capacity building Activities	Stakeholders - Scientists Interaction,	15	0.10	1.00
	Training (2 days)	100	0.05	5.00
	Vocational/employment Generation Training (5-10 days)	75	0.10	7.50
	In-service Training. (3 days)	25	0.10	2.50
	Exposure visit within State	5	0.20	1.00
	Exposure visit out of State	12	0.50	6.00
	Field days	10	0.30	3.00
	Workshop	2	1.00	2.00
	<b>Total</b>			<b>28.00</b>

**Table no 41 : Information, Education & Communication (IEC) activities in the project area**

S.no.	Activity	Executing agency	Estimated expenditure (Rs.)	Expected Outcome (may quantify wherever possible)
1	Street plays	Local Drama Groups	100000.00	Awareness about importance of watershed project
2	Video Shows	IWMP-II, Mau	100000.00	
3	Pamphlets and Poster	IWMP-II, Mau	100000.00	
4	Banners and Hoardings	IWMP-II, Mau	100000.00	
	<b>Total</b>		<b>400000.00</b>	

#### 5.1.3 Detail Project Report

See table no. 37.

## 5.2 WORK PHASE

### 5.2.1 Soil and moisture conservation

For soil and moisture conservation, water resource development, horticulture, besides agro forestry vegetation / plantation work, engineering structure have also been proposed under the project. Engineering structure are important components of soil and water conservation that can play a vital role in erosion control on arable land. Engineering measure usually involves in creating mechanical barriers across the direction of flow of water and thus retards or retains runoff on the following principles:

- Increase the time of concentration.
- Break a long slope into short ones.
- Protection of drainage channel against damage.
- Prevent excessive soil and water losses.

#### 1. **Ridge Area Treatment Plans:**

It is very important to treat the ridge as this is where the major water resources originate. For the ridge area treatment of IWMP-II - watershed following structure are been Proposed after interaction between the watershed committee, Range Forest Officer (RFO) and other field staff of forest.

##### **A. Contour Bunding :**

Contour bunding is and effective in erosion control and moisture conservation in dry areas having less than 2 % slop to reduce the length of slope. Contour bund constructed against the slope in 1513.00 ha of lands with total estimated cost of Rs. 60.50 lacs

##### **B. Graded/Marginal and Peripheral Bund:**

Marginal bunds are the engineering structure to reduce the volume and speed of runoff. Those locations where change in slope and soil texture founded there is peripheral bund will be constructed along with nala bank. Total proposed treatable area is 750.00 ha with financial outlay of Rs. 51.93. lacs

### **C. Submergence Bundhies and Gully Plug:**

Submergence bundhies will be constructed at middle reaches of watershed have in lesser slop. However, gully plug structure has been proposed to be formed on upper reaches / I<sup>st</sup> order stream. Total proposed area for the structures jointly is 90.00 ha with total financial outlay of Rs. 14.40 lacs.

This generally includes water conservation or surface water storage structures. This being highly labour intensive, will involve more of manual labour; so, funds from National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme (NREGS) can be taken.

#### **2. Water Harvesting bundhies:**

Water harvesting bundhies are primarily aimed at collecting and storing any form of water enter through rainfall, runoff or subsurface flow for multiple purpose. There will be 65 water harvesting bundhies with farm ponds structure on 1400.00 h of land will be constructed on lower reaches of the watershed. Estimated financial outlay is Rs. 80.66 lacs.

#### **3. Pucca check damStructure:**

These structure of built of masonry. Pucca structure have been proposed constructed in big gullies / ravines carrying relatively high run off and sediment load. Water stored in Pucca structure will be utilized as source of irrigation water during post monsoon season. Construction of five Pucca structure has been proposed with total estimated cost of Rs 7.00 lacs

#### **4. Agroforestry:**

About 167.00 ha lands will be taken from the waste land falling in the class-VII category in the watershed. These lands will be planted with subabool in which urd, moong, til etc planted as intercrop, subabool will be used as fuel as well as fodder

#### **5. Dry Land Horticulture**

About 390 ha area will take for the plantation of fruit trees like aonla, bael, ber, karaunda, mango, will be planted at suitable spacing in the watershed.

**Table no 42 : Activities related to Surface Water resources in the project areas**

S · N o	Names of villages	Type of structures	Pre-project			Proposed target										
			No .	Area irrigated (ha)	Storage capacity	Augmentation/repair of existing structures				Construction of new structures				Total target		
						No.	Area irrigated (ha)	Storage capacity (lit)	Estimated cost (Rs in laks)	No .	Area irrigated (ha)	Storage capacity (lit)	Estimated cost (Rs in laks)	Area irrigated (ha)	Storage capacity (lit)	Estimated cost (Rs in laks)
1	KARISATH 2B2A4c1e	(ii) Pond	5	25	25000	5	37.5	37500	5.00	30	30	30000	8.00	67.5	67500	13.00
		(iv) Injection well	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	38	-	38000	0.95	-	38000	0.095
2	VIJPURA 2B2A4c2a	(ii) Pond	3	15	15000	3	22.5	22500	3.00	15	15	15000	4.000	37.5	37500	7.00
		(iv) Injection well	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	6000	0.15	-	6000	0.15
3	REWARIDIH 2B2A4c1g	(ii) Pond	3	15	15000	3	22.5	22500	3.00	-	-	-	-	22.5	22500	3.00
		(iv) Injection well	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	3000	0.075	-	3000	0.075
4	MAIDAPUR SAMASTIPUR 2B2A4c2b	(ii) Pond	3	15	15000	3	22.5	22500	3.00	15	15	15000	4.000	37.5	37500	7.00
		(iv) Injection well	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26	-	26000	0.65	-	26000	0.65
5	JAMALPUR MIRZAPUR 2B2A4c1c	(ii) Pond	2	10	10000	2	15	15000	2.00	15	15	15000	4.000	30.00	30000	6.00
		(iv) Injection well	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	32	-	32000	0.81	-	32000	0.81
6	HAZIPUR 2B2A4c1b	(ii) Pond	2	10	10000	2	15	15000	2.00	15	15	15000	4.000	30.00	30000	6.00
		(iv) Injection well	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	32	-	32000	0.81	-	32000	0.81
	Total		18	90	90000	18	135	135000	18	227	90	227000	27.445	225	362000	44.59

@ 50 % work will be done on public asset and 50% done on community asset.

**Table no. 43: Technical detail of Farm Ponds and Injection Well**

S. No	Particulars	Value	Ponds	Injection Well
1	Top area	M <sup>2</sup>	500.00 (25X20)	1.00
2	Bottom area	M <sup>2</sup>	300.00 (20X15)	1.00
3	Depth	M	2.50	1.00
4	Side Slope	-	2:1	-

**Table no 44 : Activities related to recharging ground water resources in the project areas @**

S.N o	Names of villages	Type of structures	Pre-project		Proposed target								Expected month & year of completion (mm/yyyy)
			No.	Area irrigate d (ha)	Augmentation/repair of existing structures			Construction of new structures			Total target		
					No.	Area irrigate d (ha)	Estimat ed cost	No.	Area irrigated (ha)	Estimated cost	Area irrigate d (ha)	Estimat ed cost	
1	KARISATH 2B2A4c1e	(i) Open wells	3	1.50	2	4.00	0.24	-	-	-	4.00	0.24	2013
		(ii) Bore wells	6	6.00	3	11.00	0.66	-	-	-	11.00	0.66	2013
2	VIJPURA 2B2A4c2a	(i) Open wells	2	1.00	2	5.00	0.30	-	-	-	5.00	0.30	2013
		(ii) Bore wells	5	5.00	5	20.00	1.20	-	-	-	20.00	1.20	2013
3	REWARIDIH 2B2A4c1g	(i) Open wells	1	0.50	1	2.00	0.12	-	-	-	2.00	0.12	2013
		(ii) Bore wells	4	4.00	4	18.00	1.08	-	-	-	18.00	1.08	2013
4	MAIDAPUR SAMASTIPUR 2B2A4c2b	(i) Open wells	2	1.00	2	5.00	0.30	-	-	-	5.00	0.30	2013
		(ii) Bore wells	2	5.00	3	10.00	0.60	-	-	-	10.00	0.60	2013
5	JAMALPUR MIRZAPUR 2B2A4c1c	(i) Open wells	2	1.00	2	5.00	0.30	-	-	-	5.00	0.30	2013
		(ii) Bore wells	2	5.00	3	10.00	0.60	-	-	-	10.00	0.60	2013
6	HAZIPUR 2B2A4c1b	(i) Open wells	2	1.00	2	5.00	0.30	-	-	-	5.00	0.30	2013
		(ii) Bore wells	2	5.00	3	10.00	0.60	-	-	-	10.00	0.60	2013
		Total for the project	33	36	32	105	6.3	0	0	0	105	6.3	

Above all the assets only 20 % under community and rest related with private sector.

**Table 45 : Activities executed by User Groups in the Project**

S.no	Names of Project	Major activities				No. of UGs involved	Estimated Cost (Rs.)	Amount of WDF to be collected (Rs.)
		Structure/activity proposed			Expected month & year of completion (mm/yyyy)			
		Type	No.#	Treatment				
1	IWMP-II - Mau	Structure work		Engineering	2015	27	369.30 laks	18.47Lacs

**Table no 46 : Details of engineering structures in watershed works**

*IWMP-II<sup>nd</sup> MAU (UP):DoLR*

S. No .	Names of villages	Name of structure	Type of treatment			Type of land			Executing agency (i)UG (ii) SHG (iii) Others (pl. specify)	Total target					
			(i) Ridge area (R)	(ii) Drain age line (D)	(iii) Land dev. (L)	(i) Priva te	(ii) Com munit y	(iii) Other s (pl. specif y)		No. of units (no./cu. m./rmt)	Estimated cost (Rs. In lakh)				Expected month & year of completion (mm/yyyy)
											M	W	O	T	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
1	KARISATH 2B2A4c1e	Contour bunding	R	-	-	P	C	-	UG &DoLR	52805				16.0	, 2013
		Graded bunding	-	D	-	-	C		UG &DoLR	18571.43				13.0	, 2013
		Gully plug	-	D	-	-	C	-	UG &DoLR	17500				7.00	, 2015
		Field bunds	-	-	L	P	C	-	UG &DoLR	98011.76				35.0	, 2015
2	VIJPURA 2B2A4c2a	Contour bunding	R	-	-		P	-	UG &DoLR	49505				15.0	, 2013
		Graded bunding	-	D	-	-	C		UG &DoLR	17142.86				12.0	, 2013
		Gully plug	-	D	-	-	C	-	UG &DoLR	15000				6.00	, 2015
		Field bunds	-	-	L	P	C	-	UG &DoLR	84010.08				30.0	, 2015
3	REWARID IH 2B2A4c1g	Contour bunding	R	-	-		P	-	UG &DoLR	46205				14.0	, 2013
		Graded bunding	-	D	-	-	C		UG &DoLR	17142.86				12.0	, 2013
		Gully plug	-	D	-	-	C	-	UG &DoLR	12500				5.00	, 2015
		Field bunds	-	-	L	P	C	-	UG &DoLR	84010.08				30.0	, 2015
4	MAIDAPUR SAMASTIPUR 2B2A4c2b	Contour bunding	R	-	-		P	-	UG &DoLR	66007				20.0	, 2013
		Graded bunding	-	D	-	-	C		UG &DoLR	25714.29				18.0	, 2013
		Gully plug	-	D	-	-	C	-	UG &DoLR	20000				8.00	, 2015
		Field bunds	-	-	L	P	C	-	UG &DoLR	126015.1				45.0	, 2015
5	JAMALPUR MIRZAPUR 2B2A4c1c	Contour bunding	R	-	-		P	-	UG &DoLR	18977				5.75	, 2013
		Graded bunding	-	D	-	-	C		UG &DoLR	11928.57				8.35	, 2013
		Gully plug	-	D	-	-	C	-	UG &DoLR	10000				4.0	, 2015
		Field bunds	-	-	L	P	C	-	UG &DoLR	37524.50				13.4	, 2015
6	HAZIPUR 2B2A4c1b	Contour bunding	R	-	-		P	-	UG &DoLR	18977				5.75	, 2013
		Graded bunding	-	D	-	-	C		UG &DoLR	11928.57				8.35	, 2013
		Gully plug	-	D	-	-	C	-	UG &DoLR	10000				4.0	, 2015
		Field bunds	-	-	L	P	C	-	UG &DoLR	37524.50				13.4	, 2015
	Total									907000				330	

(M- Materials, W- wages, O- others, T- Total)





**Table no 48: Total Treatment of Micro Watershed of IWMP-II**

S.N.	Watershed Reaches	Proposed Work	Treatable Area (ha.)	CMT	Rate (Rs CMT)	Proposed Cost (Rs in lacs)
1	Upper Reaches	Contour Bund	1513.00	199670	30.30	60.50
		Gully Plug	90.00	36000	40.00	14.40
2	Middle Reaches	Graded Bund (Marginal bund, Peripheral bund, Submergence bund, earthen check dam)	750	741.85	70.00	51.93
		Agroforestry/ Horticulture	557	-	60000/ha	33.42
3	Lower Reaches/ Drainage Line Treatment	Water Harvesting Bundhi Pond	1400	225938	35.71	80.66
		Check dams	-	1 no	-	7.00
		Farm Ponds, Injection well	1265	*	*	81.59
		<b>Total</b>	<b>5575.00</b>			<b>334.50</b>


\* details in table no 42 and 44

**Table no 49: Technical detail of engineering works in project area**

S. No.	Project	No of villages	Type of bund	Type of soil	Particulars (meter)				
					Top	Base	Height	Slope	Cross section
1	IWMP-II	72	Field Bund	Normal	0.30	1.65	0.45	1.5:1	0.438
			Contour Bund	Clay	0.45	1.65	0.60	1.0:1	0.63
				Loam	0.45	2.25	0.60	1.5:1	0.81
				Sandy	0.45	2.85	0.60	2.0:1	0.99
			Marginal/CRB & peripheral Bund	Plain land	0.60	3.60	1.00	1.5:1	2.10
				Undulating land	1.00	4.00	1.00	1.5:1	2.50
			Gully Plug	At 3% or above 3 % slope gully plug will be made between two drainage line with emergency temporary spill way					



## NOMENCLATUR AND SYMBOLS OF TYPICAL DROP SPILLWAY



### 5.2.2 PROPOSED LAND USE

Watershed management plan for IWMP-II Mau watershed was prepared with specific objectives of food sufficiency and income and employment generation with environment security. In plan preparation due importance was given to topographic, land suitability, irrigation potentially, prevailing farming systems, micro farming situation, farming, farmers preferences and priorities along with economic and environment securities. Crop and tree selection and area distribution was done as per farmers priorities revealed through PRA exercise.

Technological options were blended with the ITK based on the latest available research/ experiment findings for this region. Due attention was given to the resource of the farmers and adjustments were made in capital intensive/high resource demanding technological outputs while making them adoptable to the resource poor farmers. Emphasis was given on maximum use of farm yard manure. The proposed land use plan of the watershed is shown in table.

**Table no. 50: Present and proposed land use plan of the IWMP-II watershed**

S.No.	Land use	Present (ha)	Proposed area (ha)
1	Agriculture	7315.00	7315.00
	a. Rain fed	5790.00	5790.00
	i. Crops	5780.00	5233.00
	ii. Horticulture	8.50	390.00
	iii. Agro-forestry	1.50	167.00
	b. Irrigated	1525.00	1525.00
	i. Assured	225.00	725.00
	ii. Partial	1300.00	800.00
2	Waste Land	260.00	260.00
	a. A forestation	Nil	Nil
	b. Pasture	2.50	2.50
	c. Untreatable	256.00	256.00
	<b>Total</b>	<b>7575.00</b>	<b>7575.00</b>

### **5.2.3 WATER RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT AND SOIL CONSERVATION MEASURES**

#### **Status of Present Water Resources Utilization**

The micro watersheds are having four seasonal water bodies on private as well as on community land. Management and maintenance of these water bodies is still in the hand of minor irrigation department. During good rainfall year these water bodies having full of water during kharif season. Before sowing of rabi season crops water from these water bodies is either used for supplementary irrigation for kharif crops or irrigating fields for rabi sowing or allowed to go as waste. After releasing water from bodies, submergence area also put under cultivation for rabi crops.

#### **Proposed plan for Irrigation Development of existing water resources**

For efficient utilization of available water resources in the IWMP-II watersheds, present system of irrigation and wastage of water during October-November need to be made more efficient from water management point of view by minimizing conveyance losses in the existing water courses. The up gradation of the existing system of irrigation will result in:

- a. Minimization of conveyance losses
- b. Increase in frequency of irrigation
- c. Adoption of high yielding varieties of crops, and
- d. Assured cultivation of cash Crops
- e. Drinking water problem will also solved
- f. Local eco system will also improved

#### **New water harvesting structures (Ponds)**

Two new dug type water harvesting structures (pond) of capacity about 1400 cum (each) have been proposed to harvest excess runoff of the watershed. Harvested water will be used for supplementary irrigation and fish rearing. Details of these ponds have been given in the estimated budget in last.

## **Crop production**

### **Mulching and crop residue management**

The sources of mulching material as brought up mulch i.e. litter or pruned material of trees etc are scarce in the watershed. The weeds, in situ grown legume and multi purpose trees (as hedge row on marginal and degraded lands) are some of the options available with growers for mulching the rain-fed crops for moisture conservation, fertility restoration and other purposes. Therefore, weed mulching and hedge row of MPTs will be demonstrated in the watershed for benefit of the rural community.

### **Green manuring**

Intensive cropping with inadequate application of organic manures i.e. FYM, compost etc, has over exploited the existing agricultural production system in the watershed. In order to improve the fertility as well as physico- chemical properties of soils green manuring *in-situ* and *ex-situ* with suitable crops like dhaincha, sun-hemp and neel are proposed to be demonstrated in the watershed areas of IWMP-II watersheds.

### **Vermi composting**

In order to provide quality manure with high nutrient content to various field crops, vegetables and cash crops, to save time and proper disposal of on farm organic refuse and cow dung as well as to promote organic farming, vermin-composting will be demonstrated to the farmers so that within a short period they can be able to produce manure from organic waste.

### **Crop rotation and intercropping**

In order to diversify farm produce, minimize the farming risk, mitigate soil erosion, to ensure nutritional security and to optimize farm return, intercropping of legumes (black gram and green gram) in inter row spaces of bajra are proposed to be undertaken in the watershed during kharif season. Sustainable and profitable crop-rotations suiting to various needs of the people of the watershed will be demonstrated.

### **Bio-fertilizers**

The various beneficial bio-fertilizers like nitrogen fixers, phosphate solubliser and organic matter decomposers for both legumes and non legumes will be demonstrated in the farmers field under the watershed villages.

### **Tillage operations**

Deep tillage technology developed at the NDUAT, Faizabad holds promising in enhancing post monsoon residual soil moisture conservation and improving the yield of subsequent mustard crop. This technology is proposed to be demonstrated for benefit of farmers in the watershed.

### **Introduction of improved seeds of high yielding varieties(HYV)**

Replacement of low yielding traditional varieties of Paddy, wheat, jowar, gram and lentil in the villages in the watershed with improved varieties is necessary for improving the productivity and farm income. These HYVs will be demonstrated in the watershed for the benefit of the farmers.

### **Balanced fertilizer use**

Inadequate and imbalanced fertilizer use in the Paddy, bajra and jowar during kharif season and in wheat, mustard, gram and lentil in rabi season are one of the major constraints in agricultural production system of the watershed. Therefore, fertilizer use in different crops will be demonstrated in the watershed for the benefits of the farming community.

## Control of insect pest and diseases

Aphid in the mustard and pod borer in gram are the major insects in the watershed areas leading to loss in crop productivity. Similarly white blister is also a common disease in the mustard crop. The management strategies of these insect pests and diseases will also be demonstrated in the watershed for benefit of the growers.

## Management of the crop

The recommended technology of crop management will be demonstrated to the farmers in half plot trial approach so as to enable the farmers to assess the benefits and impact of each technology or package of practice for their ultimate adoption in the watershed. The interventions scheduled on prioritization of problems in the crop production following PRA and surveys target specifically solution of the each and problem related to the crop production as per interventions. The specific problems, if any shall be dealt with contingency budget.

## Dry land Horticulture

About 20 ha of land is suitable for horticultural development. Species like Bael and Ber will be planted at suitable spacing in the watershed.

## Agri-Horticulture

Anola and sahjan would be a suitable horticultural crop to the locality. Therefore, about 390.00 ha land in the farmers field shall be selected and brought under Agri-horti system. The cropping system followed will be Bajra and Wheat.

## Plantation :

**Fuel wood plantation:** About 167.00 ha land will be taken the waste land falling in the class-IV category in the watershed. These lands will be planted with species like *Prosopis juliflora* *Acacia nilatica*, *Prosopis cineraria* and *Holoptelia integrifolia*.

**Table no. 51 : Details of activities connected with vegetative cover in watershed works**

	Names of	Name of	Type of treatment	Type of land	Executing agency	Total target
--	----------	---------	-------------------	--------------	------------------	--------------



S.N o.	villages	structure/work	(i) Ridge area (R)	(ii) Drainage line (D)	(iii) Land dev. (L)	(i) Private	(ii) Community	(iii) Others (pl. specify)	(i)UG (ii) SHG (iii) Others (pl. specify)	Area (ha)	No. of Plants	Estimated cost (Rs. In lakh)	Expected month & year of completion (mm/yyyy)
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
1	Karisath 2B2A4c1e	Afforestation	R	D	L	P	C	-	DoLR, DF	30.00	4680	1.80	2013
		Agro-forestry	-	-	L	P	C	-	DoLR, UG, DF	53.00	8268	3.18	2013
		Fodder	-	-	L	P	-	-	DoLR,UG, DV	3.00	-	0.18	2012
		Horticulture	R	-	L	P	C	-	DoLR, UG, DH	68.00	10608	4.08	2013
		Pasture dev.	R	-	L	-	C	-	DoLR,SHG, DV	1.00	-	0.06	2012
		Nursery raising	-	-	L	-	C	-	DoLR, SHG, DH	0.50	-	0.03	2011
	Total									155.50		9.33	
2	Vijapura 2B2A4c2a	Afforestation	R	D	L	P	C	-	DoLR, DF	28.00	4368	1.68	2013
		Agro-forestry	-	-	L	P	C	-	DoLR, UG, DF	50.60	7894	30.36	2013
		Fodder	-	-	L	P	-	-	DoLR,UG, DV	2.50	-	0.15	2012
		Horticulture	R	-	L	P	C	-	DoLR, UG, DH	66.00	2496	0.96	2013
		Pasture dev.	R	-	L	-	C	-	DoLR,SHG, DV	1.00	-	0.06	2012
		Nursery raising	-	-	L	-	C	-	DoLR, SHG, DH	0.50	-	0.03	2011
	Total									148.60		8.91	
3	Rewaridih 2B2A4c1g	Afforestation	R	D	L	P	C	-	DoLR, DF	10.00	1560	0.66	2013
		Agro-forestry	-	-	L	P	C	-	DoLR, UG, DF	26.30	4103	15.78	2013
		Fodder	-	-	L	P	-	-	DoLR,UG, DV	10.00	-	0.60	2012
		Horticulture	R	-	L	P	C	-	DoLR, UG, DH	50.00	7800	3.00	2013
		Pasture dev.	R	-	L	-	C	-	DoLR,SHG, DV	5.00	-	0.30	2012
		Nursery raising	-	-	L	-	C	-	DoLR, SHG, DH	2.00	-	0.12	2011
	Total									103.30		6.198	
4	Maidapur Samastipur 2B2A4c2b	Afforestation	R	D	L	P	C	-	DoLR, DF	58.35	9103	3.50	2013
		Agro-forestry	-	-	L	P	C	-	DoLR, UG, DF	60.00	9360	3.60	2013
		Fodder	-	-	L	P	-	-	DoLR,UG, DV	2.00	-	0.12	2012
		Horticulture	R	-	L	P	C	-	DoLR, UG, DH	115.00	17940	6.90	2013
		Pasture dev.	R	-	L	-	C	-	DoLR,SHG, DV	1.00	-	0.06	2012
		Nursery raising	-	-	L	-	C	-	DoLR, SHG, DH	0.25	-	0.02	2011
	Total									238.60		14.32	

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
5	Jamalpur Mirzapur 2B2A4c1c	Afforestation	R	D	L	P	C	-	DoLR, DF	17.00	2652	1.02	2013
		Agro-forestry	-	-	L	P	C	-	DoLR, UG, DF	1.50	234	0.09	2013
		Fodder	-	-	L	P	-	-	DoLR,UG, DV	1.50	-	0.09	2012
		Horticulture	R	-	L	P	C	-	DoLR, UG, DH	18.00	2806	1.08	2013
		Pasture dev.	R	-	L	-	C		DoLR,SHG, DV	0.25	-	0.02	2012
		Nursery raising	-	-	L	-	C	-	DoLR, SHG, DH	0.25	-	0.02	2011
	Total									38.00		2.28	
6	Hazipur 2B2A4c1b	Afforestation	R	D	L	P	C	-	DoLR, DF	17.00	2652	1.02	2013
		Agro-forestry	-	-	L	P	C	-	DoLR, UG, DF	1.50	234	0.09	2013
		Fodder	-	-	L	P	-	-	DoLR,UG, DV	1.50	-	0.09	2012
		Horticulture	R	-	L	P	C	-	DoLR, UG, DH	18.00	2806	1.08	2013
		Pasture dev.	R	-	L	-	C		DoLR,SHG, DV	0.25	-	0.02	2012
		Nursery raising	-	-	L	-	C	-	DoLR, SHG, DH	0.25	-	0.02	2011
	Total									38.00		2.28	
	Grant Total									557.00	86892	38.42	

**Table no. 52: Technical Details of Afforestation and Agro forestry Activities in 1ha**

Particulars	Value	Unit
<b>Crop Name</b>	<i>Prosopis juliflora</i> <i>Acacia nilatica</i> , <i>Prosopis cineraria</i>	
Plant To Plant Spacing	8.00	M
Row to Row Spacing	8.00	M
Pit Length	1.00	M
Pit Width	1.00	M
Pit Depth	1.00	M
No. of Plants	156	Nos.
Plantation Area	1.00	ha.
No. of Plants per ha	1,56	Nos.
Gap Filling	20	%

**Table no. 53: Cost Estimation for afforestation and Agro forestry activity in 1ha**

S. No.	Description	No.	Length (m.)	Width (m.)	Depth (m.)	Unit	Quantity	Rate	Amount
--------	-------------	-----	-------------	------------	------------	------	----------	------	--------

1	Digging of pits for plants	156	1	1	1	Cum	156.00	10	1560.00
2	Plants samplings for plantation in Govt. pasture area, sampling not less than 30 cm. height	156				Nos.	156.00	10	1560.00
3	Transportation of plants from nursery to camp site up to 15Km	156				Nos.	156.00	2	312.00
4	Loading and unloading of plants	156				Nos.	156.00	2	312.00
5	Rehandling of plants from camp site to actual planting site upto 200m.	156				Nos.	156.00	2	312.00
6	Cost of fertiliser & insecticides incl. application	156				Nos.	156.00	10	1560.00
7	Weeding and Hoeing two times (Twice in year)	156				Nos.	156.00	2.46	384.00
<b>Total</b>									<b>6000.00</b>

**Table no. 54: Technical Details of Horticultural Activities in 1ha**

Particulars	Value	
<b>Crop Name</b>	<i>Aonla, bael Ber, Guava etc</i>	
Plant To Plant Spacing	8.00	M
Row to Row Spacing	8.00	M
Pit Length	1.00	M
Pit Width	1.00	M
Pit Depth	1.00	M
No. of Plants	156	Nos.
Plantation Area	1.00	ha.
No. of Plants per ha	1,56	Nos.
Gap Filling	20	%

**Table no. 55 : Cost Estimation for Horticultural Activities in 1ha**

S. No	Description	No.	Length (m.)	Width (m.)	Depth (m.)	Unit	Quantity	Rate	Amount
1	Digging of pits for plants	156	1	1	1	Cum	1,56.00	10	1560.00
2	Plants samplings for plantation in Govt. pasture area, sampling not less than 30 cm. height	156				Nos.	1,56.00	10	1560.00
3	Transportation of plants from nursery to camp site up to 15Km	156				Nos.	1,56.00	2	312.00
4	Loading and unloading of plants	156				Nos.	1,56.00	2	312.00
5	Rehandling of plants from camp site to actual planting site upto 200m.	156				Nos.	1,56.00	2	312.00
6	Cost of fertiliser & insecticides incl. application	156				Nos.	1,56.00	10	1560.00
7	Weeding and Hoeing two times (Twice in year)	156				Nos.	1,56.00	2.46	384.00
<b>Total</b>									<b>6000.00</b>

## 5. PRODUCTION SYSTEM AND MICRO ENTERPRIZES (Financial out lays 13% i.e., Rs. 86.97 lacs)

### ***PROPOSED EXTENSION STRATEGIES***

The following feasible extension strategies have been proposed based on the analysis of data collected through PRA & related issues/problems in IWMP-II - Mau district.

#### ***Strategies :***

- B. Improvement of productivity and income of farmers in the existing enterprises and farming system.*
- C. Diversification and intensification of existing farming system.*
- D. Sustainability in productivity/income.*
- E. Integrated nutrient management.*
- F. Integrated pest management.*
- G. Seed multiplication and replacement.*
- H. Horticulture planting material*
- I. Success story.*
- J. Natural resources management.*
- K. Issue for Policy consideration*
- L. Farm mechanization.*
- M. Marketing & Media strategies.*
- N. Human Resource Development.*
- O. Farmers Organization.*
- P. Public Private Partnership.*

- A. Improvement of Productivity and income fo farmers in the existing enterprises and farming system

**Table no. 56 : Agriculture Production**

Crop 1	Critical Gap 2	Strategic issue 3	Strategies 4
1.Wheat	Yield stagnation	Use of recommended seed rate, Weed management, Popularizing Seed production programme, Popularizing Organic farming	Demonstration, Exposure visits, Training
	Use of untreated seeds	Encouraging sowing with treated seeds	Demonstration, Exposure visits, Training
2. Paddy	Un judicious use of Irrigation water	Irrigation management	Demonstration, Exposure visits, Training
	Imbalance use of Fertilizer	To promote INM	Demonstration, Soil testing, Exposure visits, - Training
	Un Availability of Quality Seed	In crease seed replacement ratio Promote seed production Programme	Demonstration, Exposure visits, Training
	1. Improper nursery raising	-Raised seed beds	Demonstration, Exposure visits, Training
	2. Inadequate Pest and disease management	Popularization of Integrated pest and disease management	- Demonstration - Exposure visits - Training
3..Maize	3. Weed management	Populazation of chemical Pesticide	Demonstration, Exposure visits, Training
	1. Non adoption of seed treatment	Application of seed treatment	Demonstration, Exposure visits, Training
	2. Excess application of fertilizes	Use of recommended dose of fertilizer	Demonstration, Exposure visits, Training
	3. Use of Micro nutrients	-Use of recommended quantity of micro nutrients	Demonstration, Exposure visits, Training
	4. Non adoption of hand pollination practices	Following hand pollination	Demonstration, Exposure visits, Training
4. Mustard	1. Higher plant population	Popularization of plant population technique per	Demonstration, Exposure visits, Training
	2. Low production	-Suitable agronomical practices will be popularized	Demonstration, Exposure visits, Training
	3. Imbalance use of fertilizer	-Use of balanced fertilizer promote, sulphur	Demonstration, Exposure visits, Training
	4. Pest & disease management	-Adoption of proper pest and disease management practice	Demonstration, Exposure visits, Training
	5. Post harvest technology	Popularization of improved storage method	Demonstration, Exposure visits, Training
5. Lentil	1. Yield stagnation	Timely sown, line sown, seed rate as per recommendation	Demonstration, Exposure visits, Training
	2. Imbalance use of fertilizers	Popularization of phasphetik fertilizer	Demonstration, Exposure visits, Training
	3. Low quantity of F.Y.M.	Use of recommended practice of F.Y.M.	Demonstration, Exposure visits, Training
	4. Inadequate use of sowing techniques	Use of Recommended techniques	Demonstration, Exposure visits, Training
6. Sugarcane	1. Late sowing	-Timely sowing	Demonstration, Exposure visits, Training
	2. Non application of fertilizers	Application of seed treatment	Demonstration, Exposure visits, Training
	3. Excess application of fertilizers	Use of recommended dose of fertilizer, Popularization of recommended dose of F.Y.M./Green Manure	Demonstration, Exposure visits, Training
	4. Use of Micro Nutrients	-Use of recommended quantity of micro nutrients	Demonstration, Exposure visits, Training
	5. Inadequate pest and disease management	- Adoption of IPM/IDM	Demonstration, Exposure visits, Training
	6. Injudicious use of water	-Irrigation management	Demonstration, Exposure visits, Training

**Table no. 57: Horticultural Production :-**

I. Un Identified verities	Popularization of Identified Recommended verities	Demonstration, Exposure visits, Training
---------------------------	---	--

(1) Potato	2. No seed treatment	Adoption of recommended seed treatment	Demonstration, Exposure visits, Training
	3. Non use of Micro nutrients	-Application of recommended Micro nutrients	Demonstration, Exposure visits, Training
	4. Non Adoption of pest and disease management	-IDM	Demonstration, Exposure visits, Training
(2) Tomato	1. No seed treatment	Adoption of recommended seed treatment	Demonstration, Exposure visits, Training
	2. Imbalance use of fertilizers	Application of recommended dose of fertilizers	Demonstration, Exposure visits, Training
	3. Low quantity use of F.Y.M.	Adoption of recommended dose of F.Y.M.	Demonstration, Exposure visits, Training
	4. Inadequate plant protection measure	Popularization of recommended plant protection techniques	Demonstration, Exposure visits, Training
(3) Banana	1. Low quantity use of F.Y.M.	Use of Recommended dose of F.Y.M	Demonstration, Exposure visits, Training
	2. No seed treatment	Popularization of bio agents, <i>Tricoderma</i> and <i>Pseudomonas</i> For seed treatments	Demonstration, Exposure visits, Training
	3. Inadequate plant protection technique	Popularization of plant protection technique	Demonstration, Exposure visits, Training

**Table no. 58: ANIMAL HUSBANDRY**

Animal 1	Critical gap 2	Strategy 3	Activities 4
Cow & Buffalo	a) Artificial Insemination partial adoption of AI	a) Improving knowledge about advantage and disadvantages of AI	a) Awareness campaign b) Ensure the availability of technical staff. c) Ensure the availability of semen f) Conducting fertility improvement camps. g) Conducting camps for castration of scrub bulls at village level h) Providing wide mouth cry can & 1 Lit. Thermos for easy transportation of semen to remote villages
	b) Unavailable of quality fodder feed.	Improving the knowledge about animal production capacity and its fodder requirement	a) Organizing awareness camp about animal production capacity, its requirements and dairy economics. b) ensure the supply of good quality fodder seeds. c) Demonstration of conservation of fodder by silage making
	c) Minerals & vitamins. Full gap in adoption of feeding	Motivating farmers about importance of minerals & vitamins.	a) Intensify the awareness programmes about importance of feeding minerals & vitamins by promoting stall feeding
	d) Inter-calving period is long period	Awareness about "a calf a year"	a) Awareness camp for reduction calving period
	e) Health care gap in health care management	Providing knowledge about animal health and hygiene	a) organizing awareness programme about animal health & hygiene through trainings and field visits. b) Awareness campaign about animal health camps
	f) General management Partial gap in Adoption of general. Management	Technology dissemination about animal management and its importance	a) organizing awareness programme about animal management through training and field visits.
	g) Average milk yield. Full gap in average milk yield.	Technology dissemination about complete dairy management	By providing awareness about complete dairy management

1	2	3	4
Goat, Goat & Pig, Poultry	<u>a) Breed up gradation</u>	Awareness about feed and fodder management	a) Providing awareness programme through training and field visits. b) Refresher training course to technical
	<u>b) Feed management</u> Partial adoption of feed management	Awareness about feed and fodder management	a) Providing awareness programme about the feed and fodder requirement of the animal. b) Intesify the supply of fodder seeds
	<u>d) Health care</u> -gap in health care management -non adoption of deworming schedule	-Popularizing the importance of deworming. - Providing knowledge about animal health and hygiene	a) awareness camp about importance of deworming b) Conducting deworming comps c) Organizing awareness programme about animal health and hygiene through training and field visit.
	<u>d) General Management</u> - Partial gap in adoption of general management	- Technology dissemination about animal management and its importance	- Organizing awareness programme about animal management through training and field visit

**Table no. 59: Fish Production**

1. Fish production	1 Poor ground water resource during summer	Water storage facilities from on going schemes	Linkage with credit institution
	2. Silt & weed problem in existing ponds	Desalting of ponds & eradication of weed	Demonstration, Exposure visits, Training
	3. Improper stocking measures	Promotion of proper stocking measures	Demonstration, Exposure visits, Training
	4. Unawareness about composite fish farming	Creating awareness about composite fish farming	Demonstration, Exposure visits, Training
	5. Improper artificial feeding	Promotion of proper artificial feeding	Demonstration, Exposure visits, Training
	6. Marketing of fish through unorganized sectors	To promote marketing of fish through organized sectors	Demonstration, Exposure visits, Training
	7. Unawareness about cold storage and processing of fish	To create awareness about storing fish in cold storage and process the fish	Demonstration, Exposure visits, Training

**Table no. 60 : Details of allied/ other activities (Total of the production system and micro enterprises intervention)**

S.no	Names of the	Name of activity	Type of land	Executing	Total target
------	--------------	------------------	--------------	-----------	--------------

	villages					agency	Estimated cost (Rs. In lakh)	Expected month & year of completion
			(i) Private	(ii) Community	(iii) Others (pl. specify)	(i)UG (ii) SHG		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
<b>A. PRODUCTION SYSTEM AND MICREENTERPRIZES INERVENTION (50 % BUDGET OF EACH INTERVENTION USE AS REVOLVING FUND AND 50 % USE AS TRAINING &amp; TRAINING MATERIOALS)</b>								
1	Karisath 2B2A4c1e (for 17 village)	Milk Collection Centre	-	Community	-	SHG	2.00	2015
		Bee Keeping	-	Community	-	SHG	2.00	2015
		Fruit preservation	-	Community	-	SHG	2.00	2015
		Small ruminants (Goatry)	-	Community	-	SHG	4.00	2015
		Fisheries	-	Community	-	SHG	3.50	2015
		Portable hatchery	-	-	-	SHG	3.00	2015
		Nursery raising	-	Community	-	SHG	2.00	2015
		Medicinal Plant	Private	-	-	SHG	2.50	2015
		Rural craft	-	Community	-	SHG	2.00	2015
		Vermi composting	-	Community	-	SHG	3.00	2015
		Animal Nutrient	Private	-	-	UG	0.208	2015
	Total						26.208	2015
2	Vijpura 2B2A4c2a (for 11 village)	Milk Collection Centre	-	Community	-	SHG	1.00	2015
		Bee Keeping	-	Community	-	SHG	1.00	2015
		Fruit preservation	-	Community	-	SHG	2.00	2015
		Small ruminants (Goatry)	-	Community	-	SHG	1.00	2015
		Fisheries	-	Community	-	SHG	1.00	2015
		Portable hatchery	-	-	-	SHG	1.00	2015
		Nursery raising	-	Community	-	SHG	1.00	2015
		Medicinal Plant	Private	-	-	SHG	1.00	2015
		Rural craft	-	Community	-	SHG	1.00	2015
		Vermi composting	-	Community	-	SHG	2.00	2015
		Animal Nutrient	Private	-	-	UG	0.168	2015
	Total						12.168	2015
3	Rewaridih 2B2A4c1g (for 5 village)	Milk Collection Centre	-	Community	-	SHG	0.50	2015
		Bee Keeping	-	Community	-	SHG	0.50	2015
		Fruit preservation	-	Community	-	SHG	1.00	2015
		Small ruminants (Goatry)	-	Community	-	SHG	0.50	2015
		Fisheries	-	Community	-	SHG	0.50	2015
		Portable hatchery	-	-	-	SHG	0.50	2015
		Nursery raising	-	Community	-	SHG	0.50	2015
		Rural craft	-	Community	-	SHG	1.00	2015
		Animal Nutient	Private	-	-	UG	0.226	2015
	Total						5.226	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9



4	Maidapur Samastipur 2B2A4c2b (for 13 village)	Milk Collection Centre	-	Community	-	SHG	1.00	2015
		Bee Keeping	-	Community	-	SHG	0.50	2015
		Fruit preservation	-	Community	-	SHG	0.50	2015
		Small ruminants (Goatry)	-	Community	-	SHG	1.00	2015
		Fisheries	-	Community	-	SHG	1.00	2015
		Portable hatchery	-	-	-	SHG	1.00	2015
		Nursery raising	-	Community	-	SHG	1.00	2015
		Medicinal Plant	Private	-	-	SHG	1.00	2015
		Rural craft	-	Community	-	SHG	1.00	2015
		Vermi composting	-	Community	-	SHG	1.00	2015
		Animal Nutrient	Private	-	-	UG	0.75	2015
	Total						9.75	2015
5	Jamalpur Mirzapur 2B2A4c1c (for 15 village)	Bee Keeping	-	Community	-	SHG	2.00	2015
		Fruit preservation	-	Community	-	SHG	3.00	2015
		Fisheries	-	Community	-	SHG	4.00	2015
		Portable hatchery	-	Community	-	SHG	1.00	2015
		Nursery raising	-	Community	-	SHG	2.00	2015
		Medicinal Plant	Private	-	-	SHG	1.00	2015
		Rural craft	-	Community	-	SHG	1.00	2015
		Animal Nutrient	Private	-	-	UG	0.82	2015
	Total						14.82	2015
6	Hazipur 2B2A4c1b (for 14 village)	Bee Keeping	-	Community	-	SHG	3.00	2015
		Fruit preservation	-	Community	-	SHG	4.00	2015
		Fisheries	-	Community	-	SHG	2.00	2015
		Portable hatchery	-	Community	-	SHG	3.00	2015
		Nursery raising	-	Community	-	SHG	3.00	2015
		Medicinal Plant	Private	-	-	SHG	2.00	2015
		Rural craft	-	Community	-	SHG	1.00	2015
		Animal Nutrient	Private	-	-	UG	0.798	2015
	Total						18.798	
	G. Total (for 72 village)						86.97	

**Table no. 61: Item wise total for the project**

S.no	Names of the	Name of activity	Type of land	Executing	Total target
------	--------------	------------------	--------------	-----------	--------------

	villages					agency	Estimated cost (Rs. In lakh)	Expected month & year of completion (mm/yyyy)
			(i) Private	(ii) Community	(iii) Others (pl. specify)	(i)UG (ii) SHG (iii) Others (pl. specify)		
1	72 villages in IWMP-II	Milk Collection Centre	-	Community	-	SHG	4.50	2015
		Bee Keeping	-	Community	-	SHG	9.00	2015
		Fruit preservation	-	Community	-	SHG	12.50	2015
		Small ruminants (Goatry)	-	Community	-	SHG	6.50	2015
		Fisheries	-	Community	-	SHG	12.00	2015
		Portable hatchery	-	Community	-	SHG	9.50	2015
		Nursery raising	-	Community	-	SHG	9.50	2015
		Medicinal Plant	Private	-	-	SHG	6.50	2015
		Rural craft	-	Community	-	SHG	6.00	2015
		Vermi composting	-	Community	-	SHG	6.00	2015
		Animal Nutrient	Private	-	-	UG	4.970	2015
	Total						86.97	

**Table no 62: Activities related production system and micro enterprizes intervention by Self Help Groups (SHGs) in the Project areas**

S.no	Names of the Villages	Major activities of the SHGs				No. of SHGs require training	Total assistance planned for the SHG (Amount in Rs.)				Total annual Income to be generated (Rs.)	Total annual Savings to be done (Rs.)
		Name of activity	No. of SHGs involved	Average annual income from activity per SHG	Expected month & year of completion (mm/yyyy)		Loan from revolving	Training	Material	Others (pl. specify)		
1	72 villages in IWMP- II	Milk Collection Centre	2	120000.00	2015	2	200000.00	100000.00	150000.00	-	240000.00	400000.00
		Bee Keeping	9	70000.00	2015	9	450000.00	270000.00	180000.00	-	630000.00	500000.00
		Fruit preservation	12	75000.00	2015	12	600000.00	360000.00	190000.00	-	900000.00	600000.00
		Small ruminants (Goatry)	6	70000.00	2015	6	300000.00	180000.00	170000.00	-	420000.00	500000.00
		Fisheries	12	100000.00	2015	12	600000.00	360000.00	240000.00	-	1200000.00	800000.00
		Portable hatchery	9	150000.00	2015	9	450000.00	270000.00	230000.00	-	1350000.00	900000.00
		Nursery raising	9	40000.00	2015	9	450000.00	270000.00	230000.00	-	360000.00	300000.00
		Rural craft	6	50000.00	2015	6	300000.00	180000.00	120000.00	-	300000.00	400000.00
		Vermi composting	6	50000.00	2015	6	300000.00	180000.00	120000.00	-	300000.00	300000.00

### 5.2.5 LIVELIHOOD ACTIVITIES

Income generating activities through self help groups for landless farmers like goat farming, poultry farming bee keeping, livestock development activities, vocational training given with the involvement of KVK, Mau and NGOs. Financial out lays for this component is 10 % i.e., Rs 66.90 lacs, details are given below in table.

**Table no. 63 : Details of allied/ other activities (Total of livelihood intervention)**

S.no	Names of the villages	Name of activity	Type of land			Executing agency (i)UG (ii) SHG	Total target	
			(i) Private	(ii) Community	(iii) Others (pl. specify)		Estimated cost (Rs. In lakh)	Expected month & year of completion (mm/yyyy)
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
<b>A. PRODUCTION SYSTEM AND MICREENTERPRIZES INERVENTION (50 % BUDGET OF EACH INTERVENTION (EXCEPT CROP DEMONSTRATION, MEDICINAL PLANT, HORTICULTURE) USE AS REVOLVING FUND AND 50 % USE AS TRAINING &amp; TRAINING MATERIOALS)</b>								
1	Karisath 2B2A4c1e (for village)	Crop demonstration	Private	-	-	UG	4.00	2015
		Medicinal plant	Private	-	-	UG	2.00	2015
		Horticulture	Private	-	-	UG	2.00	2015
		Nursery raising	Private	-	-	UG	1.00	2015
		Fruit preservation	-	Community	-	SHG	3.00	2015
		Rural craft	-	Community	-	SHG	3.00	2015
		Goatery	-	Community	-	SHG	2.00	2015
		Piggery	-	Community	-	SHG	3.00	2015
		Animal Nutrient	Private	-	-	UG	0.160	2015
	Total						20.160	2015
2	Vijpura 2B2A4c2a (for village)	Crop demonstration	Private	-	-	UG	1.00	2015
		Medicinal plant	Private	-	-	UG	1.00	2015
		Horticulture	Private	-	-	UG	2.00	2015
		Nursery raising	Private	-	-	UG	1.00	2015
		Fruit preservation	-	Community	-	SHG	1.00	2015
		Rural craft	-	Community	-	SHG	1.00	2015
		Goatery	-	Community	-	SHG	1.00	2015
		Piggery	-	Community	-	SHG	1.00	2015
		Animal Nutrient	Private	-	-	UG	0.36	2015
	Total						9.36	2015
3	Rewaridih 2B2A4c1g (for village)	Crop demonstration	Private	-	-	UG	0.50	2015
		Medicinal plant	Private	-	-	UG	0.50	2015
		Horticulture	Private	-	-	UG	0.50	2015
		Nursery raising	Private	-	-	UG	0.50	2015
		Fruit preservation	-	Community	-	SHG	0.50	2015
		Rural craft	-	Community	-	SHG	0.50	2015
		Goatery	-	Community	-	SHG	0.50	2015
		Piggery	-	Community	-	SHG	0.50	2015
		Animal Nutrient	Private	-	-	UG	0.02	2015
	Total						4.02	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9

4	Maidapur Samastipur 2B2A4c2b (for village)	Crop demonstration	Private	-	-	UG	1.00	2015
		Medicinal plant	Private	-	-	UG	1.00	2015
		Horticulture	Private	-	-	UG	1.00	2015
		Nursery raising	Private	-	-	UG	1.00	2015
		Fruit preservation	-	Community	-	SHG	0.50	2015
		Rural craft	-	Community	-	SHG	1.00	2015
		Goatery		Community		SHG	0.50	2015
		Piggery		Community		SHG	1.00	2015
		Animal Nutrient	Private	-	-	UG	0.5	2015
	Total						7.50	2015
5	Jamalpur Mirzapur 2B2A4c1c (for village)	Crop demonstration	Private	-	-	UG	1.00	2015
		Horticulture	Private		-	UG	1.00	2015
		Fruit preservation	-	Community	-	SHG	5.00	2015
		Rural craft	-	Community	-	SHG	3.00	2015
		Piggery		Community	-	SHG	1.00	2015
		Animal Nutrient	Private	-	-	UG	0.4	2015
	Total						11.40	2015
6	Hazipur 2B2A4c1b (for village)	Crop demonstration	Private	-	-	UG	3.00	2015
		Horticulture	Private		-	UG	5.00	2015
		Fruit preservation	-	Community	-	SHG	4.00	2015
		Rural craft	-	Community	-	SHG	1.00	2015
		Piggery		Community	-	SHG	1.00	2015
		Animal Nutrient	Private	-	-	UG	0.40	2015
	Total						14.46	
G. Total (for 72 village)							66.90	

Table no. 64: Item wise total for the project

S.no	Names of the villages	Name of activity	Type of land			Executing agency	Total target	
			(i) Private	(ii) Community	(iii) Others (pl. specify)		Estimated cost (Rs. In lakh)	Expected month & year of completion (mm/yyyy)
8	72 villages in IWMP-II	Crop demonstration	Private	-	-	UG	10.50	2015
		Medicinal plant	Private	-	-	UG	4.50	2015
		Horticulture	Private		-	UG	11.50	2015
		Nursery raising	Private	-	-	UG	3.50	2015
		Fruit preservation	-	Community	-	SHG	14.00	2015
		Rural craft	-	Community	-	SHG	9.50	2015
		Goatery		Community		SHG	4.00	2015
		Piggery		Community		SHG	7.50	2015
		Animal Nutrient	Private	-	-	SHG	1.96	2015
	Total						66.96	

Table no. 65: Activities related to livelihoods by Self Help Groups (SHGs) in the Project areas

S.no	Names of the Villages	Major activities of the SHGs				No. of SHGs require training	Total assistance planned for the SHG (Amount in Rs.)				Total annual Income to be generated (Rs.)	Total annual Savings to be done (Rs.)
		Name of activity	No. of SHGs involved	Average annual income from activity per SHG	Expected month & year of completion (mm/yyyy)		Loan from revolving	Training	Material	Others (pl. specify)		
1	72 villages in IWMP-II	Fruit preservation	14	75000.00	2015	14	700000.00	420000.00	280000.00	-	1050000.00	800000.00
		Rural craft	9	50000.00	2015	9	450000.00	270000.00	230000.00	-	450000.00	400000.00
		Goatery	4	70000.00	2015	4	200000.00	120000.00	80000.00	-	280000.00	200000.00
		Piggery	7	100000.00	2015	7	350000.00	210000.00	90000.00	-	700000.00	500000.00

Table no 66 : (A) Details of livelihoods created for landless people\*- including micro enterprises

S.no	Names of the villages	Name of activity	No. of beneficiaries					Pre-project income (Rs.)	Expected change in income from project intervention	Funds required for the activity (Rs.)	Sources of funding(Rs.)					Expected month & year of completion (mm/yyyy)
			SF	MF	LF	Land less	Total				Project Fund	Beneficiary	Financial institution	NGO	Others	
1	72 villages in IWMP-II	Fruit preservation	10	2		138	150	12000.00	75000.00	650000	650000	-	-	-	-	2015
		Rural craft	22	5	-	128	155	12000.00	50000.00	1100000	1100000	-	-	-	-	2015
		Goatery	11	4	-	60	70	12000.00	70000.00	800000	800000	-	-	-	-	2015
		Piggery	-	-	-	160	160	7000.00	100000.00	750000	750000	-	-	-	-	2015

Table no. 67: (B) Details of other livelihoods created for farmers

S.no	Names of the villages	Name of activity	No. of beneficiaries					Pre-project income (Rs.)	Expected change in income from project intervention	Funds required for the activity (Rs.)	Sources of funding(Rs.)					Expected month & year of completion (mm/yyyy)
			SF	MF	LF	Oth er	Total				Project Fund	Beneficiary	Financial institution	NGO	Others	
1	72 villages in IWMP-II	Crop demonstration	400	600	150	-	1150	1150000	1500000.00	2300000	2300000	-	-	-	-	2015
		Medicinal plant	25	35	10	-	70	-	7000000.00	700000	700000	-	-	-	-	2015
		Horticulture	100	150	25	-	275	275000.00	5000000.00	1100000	1100000	-	-	-	-	2015
		Nursery raising	10	6	4	-	20	-	2000000.00	500000	500000	-	-	-	-	2015

### 5.2.6 Marketing linkage

The direct livelihood activities need good forward and backward support. Without such support system the activities may fail to deliver the desired results. These linkages would involve credit, machinery, input supply, marketing, etc.

**Table no. 68: Backward and Forward Linkage**

S. No.	Project	Type of Marketing Facility	Pre-project (no.)	During the project (no.)	Post-project (no.)
1	IWMP-II	Backward linkages			
		Seed certification	1	1	1
		Seed supply system	30	32	48
		Fertilizer supply system	12	18	20
		Pesticide supply system	6	6	10
		Credit institutions	Bank-5	Bank-7	Bank-10
		Water supply	-	-	-
		Extension services	3	3	12
		Nurseries	1	1	12
		Tools/machinery suppliers	-	-	2
		Price Support system	-	-	4
		Labour	-	-	-
		Any other (please specify)	-	-	-
		Forward linkages			
		Harvesting/threshing machinery	4	8	12
		Storage (including cold storage)	1	1	4
		Road network			
		Transport facilities	-	-	-
		Markets / Mandis	8	9	12
		Agro and other Industries	1	5	6
		Milk and other collection centres	-	2	6
		Labour	-	-	-
		Hatchery (Portable)	-	5	8
		Vermi-compost unit	-	2	5
		Animal Mineral Mixture	-	-	50 gm/day/animal

### 5.3 Convergence Planning for various activities

5.3.1 Earthen bund, contour bund, percolation tank, injection well will be made in watershed area convergence with MNREGS

5.3.2 Soil health card, crop demonstration, kisan gosthi, kisan mela, farmer's school also organized in watershed area under many scheme of department of Agriculture.

5.3.7 Composite fish farming or mixed fish farming popularized in this area with Department of fisheries.

5.3.8 Animal health camp, fodder development, vaccination work also made through Veterinary Department.

5.3.9 Aforestation in project area also done with Forest Department.

5.3.10 Dry land horticulture also convergence with department of horticulture.

**Table no. 69: Details of Convergence of other Schemes in the Project area with IWMP-II Project**

S.No.	Name of the MW	Names of Departments with	Fund made available to	Was this fund included in Rs. 12,000/15,000	Name of activity/task/structure	Reference no. of activity/task/structure in	Level at which decision for
-------	----------------	---------------------------	------------------------	---	---------------------------------	---	-----------------------------

		Schemes converging with IWMP-II*	IWMP-II project due to convergence (Rs. In lakh)	Per ha		undertaken with converged funds	DPR	convergence was taken \$
				Yes	No	(a) Structures (b) Livelihoods (c) Production System		
1	<b>KARISATH 2B2A4c1e</b>	MNREGS	20.00		NO	<b>a</b>	<b>5</b>	DRDA
		DA	0.40		No	<b>b, c</b>	<b>5</b>	PIA
		DHO	0.10		No	<b>b, c</b>	<b>5</b>	PIA
		DF	0.20		No	<b>b, c</b>	<b>5</b>	PIA
		F	0.30		No	<b>b, c</b>	<b>5</b>	PIA
		<b>Total</b>	<b>21.00</b>		No			
2	<b>VIJPURA 2B2A4c2a</b>	MNREGS	23.00		No	<b>a</b>	<b>5</b>	DRDA
		DA	1.50		NO	<b>b, c</b>	<b>5</b>	PIA
		DHO	0.30		No	<b>b, c</b>	<b>5</b>	PIA
		DF	0.40		No	<b>b, c</b>	<b>5</b>	PIA
		F	0.30		No	<b>b, c</b>	<b>5</b>	PIA
		<b>Total</b>	<b>25.50</b>		No			
3	<b>REWARIDIH 2B2A4c1g</b>	MNREGS	11.00		No	<b>a</b>	<b>5</b>	DRDA
		DA	1.70		No	<b>b, c</b>	<b>5</b>	PIA
		DHO	0.50		NO	<b>b, c</b>	<b>5</b>	PIA
		DF	0.50		No	<b>b, c</b>	<b>5</b>	PIA
		F	0.30		No	<b>b, c</b>	<b>5</b>	PIA
		<b>Total</b>	<b>19.00</b>		No			
4	<b>MAIDAPUR SAMASTIPUR 2B2A4c2b</b>	MNREGS	13.00		No	<b>a</b>	<b>5</b>	DRDA
		DA	1.40		No	<b>b, c</b>	<b>5</b>	PIA
		DHO	0.30		No	<b>b, c</b>	<b>5</b>	PIA
		DF	0.40		NO	<b>b, c</b>	<b>5</b>	PIA
		F	0.45		No	<b>b, c</b>	<b>5</b>	PIA
		<b>Total</b>	<b>15.55</b>		No			
5	<b>JAMALPUR MIRZAPUR 2B2A4c1c</b>	MNREGS	8.00		No	<b>a</b>	<b>5</b>	DRDA
		DA	0.50		No	<b>b, c</b>	<b>5</b>	PIA
		DHO	0.20		No	<b>b, c</b>	<b>5</b>	PIA
		DF	0.20		No	<b>b, c</b>	<b>5</b>	PIA
		F	0.20		No	<b>b, c</b>	<b>5</b>	PIA
		<b>Total</b>	<b>9.10</b>		No			
6	<b>HAZIPUR 2B2A4c1b</b>	MNREGS	8.00		No	<b>a</b>	<b>5</b>	DRDA
		DA	0.50		No	<b>b, c</b>	<b>5</b>	PIA
		DHO	0.20		No	<b>b, c</b>	<b>5</b>	PIA
		DF	0.20		No	<b>b, c</b>	<b>5</b>	PIA
		F	0.20		No	<b>b, c</b>	<b>5</b>	PIA
		<b>Total</b>	<b>9.10</b>		No			
		<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>99.25</b>					

# only letter (a) or (b) or (c) needs to be filled. In case more than one activity has been undertaken all the concerned letters may be indicated e.g. (a) + (b)

\$ WC/GP/WDT/PIA/DRDA cell/ZP/DPC/SLNA / DoLR- only initials as indicated here need to be entered.

\* DA= Department of Agriculture, DHO= Department of Horticulture, VO= Department of Veterinary, DF= Department of Fisheries, F= Forest

## 6. CAPACITY BUILDING PLAN

Capacity Building is the process of assisting the group or individuals to identify and address issues and gain the insights, knowledge and experience needed to solve problems and implement change.

There is a realization in the development sector that there is a need to appraise the success of development interventions by going beyond the conventional development targets and measures of success (e.g. in the form of commodities, goods and services) to take into account improvements to human potential. Capacity building of stakeholders is also increasingly viewed as an important factor in developmental projects that involve participation of stakeholders at all levels for effective implementation of projects.

### 6.1 Scope of capacity building at IWMP-II

- Alternative Land Use Plan
- Scientific technique of Soil and Moisture conservation
- Improved and Scientific agriculture practices
- Fodder development and Management
- Afforestation
- Meteorological Information
- Dairy Development and Management
- Rural Craft
- Income Generation Activities
- Stitching
- Food Processing
- Post Harvest management practices
- Fish Production

Capacity building and training are the most important components of watershed management programme both for the field level project staff/ officers and functionaries of people institutions i.e. watershed community. Apart from enhancing technical skill of the project staff, this would also provide opportunities to community members to develop their capacity as the future custodians of the programmes after project's withdrawal. IWMP-II - MAU financial outlay for capacity buildings is 5% (Rs. 33.45) of the total project cost and out of which Rs 1.45 will be expended for initiation of village level institution.

### 6.2 Table no 70 : Capacity Building activities in the project



S.No.	Project Stakeholders	Total no. of persons	No. of persons trained so far	No. of persons of be trained during current financial year	Sources of funding for training		Name and Address of the Institute where Trained
					a) DoLR	b) any other (pl. specify)	
1	PIAs	6	2	8	DoLR		KVK, GSP,GKSS
2	WDTs	32	12	32	DoLR		KVK, GSP,GKSS
3	UGs	155	52	155	DoLR		KVK, GSP,GKSS
4	SHGs	180	42	180	DoLR		KVK, GSP,GKSS
5	WCs	17	5	17	DoLR		KVK, GSP,GKSS
6	GPs	22	-	22	DoLR		KVK, GSP,GKSS
7	Community	1200	-	1200	DoLR		KVK, GSP,GKSS
8	Others (pl. specify)						

**6.3 Table no 70.1: Detail of activities undertaken**

Strategy	Proposed activity	No. of Units	Unit cost	Total Cost
Capacity building Activities	Stakeholders - Scientists Interaction,	15	0.10	1.00
	Training (2 days)	100	0.05	5.00
	Vocational/employment Generation Training (5-10 days)	75	0.10	7.50
	In-service Training. (3 days)	25	0.10	2.50
	Exposure visit within State	5	0.20	1.00
	Exposure visit out of State	12	0.50	6.00
	Field days	10	0.30	3.00
	Workshop	2	1.00	2.00
	<b>Total</b>			<b>28.00</b>

**6.4 Table no 70.2 : Information, Education & Communication (IEC) activities in the project area**

S.no.	Activity	Executing agency	Estimated expenditure (Rs.)	Expected Outcome (may quantify wherever possible)
1	Street plays	Local Drama Groups	100000.00	Awareness about importance of watershed project
2	Video Shows	IWMP-II, Mau	100000.00	
3	Pamphlets and Poster	IWMP-II, Mau	100000.00	
4	Banners and Hoardings	IWMP-II, Mau	100000.00	
	<b>Total</b>		<b>400000.00</b>	

## 7. PHASING OF PROGRAMMES AND BUDGETING

### 7.1 FUNDING OF THE PROJECT

Table no. 72 : Funding for the IWMP-II Project \*

(All financial figures in lakh Rs.)

Table No. 72: Funding for the IWMP-II Project															(All financial figures in lakh Rs.)	
S.No.	Names of Project	IWMP-II fund		Funds from other sources in addition to IWMP-II funds										Total		
		Central share	State share	Convergence funds		PPP		Community		Institutional finance		Others (pl. specify)				
				Name of Scheme	Amount	Name of Private sector	Financial contribution	Name	Financial contribution	Name	Financial contribution	Name	Financial contribution			
1	IWMP-II MAU	669.00	-	*	99.25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	768.25		

\*For detail please see table no. 69 it is additional budget and not included in fund budget (Rs. 12000.00). this budget will be use in watershed area according requirement and need during work phase by PIA.

### 7.2 YEAR WISE PHASING OF WORK (Physical and Financial)

Phasing of various works/ activities during different year of the project for treatable area 6839 ha out of proposed area 7598ss ha presented in table

Table no. 73: COMPONENT WISE AND YEAR WISE PHASING OF PHYSICAL AND FINANCIAL OUT LAY

(All financial figures in lakh Rs.)

S.N.	Particulars	1 <sup>st</sup> Year (2010-11)		2 <sup>nd</sup> Year (2011-12)		3 <sup>rd</sup> Year (2012-13)		4 <sup>th</sup> Year (2013-14)		5 <sup>th</sup> Year (2014-15)		Total	
		Finncial lac	Phy. Hc	Finncial lac	Phy. Hc	Finncial lac	Phy. Hc	Finncial lac	Phy. Hc	Finncial lac	Phy. Hc	Finncial lac	Phy. Hc
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
1	Administration Cost 10%	3.345	To meet out the administrative works/charges	10.035	As per column 4	18.063	As per column 4	18.063	As per column 4	17.394	As per column 4	66.90	As per column 4
2	Monitoring 1%		monitoring of the project	1.338	As per column 4	1.338	As per column 4	1.338	As per column 4	2.676	As per column 4	6.69	As per column 4
3	Evaluation 1%		Evaluation of the project	2.007	As per column 4	1.17075	As per column 4	1.17075	As per column 4	2.3415	As per column 4	6.69	As per column 4

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
4	Entry point Activities 4%	26.76	Renovation of Culvert, well soaking pit kishan vikas manch etc.		As per column 4		As per column 4		As per column 4		As per column 4	26.76	As per column 4
5	Institution & Capacity Building 5%	3.345	Training Of DPR	16.725	As per column 4	5.0175	As per column 4	5.0175	As per column 4	3.345	As per column 4	33.45	As per column 4
6	DPR 1%	6.69	Preparation of DPR		As per column 4		As per column 4		As per column 4		As per column 4	6.69	As per column 4
7	Watershed Dev. Works 50%		Construction of Soil and water recharging structure Agro forestry horticulture etc.	50.175	836.25	103.695	1728.25	97.005	1616.75	83.625	1393.75	334.5	5575
8	Livelihood Activities 10%		Nadef compost unit, Dairy/Goat,Poultry General merchant etc.	6.69	As per column 4	26.76	As per column 4	20.07	As per column 4	13.38	As per column 4	66.90	As per column 4
9	Production System & micro Enterprises 13%		farming system approach animal husbandry activates, horticulture, vegetables growing, medicinal plants, Floriculture etc.	6.0879	As per column 4	26.9607	As per column 4	33.0486	As per column 4	20.0031	As per column 4	86.97	As per column 4
10	Consolidation Phase		Consolidation activites		As per column 4		As per column 4		As per column 4	33.45	As per column 4	33.35	As per column 4
	Total	40.14		93.0579	836.25	183.00495	1728.25	175.71285	1616.75	176.2146	1393.75	668.90	5575

Table no. 74:ABSTARACT OF COMPONENT WISE WORK AND FINANCIAL OUTLAY OF THE SELECTED 5 WATERSHED

S.No.	Component	Total (Rs. in Lakhs)
-------	-----------	----------------------

S.No.	Component	Total (Rs. in Lakhs)
1	Administration Cost	66.90
A	TA & DA, POL/Hiring of vehicles/office and payment of electricity and phone bill etc, computer, stationary and office consumable and Contingency.	
B	Monitoring	6.69
C	Evaluation	6.69
	Sub Total	80.28
2	Preparatory Phases	26.76
A	Entry Point activities, like improvement of drinking water system, repairing & Renasation Bundhies, check dam and school Activities & const/repair of culverts.	
B	Capacity Building	33.45
C	Preparation of DPR	6.69
	Sub Total	66.90
3	WATERSHED WORKS	334.50
A	Soil and Moisture Conservation	
	Contour Bund	60.50
	Graded Bund	51.93
	Gully Plug	14.40
B	Water Resource Development	
	Water harvesting Bundhi, Farm ponds, check dam injection well, open well, bore well etc	169.25
C	Agro-forestry & Horticulture	
	Agro-forestry	11.526
	Horticulture	26.894
	Sub Total	334.50
4	Livelihood Activities	66.90
	Income generation Activities through SHGs for landless and Marginal formers (Goat farming, Bee keeping, Candle making, Dona Pattal making and live stock development Activities, Rope & Basket Making)	
	Sub Total	66.90
5	Production system & Micro enterprises	86.97
	Demonstration and assessment of of improved composting system.	
	i. Seed	
	ii. Chemical Fertilizer/Bio Fertilizer	
	iii. Pest control	
	iv. Advance Agriculture Equipment	
	v. Production of Compost	
	Sub Total	86.97
6	Consolidation Phase	33.45
	Sub Total	33.45
	Grand Total	669.00

## 8. CONSOLIDATION/EXIT STRATEGY

### 8.1 Quality and Sustainability Issues

#### 8.1.1 Plans for Monitoring and Evaluation

A Web-based GIS System is being developed for monitoring and evaluating the project in its planning & implementation phases. The system would be available on a public domain and can be accessed by all the stakeholders of the project. The system shows the entire state of Uttarpratesh and all of those areas selected over the next 18 years. Filtering allows the user to zoom onto one particular project. Details related to soil type, Land-use classification, inhabitation etc., can be obtained village-wise. Furthermore, survey-number wise details related to ownership, irrigation source, yield etc., can also be accessed by the users of the system. This system is being used for pooling up the details obtained from the DPR. In other words, the DPR is made available online in the form of a database which will help the stakeholders know areas of importance viz., already treated areas/historical works in the area, proposed areas for treatment etc., for further treatment and planning. The system would also show the satellite imageries of various years from the project inception stage to the project closing stages. This allows the user to evaluate the effectiveness of the treatment and thereby plan corrective measures for the project area. The system would serve as an aiding tool to the planners and evaluators for judging the efficacy of the project.

Yet another component of the Web-based GIS system is the Mobile based Monitoring & Evaluation System, which will help the ground staff alias WDTs (Watershed Development Team) to transmit information from the ground level to the central server. Also, any higher-up official in charge of the project can obtain information regarding the project area on their mobile phone by means of an SMS. The system works in the following manner. The WDT equipped with a GPS instrument marks the latitude-longitude information of various treatment areas during the DPR. The probable sites are then transferred onto the central server. During the works phase, any progress in the treatment areas is reported to the server by means of an SMS by the WDT. Similarly, any nodal officer or higher-up official can view the progress in a project by means of summarized reports generated over frequent periods of time.

### **8.1.2 Plans for Project management:**

The Project management of any watershed programme is very important. It mainly depends upon the community organization and the village level institutes in IWMP-II Mau watershed committee and various user group have been formulated for post project operation and maintenance of assets created during project period. Major emphasis will be on equity and sustainable benefit of the project even after implementation stage. A proper link-up will be built during project period with various institutes and capacity building organization. They will act as a major kingpin during post implementation for scaling up the successful experience during project.

### **8.1.3 Watershed Development Fund:**

The major source of financial assistance after post implementation period is watershed Development Fund. The contribution of it will comes mainly fund the following:Attention:

### **8.1.4 User Charges:**

Various user groups will be formed in village. These user groups will collect user charges according to the designated rules formed during the formation of user group. These funds will be transferred to the WDF funds as per these formulated rules. The secretary of watershed committee (WC) shall maintain the records of the following.

## 8.2 Activities in the CPRs in the project area

**Table no. 75: Details of activities in the CPRs in the Project areas**

S.no	Name (s) of the villages	CPR particulars	Activity Proposed	Target				
				Target area under the activity (ha)	Estimated expenditure (laks.)	Expected no. of beneficiaries	Estimated contribution to WDF (Rs.)	Expected month & year of completion
1	Karisath	Wasteland	Engineering work	60.00	3.60	240	18000.00	2015
		Forest	Afforestation	25.00	1.50	100	7500.00	2013
		Pasture	Land development	4.00	0.24	60	1200.00	2013
		Orchard	Horticulture	10.00	0.60	180	3000.00	2013
		Village Pond	Moisture onservation	2 no	2.00	140	10000.00	2012
		<b>Total</b>		<b>99.00</b>	<b>10.94</b>	<b>720</b>	<b>54700.00</b>	2012
2	Vijapura	Wasteland	Engineering work	110.00	6.60	440	33000.00	2015
		Forest	Afforestation	25.00	1.50	100	7500.00	2013
		Pasture	Land development	3.00	0.18	92	1000.00	2013
		Orchard	Horticulture	10.00	0.60	192	3000.00	2013
		Village Pond	Moisture Conservation	2 no	2.00	140	10000.00	2012
		<b>Total</b>		<b>148.00</b>	<b>13.88</b>	<b>964</b>	<b>69400.00</b>	2012
3	Rewaridih	Wasteland	Engineering work	82.50	4.95	290	24750.00	2015
		Forest	Afforestation	22.00	1.32	82	7000.00	2013
		Pasture	Land development	5.00	0.30	75	1500.00	2013
		Orchard	Horticulture	10.00	0.60	192	3000.00	2013
		Village Pond	Moisture onservation	2 no	2.00	140	10000.00	2012
4	Maidapur Samstipur	<b>Total</b>		<b>122.50</b>	<b>7.35</b>	<b>779</b>	<b>36750.00</b>	2012
		Wasteland	Engineering work	102.00	6.12	350	24750.00	2015
		Forest	Afforestation	45.00	2.70	188	13500.00	2013
		Pasture	Land development	3.00	0.18	70	1000.00	2013
		Orchard	Horticulture	10.00	0.60	180	3000.00	2013
		Village Pond	Moisture onservation	3 no	3.00	152	10000.00	2012
5	Jamalpur Mirzapur	<b>Total</b>		<b>160.00</b>	<b>14.60</b>	<b>940</b>	<b>73000.00</b>	2012
		Wasteland	Engineering work	42.70	2.56	295	12800.00	2015
		Forest	Afforestation	5.00	0.30	78	1500.00	2013
		Pasture	Land development	2.00	0.12	12	600.00	2013
		Orchard	Horticulture	3.00	0.18	70	1000.00	2013
		Village Pond	Moisture onservation	2 no	2.00	140	10000.00	2012
6	Hazipur	<b>Total</b>		<b>52.70</b>	<b>5.16</b>	<b>595</b>	<b>25800.00</b>	2012
		Wasteland	Engineering work	42.70	2.56	295	12800.00	2012
		Forest	Afforestation	5.00	0.30	78	1500.00	2015
		Pasture	Land development	2.00	0.12	12	600.00	2013
		Orchard	Horticulture	3.00	0.18	70	1000.00	2013
		Village Pond	Moisture onservation	2 no	2.00	140	10000.00	2013
6	Hazipur	<b>Total</b>		<b>52.70</b>	<b>5.16</b>	<b>595</b>	<b>25800.00</b>	2012

### **8.3 Sustainability and environment security**

In the proposed watershed management plan of watershed, proper blending of bio engineering measures will be applied on 60% of the total watershed area, Bases on the results of studies conducted in this region, it is estimated that more than 50% of the watershed area will be treated and consequently the soil loss and runoff from the area is expected to be reduced by 70% and 65%, respectively, The proposed land use plan will improve the land utilization index and crop diversification index signification index significantly as compared to the existing one. It will help in maintaining ecosystem integrity on sustained basis along with improving the livelihood security of the farming community.

### **8.4 Economic Analysis**

Economic analysis of the project was carried by taking direct benefits and costs considering 25 years project life at 10 percent discount rate for this purpose of economic analysis. Whole watershed development plan was divided into three sector namely agriculture, horticulture and forest/Fuel wood plantation, Benefit Cost ratio (BC ratio) criteria was employed to judge the economic efficiency of each enterprise and sector.

#### **8.4.1 Agriculture**

At present agriculture is being done on well maintained field, therefore, the development cost can be recovered within few year as it does not require much investment. Horticultural plantations of dry land fruit will be done on 74 ha of land with a proposed BC ratio of 1.85:1 Agro-forestry which not practiced in the watershed area will be promoted on 170 ha land with a proposed BC ratio of 1.85:1.

**Table no. 76: Proposed economics of agriculture sector**



S.No.	Sector	Area (ha)	NPV (Rs.)	BC ratio
1	Agriculture	6758.00	92150000	1.55:1
2	Horticulture	390.00	1690000	1.52:1
3	Agro-forestry	167.00	220100	1.85:1

**Table no. 76.1: Economics of agriculture sector**

S.No.	Sector	Area (ha.)	NPV (Rs.)	BC ratio
1	Irrigated agriculture	1525.00	93745610	1.7 ;1
2	Rainfed agriculture	5233.00	15631828	1.3:1
<b>Total</b>		6758.00	109377438	1.5:1

#### **8.4.2 Horticulture**

Economic analysis of horticulture plantation in agri-horti system at IWMP-II watershed Project life is considered to be 25 years and discount rate for NPV estimation is 10%

**Table no. 77: Economics of Horticulture sector**

S.No.	Common name	Scientific name	Area (ha)	NPV of net Benefit	BC ratio
1	Aonla	<i>Embelica officinalis</i>	190.00	1857725	3.97:1
2	Ber	<i>Zyziphus mauritiana</i>	100.00	1251962	2.81:1
3	Beil	<i>Aegle marmelos</i>	100.00	1069887	2.84:1
<b>Total</b>		-	390.00	4179574	-

### 8.4.3 Forest/ Fuel wood plantation

Economic analysis of fuel wood plantation at IWMP-II watershed. Project life is considered to be 25 years and discount rate for NPV estimation is 10%

**Table no. 78: Economics of aforestation sector**

<i>S.No.</i>	<i>Common name</i>	<i>Scientific name</i>	<i>Area (ha)</i>	<i>NPV of net benefit (Rs.)</i>	<i>BC ratio</i>
1	Vilayati Babul	Pongamoa glabra	167.00	736427	2.11:1

### 8.4.4 Food sufficiency

Achieving self sufficiency in food production is one of the prime objectives of the project. The status of food requirement and production before and after the project is presented in Table.

**Table no 79: Status of food requirement and availability per annum in IWMP-II watershed**

S.No.	Items	Requirement (q/yr)	Before project		Proposed	
			Deficit or surplus (q/yr)	Availability (q/yr)	Availability (q/yr)	Deficit or surplus (q/yr)
1	Cereals	150000	-34300	115700	162460	+12460
2	Pulses	30000	-10000	20000	40800	+10800
3	Oilseeds	20000	-10000	10000	38000	+18000
4	Vegetable	50004	-19996	70000	80020	+30016

## 9. EXPECTED OUTCOMES

### 9.1 Employment

Employment has always been a problem in the village. The principal occupations of the people are dry land agriculture, animal husbandry and casual labour work. However, rain fall being very limited and erratic, agriculture suffers, i.e. at best they can take only a single crop, which keeps them partially engaged for about 4 months. Lack of fodder makes animal husbandry very difficult too. So, animal husbandry does not keep them engaged full time. Thus the people mainly depend upon casual labour, either in the village itself or outside it.

The project plans for creation of both wage employment and self employment opportunities. Wage employment would be created by engaging people in watershed physical works like construction of earthen bunds, farm bunds, village pond, plantation, etc. Self employment would be created by providing the people with cash support in the form of direct livelihood activities like agriculture, animal husbandry and enterprise development.

**Table no. 80: Employment in Project area**

S.no.	No. of the villages	Wage employment										Self employment				
		No. of man days					No. of beneficiaries					No. of beneficiaries				
		SC	ST	Others	Women	Total	SC	ST	Others	Women	Total	SC	ST	Others	Women	Total
1	72 (IWMP-II)	1.70	-	1.10	0.32	3.12	800	6	2500	220	3520	80	10	32	25	137

### 9.2. Migration

Low rainfall results in very little fodder availability in the locality.. On account of agriculture and animal husbandry providing only part time employment for some part of the year, the people migrate for a better half of the year for wage labour. Employment opportunities in the local area as mentioned above will ensure lessening seasonal migration from the area.

**Table no. 81: Details of seasonal migration from Project area**

S.no.	Names of villages	No. of persons migration	No. of days per year of migration	Major reason(s) for migration	For reduced migration identify major activities of IWMP-II responsible		Expected reduction in No. of persons migration
					(a) Structures	(b) Livelihoods	
1	IWMP-II (72 village)	5900	180	Due to employment	Structure	Livelihood	3800

### 9.3 Drinking water

As a result of the watershed activities, it is expected that the quantity and quality of drinking water would improve.

**Table no. 82: Status of Drinking water**

S. No.	Names of Villages	Availability of drinking water (no. of months in a year)		Quality of drinking water		Comments
		Pre-project	Expected Post project	Pre-project	Expected Post-project	
1	IWMP-II (72 village)	10 month	12 month	General water	Good water	-

### 9.4 Vegetative cover

There is negligible area under tree cover. The village has a negligible forest area which consists of only Juliflora Prosopis (babool). Trees like Neem and \_Alianthus\_ are seen just here and there, not concentrated in any area.

It is planned that 167.00 ha land to be covered under new plantation.

**Table no. 83: Forest/vegetative cover**

S. No.	Name of Village	Existing area under tree cover (ha)	Area under tree cover proposed
1	IWMP-II (72 village)	-	167.00

### 9.5 Livestock

The village has quite a good of livestock population. These include cows, bullocks, buffaloes, goats, sheep and camels. The interventions like provision of good quality cows and buffaloes, the establishment of a fodder bank and other such related activities would spur up the dairy development in the village. It is expected that the post project period would see a substantial increase in livestock population and yield from them.

**Table no. 84: Details of livestock in the project areas (for fluids please mention in litres, for solids please mention in kgs. and income in Rs.)**

S. no.	Name of MW	Type of animal	Pre Projected			Expected Post Projected			
			No	Yield	Income	No	Yield	Income	
1	IWMP-II (72 village)	Buffalow	12108	2.33lit/animal	20.00/day	15780	4.00lit/animal	30.00/day	
		Cow	10157	1.84lit/animal	16.00/day	14840	3.004lit/animal	25.00/day	
		Sheep	1846	10kg/animal	2000.00/animal	5000	20kg/animal	4000.00/animal	
		Goats	24623	8 kg/animal	1600.00/animal	34850	16 kg/animal	3200.00/animal	
		Pigs	1692	20.0 kg/ha	2000.00/animal	5000	50.0 kg/ha	10000.00/animal	
		Poultry	14395	0.75kg/bird	150.00/bird	-	0.75kg/bird	300.00/bird	
		Fish	-	10.73 q/ha	15000.00/ha	-	50.00 q/ha	75000.00/ha	

## 9.6 Vegetation/ crop related outcomes:

**Table no. 85: Details of karif drop area and yield in the project areas**

S.No.	Names of villages	Name of crops	Pre-project						Expected Post-project					
			Area (ha)		Average Yield (Qtl) per ha.		Total Production (Qtl)		Area (ha)		Average Yield (Qtl) per ha.		Total Production (Qtl)	
			Irri	Rf.	Irri	Rf.	Irri	Rf.	Irri	Rf.	Irri	Rf.	Irri	Rf.
1	Karisath	Paddy	224	448	18.00	10.00	4032	4480	550	574	30.00	20.00	16500	11440
		Others*	-	1200	-	8.00	-	9600	-	1000	-	15.00	-	15000
2	Vijpura	Paddy	185	409	18.00	10.00	3330	4090	550	593	30.00	20.00	16500	11860
		Others*	-	1000	-	8.00	-	8000	-	600	-	15.00	-	9000
3	Rewaridih	Paddy	171	246	18.00	10.00	3078	2460	400	231	30.00	20.00	12000	4620
		Others*	-	600	-	8.00	-	4800	-	500	-	15.00	-	7500
4	Maidapur Samastipur	Paddy	198	518	18.00	10.00	3564	5180	700	642	30.00	20.00	21000	12840
		Others*	-	1500	-	8.00	-	12000	-	1000	-	15.00	-	15000
5	Jamalpur Mirzapur	Paddy	94	96	18.00	10.00	1692	960	300	74	30.00	20.00	9000	1480
		Others*	-	200	-	8.00	-	1600	-	100	-	15.00	-	1500
6	Hazipur	Paddy	94	96	18.00	10.00	1692	960	300	74	30.00	20.00	9000	1480
		Others*	-	200	-	8.00	-	1600	-	100	-	15.00	-	1500
Total			966	6513	108	108	17388	55730	2800	5488	180	210	84000	93220

Irri.- Irrigated

Rf.-

Rainfed

Others: Pigeon pea, moong urd, jwar, vegetable etc

**Table No.86 : Details of Rabi drop area and yield in the project areas \***

S.No.	Names of villages	Name of crops	Pre-project						Expected Post-project					
			Area (ha)		Average Yield (Qtl) per ha.		Total Production (Qtl)		Area (ha)		Average Yield (Qtl) per ha.		Total Production (Qtl)	
			Irri	Rf.	Irri	Rf.	Irri	Rf.	Irri	Rf.	Irri	Rf.	Irri	Rf.
1	Karisath	Paddy	224	648	25.00	20.00	5600	12960	550	1074	30.00	25.00	16500	26850
		Others*	-	1000	-	10.00	-	10000	-	500	-	15.00	-	7500
2	Vijpura	Paddy	185	609	25.00	20.00	4625	12180	550	693	30.00	25.00	16500	17325
		Others*	-	800	-	10.00	-	8000	-	500	-	15.00	-	7500
3	Rewaridih	Paddy	171	346	25.00	20.00	4275	6920	400	331	30.00	25.00	12000	8275
		Others*	-	500	-	10.00	-	5000	-	400	-	15.00	-	6000
4	Maidapur Samastipur	Paddy	198	918	25.00	20.00	4950	18360	700	842	30.00	25.00	21000	21050
		Others*	-	1100	-	10.00	-	11000	-	800	-	15.00	-	12000
5	Jamalpur Mirzapur	Paddy	94	196	25.00	20.00	2350	3920	300	74	30.00	25.00	9000	1850
		Others*	-	100	-	10.00	-	1000	-	100	-	15.00	-	1500
6	Hazipur	Paddy	94	196	25.00	20.00	2350	3920	300	74	30.00	25.00	9000	1850
		Others*	-	100	-	10.00	-	1000	-	100	-	15.00	-	1500
Total			966	6513	150	180	24150	94260	2800	5488	180	240	84000	113200

Irri.- Irrigated

Rf.-


Rainfed

\* others crop: chick pea, pea, ,mustard, potato etc


# 10- DETAILS ESTIMATE OF WATERSHED DEVELOPMENT WORK PHASES (ANNEXURE-1)

**DRAWING OF C.B., S.B., P.B., AND M.B.**


(All dimensions in Metre) (Not to Scale)




(C.B., Cross-Section – 1.085 m<sup>2</sup>)



(S.B., Cross-Section – 1.845m<sup>2</sup>)






(Field Bund, Cross-Section – 0.50 m<sup>2</sup>)



(S.B. / P.B. / M.B., Cross-Section – 3.445 m<sup>2</sup>)

## DRAWING OF EARTHEN CHEKDAM / GULLY PLUG

(Not to Scale)



(All dimensions in Metre)



## DESIGN OF CONTOUR BUND

Type of Soil	- Clay
Rain fall	- 24 hr in cm -25 cm
Fied Stop -1%	
Virtical interval (Vi)	$= [s/3+2]$ $= [1/3+2]$
Horizontal interval (HI)	$= 0.70 \text{ m}$ $= 100 \times V.I/s$
Heidht of Bond h	$= (Rex \text{ vi})/50$ $= (25 \times 0.7) / 50$ 0.35 0.59 Say 0.6 m
Ferr bord	$= 15\% \text{ of high mimimum -10 cm}$
Hight	$= 0.60 + 0.10$ $= 0.70 \text{ m}$
Taking top width of bond 0.50 m and side slope 1.5:l	
Then base of bond	$= 0.50 + (1.10d) \times 2$ $= 2.60 \text{ m}$
Coress-Section of bond	$= (2.50 + 2.60) \times 0.70 / 2$ $= 1.085 \text{ m}^2$
Length of bond	$= 100s/V.I.$ $= 100 \times 1 / 0.70$ <b>= 142.85 m/ha</b> <b>Say 120 m/ha</b>
Earth work /ha	$= 250 \times 1.085$ $= 162.75 \text{ cum}$ $= 162.75 \text{ cum}$
Cort Rs./ ha	$= 162.7 \times 39.16 = 6373.29$ <b>Say 6375.00</b>

## DESIGN OF SUMBERGENCE BOND

Types soil – Caly	Rainfall intensity for 24 hrs – 25 cm
Field slope 3%	$V.I. = [s/3+2] \times 0.30$
	$= 0.909 \text{ m}$
Horizontal Interval $= (100 \times V.I.) / s$	$= (100 \times 0.909) / 3$
	$= 30.27 \text{ m}$
Height of bond $h = (Re \times V.I.) / 50$	$= (25 \times 0.909) / 50 = 0.4545 \text{ m}$
Free board 20% of height minimum 20 cm	$= 0.67 \text{ m}$ Say 0.70 m
Total Height	$= 0.90 \text{ m}$
Taking top width of bond 0.70 m and side slope 1.5:1	
Bottom of bund	$= 0.70 + 2 \times 1.5d$
	$= 0.70 + 2.70$
	$= 3.40$
Cross section of Submergence Bond	$= (0.70 + 3.40) \times 0.90 / 2$
	$= 1.845 \text{ m}^2$
Length of bond	$= 100s / V.I.$
	$= (100 \times 3) / 0.90$
	$= 333.33 \text{ m}$
Feasible length	$= 100 + 25 + 25$
	$= 150 \text{ m}$
Earth work/ha	$= 150 \times 1.845$
	$= 276.75$
	$= 276.75 \times 39.16$
Cost per ha	$= 10,837.53$
	<b>Say 10,850=00</b>

### **TYPICAL SECAION OF FILED BUND**

Top widht	= 0.50m
Side slope	=1:1
Height of bound	= 0.50m
Bottom Widht	= 1.50m
Cross section	= $(0.580+1.50) \times 0.50 / 2 = 100 \text{cum}$
Length per hectare	= 200 m
Earhwork	= $200 \times 0.50 = 100 \text{cum}$
Cost 39.16/cum	= Rs.3916.00
Cost per hectare	=Rs. 3916.00

### **TYPICAL SECTION OF P.B., M.B., S.B0.**

Top width	= 0.70m
Side slope	= 1.5: 1
Height	= 1.30 m
Bottom	=4.60 m
Cross section	= $(0.70+4.60) \times 1.30 / 2 = 3.445 \text{m}^2$
Cost/meter	= Rs. 142.00

### TYPICAL SECTION OF EARTHEN CHECK DAM / GULLY PLUG

Top width	=1.50 m
Side slope	= 2:1
Height	= 2.10m
Bottom Width	=9.90 m
Cross section	$= (1.50 + 9.90) \times 2.10 / 2$ $= 11.97 \text{ m}^2$
Cost per meter	= Rs. 551.45


### TYPICAL SECION OF CHEK DAM / GULLY PLUG

Top Width	= 2.00m
Side slope	=2:1
Height	= 2.50 m
Bottom Width	= 12.00 m
Cross Section	$= (2.00 + 12.00) \times 2.50 / 2$ $= 17.50 \text{ m}^2$
Cost/meter	= Rs. 839.12

### TYPICAL SECTION OF W.H.B

Top width	= 2.50 m
Side slope	= 2:1
Height	= 2.75 m
Bottom Width	= 13.50 m
Cross section	$= (2.50 + 13.50) \times 2.75 / 2$ $= 22.00 \text{ m}^2$
Per meter cost	= Rs. 1085.92

### DRAWING OF SPILLWAY OF CREST LENGTH 0.5 m





Design of Drop Spillway to be constructed at a place in a gully having width of 1.0 m and catchment area 1.00 and net drop 0.50 m Taking rainfall intensity for duration equal to time of concentration of watershed and design return period of 25 years , as 120 mm/hr . The coefficient of runoff for the watershed is 0.3.

**1.Hydrologic design** – The design peak runoff rate( $m^3/s$ ) for the watershed form Rational formula is given as :

$$Q = \frac{C.I.A.}{360} = \frac{0.3 \times 120 \times 1.00}{360} = 36/360 = 0.10 \text{ cum /second}$$

**2. Hydraulic design-** The maximum discharge capacity of the rectangular weir given by

$$Q = \frac{1.711 L H^{3/2}}{(1.1 + 0.01f)} = \text{o find suitable value of L \& H}$$

Let us assume  $L = 0.50 \text{ m}$  (since width of gully is 1.00m)

$$0.10 = \frac{1.711 L H^{3/2}}{(1.1 + 0.01 \times 0.5)} = \frac{1.711 L H^{3/2}}{(1.105)}$$

$$L H^{3/2} = \frac{1.105 \times 0.10}{1.711} = \frac{0.1105}{1.711} = 0.064$$

$$H^{3/2} = \frac{0.064}{0.50} = 0.128$$

$$H = (0.128)^{2/3} = 0.25 \text{ m}$$

$$\text{Test } L / h = 0.50 = 2. \geq 2.0 \text{ hence O.K.}; \quad 0.25 \text{ h / f} = \frac{0.25}{0.50} = 0.50 \leq 0.5 \text{ hence O.K.}$$

### 3. Structural design -

1. Minimum headwall extension ,  $E = (3h + 0.6)$  or  $1.5 f$  whichever is greater  $E = 3 \times 0.5 + 0.6$  or  $1.5 \times 0.50$

$$E = 2.10\text{m} \quad \text{or} \quad 0.75 + m = \text{Adopted } 2.10\text{m}$$

2. Length of apron basin  $L_B = (2.28 h/f + 0.54) = 0.50 (2.20 \times \underline{0.5} + 0.54) - 0.5$

$$= 0.50 \times 2.74 = 1.37 \text{ m says } 1.40\text{m}$$

3. Height of end sill,  $S = h = 0.50 = 0.16\text{m}$  says  $0.20\text{m}$

4. Height of wing wall and side wall at Junction:

$$J = 2h \text{ or } [f + h + S - (L_B + 0.10)/2] \text{ whichever is greater } = 2 \times 0.20 \text{ or } [0.50 + 0.50 + 0.16 -$$

$$(1.37 + 0.10/2)]$$

$$= 1.0 \text{ or } [1.16 - 0.735] = 1.0 \text{ or } 0.425 \text{ (adopt } J = 1.00 \text{ m)}$$

$$5. M = 2(f + 1.33 h - J) = 2(0.050 + 1.16 - 0.733 \times 0.25 - 1.00) = 2 \times (-0.167) = -0.335$$

$$6. K = (L_B + 0.1) - M = (1.037 + 0.1) - 0.335 = 1.47 - 0.335 = 1.135 \text{ m}$$

Toe and cut off walls

$$\text{Normal scour depth (N S D)} = 0.473 \times (Q/f)^{1/3} = 0.473 \times (0.1/1)^{1/3} = 0.473 \times 0.464 = 0.219$$

$$\text{Maximum Scour depth (M S D)} = 1.5 \times \text{N S D} = 1.5 \times 0.219 = 0.328\text{m} \text{ Says } 0.35 \text{ m}$$

$$\text{Depth of cutoff / Toe wall} = 0.35 \text{ m}$$

**Apron thickness :** For an over fall of  $0.5 \text{ m}$ . The apron thickness in concrete construction is  $0.20\text{m}$  since structure is constructed in masonry, the Apron thickness will be  $0.20 \times 1.50 = 0.30\text{m}$

**Wall thickness :** The thickness of wall of the structure ( masonry construction ) is given below . :

Description	Thickness of wall	
	T op width	Bottom width
Head wall	0.40	1.00
Side wall	0.30	0.80
Wing all and head wall extension	0.30	0.60

#### DETAIL ESTIMATE OF DROP SPILLWAY OF CREST LENGTH 0.5 METRE

##### 1. Earth work in cutting in foundation

S.No.	Description of work	No.	L	B	D/H	Quantity
1	Side wall	2	1.50	1.00	1.15	3.45
2	Head wall	1	0.50	1.20	1.15	0.69
3	Head wall extension	2	2.20	0.80	1.15	4.04
4	Wing wall	2	1.15	0.80	1.15	2.11
5	Tow wall	1	0.50	0.80	0.60	0.24
6	Cut off wall	1	4.70	0.80	0.60	2.25
7	Apron	1	0.50	1.50	0.60	0.45
Total						13.23 cum

##### 2 Laying of sand in the bed of foundation

S.No.	Description of work	No.	L	B	D/H	Quantity
1	Side wall	2	1.50	1.00	0.10	0.300
2	Head wall	1	0.50	0.40	0.10	0.020
3	Wing wall	2	1.15	0.80	0.10	0.184
4	Toe wall	2	0.50	0.80	0.10	0.040
5	Cut off wall	1	4.70	0.80	0.10	0.376
6	Apron	1	0.50	1.50	0.10	0.075
Total						0.995 cum

##### 3 C.C.W. 1 :3: 6 in foundation



S.No.	Description of work	No.	L	B	D/H	Quantity
1	Cut off wall	1	4.70	0.80	0.15	0.564
2	Head wall	1	0.50	0.40	0.15	0.030
3	Side wall	2	1.50	1.00	0.15	0.450
4	Wing wall	2	1.15	0.80	0.15	0.276
5	Toe wall	1	0.50	0.80	0.15	0.060
6	Apron	1	0.50	1.50	0.15	0.112
Total						1.492 cum

#### 4 Brick masonry 1:4

S.No.	Description of work	No.	L	B	D/H	Quantity
1	Cut off wall	1	4.70	0.80	0.45	1.692
		1	4.70	0.60	0.45	1.269
2	Head wall	1	0.50	1.10	0.45	0.247
		1	0.50	1.00	0.45	0.225
		1	0.50	(0.40+1.00)/2	0.60	0.180
3	Head wall extension	2	2.10	0.80	0.45	1.512
		2	2.10	0.60	0.45	1.134
		2	2.10	0.60	0.60	1.512
		2	2.10	0.40	0.70	1.176
4	Side wall	2	1.50	1.00	0.45	1.350
		2	1.50	0.80	0.45	1.080
		2	1.50	0.80	0.60	1.440
		2	1.50	0.60	0.40	0.720
		2	(0.35+1.50)/2	0.40	0.30	0.222
5	Wing wall	2	1.15	0.80	0.45	0.828
		2	1.15	0.60	0.45	0.621
		2	1.15	0.40	(1.00+0)/2	0.460
6	Tow wall	1	0.50	0.80	0.45	0.180
		1	0.50	0.60	0.45	0.135
		1	0.50	0.40	0.20	0.040
7	Apron	1	0.50	1.50	0.45	0.337
Total						16.360cum

#### 5. C.C.W. 1:2:4 in the wall

S.No.	Description of work	No.	L	B	D/H	Quantity
-------	---------------------	-----	---	---	-----	----------

1	Head wall	1	0.50	0.40	0.025	0.005
2	Side wall	2	0.35	0.40	0.025	0.007
		2	0.18	0.40	0.025	0.023
3	Head wall extension	2	2.10	0.40	0.025	0.042
4	Wing wall	2	1.52	0.40	0.025	0.030
5	Toe wall	1	0.50	0.40	0.025	0.005
6	Apron	1	0.50	1.50	0.025	0.018
Total						0.130cum

#### 6. Tuck Pointing 1:3

S.No.	Description of work	No.	L	B	D/H	Quantity
1	Head wall	1	0.50	-	0.60	0.30
		1	0.50		0.84	0.42
2	Side wall	2	1.50	-	1.00	3.00
		2	(0.30+1.50)/2		0.30	0.55
3	Head wall extension	2	2.10	-	1.00	4.20
4	Wing wall	2	1.15		(1.00+0)/2	1.15
Total						9.62m <sup>2</sup>

#### CONSUMPTAION OF MATERIALS

S.No.	Particulars	Quantity	Cement (Bags)	Coarse Sand (cum)	Brick (No.)	G.S.B. 25-40 mm (cum)	G.S. Grit 10-20 mm (cum)
1	Sand laying	0.995cum	-	0.995	-	-	-
2	C.C.W. 1:3:6	1.492 cum	6.61	0.671	-	1.342	-
3	Brick Masonry	16.360 cum	29.26	5.562	1880	-	-
4	C.C.W. 1:2:4	0.130 cum	0.79	0.045	-	-	0.110
5	Tuck Pointing 1:3	9.62 m <sup>2</sup>	0.44	0.045	-	-	
	Total		46.90	7.327	8180	1.342	0.110
	Say	47 Bags					

#### Cost of Materials

S.No.	Name of Materials	Quantity	Rate	Amount
1	Cement	47 Bags	255.00/bag	11985.00
2	Coarse sand	7.327 cum	910.00/cum	6667.57
3	Brick	8180	4500.00/th	36810.00
4	G.S.B. 25-40 mm	1.342 cum	855.00/cum	1147.41
5	Grit 10-20 mm	0.110 cum	1250.00/cum	137.50
	<b>Total</b>			<b>Rs.56747.48</b>

### LABOUR CHARGE

S.No.	Name of Materials	Quantity	Rate	Amount
1	Earth Work	13.23cum	36.66/cum	485.01
2	Sand Laying	0.995cum	33.33/cum	33.16
3	C.C.W. 1:3:6	1.495cum	494/cum	337.04
4	Brick masonry	16.36cum	400/cum	6544.00
5	C.C.W. 1:2:4	0.130cum	494/cum	64.22
6	Tuck Pointing	9.62m <sup>2</sup>	51.61/m <sup>2</sup>	496.48
7	Curing	16.36cum	25.00/cum	409.00
8	Chowkidar	6 Man days	100.00/Man day	600.00
9	Head Load & local taion cost 10% cost of material	-	-	3670.64
Total				<b>Rs. 13039.55</b>

Total Expenditure	
1. Cost of Materials	56747.48
2 Labour Charges	13039.55
<b>Total</b>	<b>Rs. 69787.03</b>
<b>Says Rs. 69800.00 only</b>	

Design of Drop Spillway to be constructed at a place in a gully having width of 1.0 m and Catchments area 1.00 and net drop 0.50 m Taking rainfall intensity for duration equal to time of concentration of watershed and design return period of 25 years , as 120 mm/hr . The coefficient of runoff for the watershed is 0.3.

**1.Hydrologic design** – The design peak runoff rate( $\text{m}^3/\text{s}$ ) for the watershed form Rational formula is given as :

$$Q = \frac{C.I.A.}{360} = \frac{0.3 \times 120 \times 5.0}{360} = 0.50 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$$

**2. Hydraulic design-** The maximum discharge capacity of the rectangular weir given by

$$Q = \frac{1.711 L H^{3/2}}{(1.1 + 0.01 f)}$$

To find suitable value of L&H

Let us assume  $L = 1.0 \text{ m}$  (since width of gully is 2.00m)

$$0.50 = \frac{1.711 L H^{3/2}}{(1.1 + 0.01 \times 0.5)} = \frac{1.711 L H^{3/2}}{(1.2)}$$

$$L H^{3/2} = \frac{1.120 \times 0.5}{1.711} = 0.350$$

$$H^{3/2} = \frac{0.375}{1.711 \times 4} = 0.35$$

$$H = (0.350)^{2/3} = 0.49 \text{ m says } 0.50 \text{ m}$$

Test  $L / h = \frac{1.00}{0.25} = 2.00 \geq 2.0$  hence O.K.;

$$h / f = \frac{0.50}{1.00} = 0.5 \leq 0.5 \leq \text{hence O.K.}$$

Heace he designed hydraulic dimensions of the Spilay are :

Crest Length (L) = 1.00 m

Weir depth (h) = 0.50m

### 3. Structural design -

1. Minimum headwall extension ,  $E = (3h + 0.6)$  or  $1.5 f$  whichever is greater ;  $3 \times 0.50 + 0.6$  or  $1.5 \times 1$   
 $E = (1.5 + 0.60)$  or  $21.50m$

= 2.10 or 1.50 Adopted = 2.10 m

2. Length of apron basin  $L_B = (2.28 h/f + 0.54) = 1(2.28 \times 0.50 + 0.54) = 1.68m$

3. Height of end sill,  $S = \frac{h}{3} = \frac{0.50}{3} = 0.16m$

4. Height of wing wall and side wall at Junction:

$$J = 2h \text{ or } [f + h + S - (L_B + 0.10)/2] \text{ whichever is greater } = 2 \times 0.50 \text{ or } [1.0 + 0.50 + 0.16 - (1.68 + 0.10)/2] \\ = 1.0 \text{ or } [1.66 - 0.89] = 1.00 \text{ or } 0.77 (\text{adopt } J = 1.00 \text{ m})$$

5.  $M = 2(f + 1.33 h - J) = 2(1.0 + 1.33 \times 0.50 - 1.00) = 2(-0.1665 - 1.00) = 1.33m$

6.  $K = (L_B + 0.1) - M = (1.68 + 0.1) - 1.33 = 0.45 \text{ m}$

Toe and cut off walls

$$\text{Normal scour depth (N S D)} = 0.473 \times (Q/f)^{1/3} = 0.473 \times (0.5/1.0)^{1/3} \text{ taking } f=1 \\ = 0.473 \times (0.5)^{1/3} = 0.473 \times 0.793 = 0.375 \text{ m}$$

$$\text{Maximum Scour depth (M S D)} = 1.5 \times \text{N S D} = 1.5 \times 0.375 = 0.56m \text{ Says}$$

$$\text{Depth of cutoff / Toe wall} = 0.56 \text{ m say } 0.60m$$

**Apron thickness :** For an over fall of 1.0 m .The apron thickness in concrete construction is 0.30m since structure is constructed in masonry ,the Apron thickness will be  $0.30 \times 1.50 = 0.45m$

**Wall thickness :** The thickness of wall of the structure ( masonry construction ) is given below . :

Description	Thickness of wall	
	Top width	Bottom width
Head wall	0.45	1.00
Side wall	0.30	0.80
Wing all and head wall extension	0.30	0.60

### DETAIL ESTIMATE OF DROP SPILLWAY CREST LENGTH

#### 1. Earth work n cutting

S.No.	Description of work	No.	L	B	D/H	Quantity
1	Side wall	2	1.70	1.00	1.15	3.91
2	Head all	1	0.80	1.20	1.15	1.10
3	Head wall extension	2	2.20	0.80	1.15	4.04
4	Toe wall	1	0.80	0.70	0.80	0.45
5	Cut off	1	5.20	0.80	0.70	2.91
6	Apron	1	1.70	0.80	0.60	0.81
7	Wing wall	2	1.30	0.80	1.15	2.39
Total						15.60 cum

#### 2. Laying of sand in the bed & foundation

S. No.	Description of work	No.	L	B	D/H	Quantity
1	Side wall	2	1.70	1.00	0.10	0.340
2	Head all	1	1.00	0.40	0.10	0.040
3	Head wall extension	2	2.10	0.80	0.10	0.336
4	Toe wall	1	1.00	0.80	0.10	0.080
5	Cut off	1	5.20	0.80	0.10	0.416
6	Apron	1	1.60	1.00	0.10	0.160
7	Wing wall	2	1.30	0.80	0.10	0.208
	Total					1.580 cum

#### 3.C.C.W 1:3:6 in founjdation

S. No.	Description of work	No.	L	B	D/H	Quantity
1	Side wall	2	1.70	1.00	0.15	0.510
2	Head all	1	1.00	0.40	0.15	0.060
3	Head wall extension	2	2.10	0.80	0.15	0.378
4	Toe wall	1	1.00	0.80	0.15	0.120
5	Cut off	1	5.20	0.80	0.15	0.624
6	Apron	1	1.60	1.00	0.10	0.160
7	Wing wall	2	1.30	0.80	0.15	0.312
	Total					2.164cum

#### 4. Brick masonry

S. No.	Description of work	No.	L	B	D/H	Quantity
1	Cut of wall	1	5.20	0.60	0.60	1.8725
2	Head wall	1	1.00	1.00	0.90	0.900
		1	1.00	$(0.40+1.00)/2$	1.00	0.700
3	Side wall	2	1.70	1.00	0.45	1.530
		2	1.70	0.80	0.45	0.918
		2	1.70	0.80	0.60	1.020
		2	1.70	0.60	0.40	0.544
		2	$(1.70+0.45)/2$	0.40	0.50	0.430
4	Head wall extension	2	2.10	0.80	0.65	2.184
		2	2.10	0.60	0.45	1.134
		2	2.10	0.40	1.30	2.184
5	Wing wall	2	1.30	0.80	0.45	0.936
		2	1.30	0.60	0.45	0.702
		2	1.30	0.40	$(1.00+0)/2$	0.520
6	Toe wall	1	1.00	0.80	0.45	0.360
		1	1.00	0.60	0.45	0.270
7	Apron	1	1.70	1.00	0.45	0.765
8	Longitudinal sill	2	1.70	0.20	0.20	0.136
9	Transverse sill	2	1.00	0.20	0.20	0.0440
Total						17.145 cum

#### 5. C.C.W. 1;2;4 on the wall and Apron

S. No.	Description of work	No.	L	B	D/H	Quantity
1	Hide wall	1	1.00	0.40	0.025	0.010
2	Side wall	2	0.45	0.40	0.025	0.009
		2	1.35	0.40	0.025	0.027
3	Head wall extension	2	2.10	0.40	0.025	0.042
4	Wing wall	2	1.60	0.40	0.025	0.032
5	Longitudinal	2	1.70	0.20	0.025	0.017
6	Transverse sill	1	1.00	0.20	0.025	0.005
7	Apron	3	1.60	0.20	0.025	0.024
<b>Total</b>						<b>0.166 cum</b>

#### 6. Tuck Pointing 1:3

S. No.	Description of work	No.	L	B	D/H	Quantity
1	Side wall	1	1.00	-	1.00	1.00
		1	1.00	-	1.165	1.16
2	Side wall	2	0.45	-	1.50	1.35
		2	1.25	-	(1.50+1.00)/2	3.12
3.	Wing wall	2	2.30	-	(1.00+0)/2	1.30
4	Head wall	2	2.10	-	1.00	4.20
<b>Total</b>						<b>12.13m<sup>2</sup></b>

#### CONSUMPTION OF MATERIALS

S. No.	Particulars	Quantity	Cement(Bags)	Sand(cum)	Brick (N0)	G.S.Git 25-40mm(cum)	Grit 10-20 mm(cum)
1	Sand laying	1.580cum	-	1.580	-	-	-
2	C.C.W. 1:3:6	2.164 cum	9.95	0.973	-	1.947	-
3	Brick masonry	17.145cum	41.14	5.829	8755	-	-
4	C.C.W 1:2:4	0.166 cum	1.01	0.069	-	-	0.141
5	Tuck Pointing	12.13m <sup>2</sup>	0.55	0.057	-	-	-
	<b>Total</b>		<b>25.65</b>	<b>8.508</b>	<b>8755</b>	<b>1.947</b>	<b>0.141</b>
	<b>Say</b>		<b>53 Bags</b>	<b>8.51 cum</b>	<b>8755</b>	<b>1.95cum</b>	<b>0.14 cum</b>



### COST OF MATERILS

S.No.	Name of materials	Quantity	Rate	Amount
1	Cement	53 ?Bags	255.00	13515.00
2	Course sand	8.51cum	910.00	7744.10
3	Brick	8755	4500/th	39397.50
4	G.S.B. 25-40 mm	1.95 cum	855.00	1667.25
5	G.S.Grit 10-20	0.14 cum	1250.00	175.00
		<b>Total</b>		<b>Rs.62498.85</b>

### LABOUR CHARHGE

S.No.	Particulars	Quantity	Rate	Amount
1	Earth work	15.60 cum	36.66/cum	57189
2	Sand Laying	1.580 cum	33.33/cum	52.66
3	C.C.W 1:3:6:	2.164 cum	494/cum	1.69.0.
4	C.C.W. 1:2:4:	0.166 cum	494/cum	82.00
5	Brick Masonry	17.145 cum	400/cum	6858.00
6	Truck Pointing	12.13m <sup>2</sup>	51.61/m <sup>2</sup>	626.02
7	Curing	170145cum	25.00/cum	428.62
8	Chowkidar	65Man Days	100.00/Man Day	600.00
9	Head Load & local transporation 10% cost of materials			4068.00
			<b>Total</b>	<b>Rs.14356.20</b>

Total Expenditure	
1. Cost of Materials	62498.85
2. Labour Charges	14356.20
<b>Total</b>	<b>Rs. 76855.05</b>
	<b>Say Rs.76900.00</b>

Design of Drop Spillway to be constructed at a place in a gully having width of 1.0 m and Catchments area 1.00 and net drop 0.50 m Taking rainfall intensity for duration equal to time of concentration of watershed and design return period of 25 years , as 120 mm/hr . The coefficient of runoff for the watershed is 0.3.

**1.Hydrologic design** – The design peak runoff rate( $\text{m}^3/\text{s}$ ) for the watershed form Rational formula is given as :

$$Q = \frac{C.I.A.}{360} = \frac{0.3 \times 120 \times 20.0}{360} = 2.00 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$$

**2. Hydraulic design-** The maximum discharge capacity of the rectangular weir given by

$$Q = \frac{1.711 L H^{3/2}}{(1.1 + 0.01 F)} =$$

To find suitable value of L&H

Let us assume  $L = 2.0 \text{ m}$  (since width of gully is 3.00m)

$$2.00 = \frac{1.711 L H^{3/2}}{(1.1 + 0.01 \times 0.5)} = \frac{1.711 L H^{3/2}}{(1.10 + 1.15)}$$

$$L H^{3/2} = \frac{2.0 \times 1.115}{1.711} = 0.350$$

$$H^{3/2} = \frac{2.23}{1.711 \times 4} = 0.65$$

$$H = (0.65)^{2/3} = 0.75 \text{ m}$$

$$\text{Test } L / h = \frac{2.00}{0.25} = 2.66 \geq 2.0 \text{ hence O.K.};$$

$$h / f = \frac{0.75}{1.50} = \leq 0.5 \text{ hence O.K.}$$

Heace he designed hydraulic dimensions of the Spilay are :

Crest Length (L) = 2.00 m

Weir depth (h) = 0.81m

### 3. Structural design -

1. Minimum headwall extension ,  $E = (3h + 0.6)$  or  $1.5 f$  whichever is greater

$$E = 3 \times 0.81 + 0.6 \text{ or } 1.5 \times 1.50$$

$$E = 3.03 \text{ m} \quad \text{or } 2.25 \text{ m}$$

$$\text{Adopted} = 3.03 \text{ m}$$

2. Length of apron basin  $L_B = (2.28 h/f + 0.54) = 1.5(2.28 \times 0.08 + 0.54)$   
 $= 1.50(1.20 + 0.54) = 2.61 \text{ m}$

3. Height of end sill,  $S = \underline{h} - \underline{0.50} = 0.16 \text{ m}$

4. Height of wing wall and side wall at Junction:

$$J = 2h \text{ or } [f + h + S - (L_B + 0.10)/2] \text{ whichever is greater}$$

$$= 2 \times 0.80 \text{ or } [1.50 + 0.81 + 0.27 - (2.61 + 0.10)/2]$$

$$= 1.0 \text{ or } [1.66 - 0.89] = 1.00 \text{ or } 0.77 (\text{adopt } J = 1.00 \text{ m})$$

$$\text{adopt } J = 1.62 \text{ m}$$

5.  $M = 2(f + 1.33 h - J) = 2(1.50 + 1.33 \times 0.81 - 1.62) = 1.90 \text{ m}$

6.  $K = (L_B + 0.1) - M = (2.61 + 0.1) - 1.90 = 0.81 \text{ m}$

Toe and cut off walls

$$\text{Normal scour depth (N S D)} = 0.473 \times (Q/f)^{1/3} = 0.473 \times (2/1)^{1/3} = 0.473 \times 1.259 = 0.595 \text{ m}$$

$$\text{Maximum Scour depth (M S D)} = 1.5 \times \text{N S D} = 1.5 \times 0.595 = 0.89 \text{ m}$$

$$\text{Depth of cutoff / Toe wall} = 0.89 \text{ m say } 0.60 \text{ m}$$

**Apron thickness :** For an over fall of 1.0 m .The apron thickness in concrete construction is 0.30m since structure is constructed in masonry ,the Apron thickness will be  $0.30 \times 1.50 = 0.45 \text{ m}$

**Wall thickness :** The thickness of wall of the structure ( masonry construction ) is given below . :

Description	Thickness of wall	
	T op width	Bottom width
Head wall	0.45	1.33
Side wall	0.30	1.10
Wing all and head wall extension	0.30	0.80

#### DETAIL ESTIMATE OF DROP SPILLWAY OF CREST LENGTH 2.00 METRE

##### 1. Earth work in cutting in foundation

S.No.	Description of work	No.	L	B	D/H	Quantity
1	Side wall	2	2.65	1.30	1.15	7.92
2	Head wall	1	2.00	1.60	1.15	3.68
3	Head wall extension	2	3.05	1.00	1.15	7.01
4	Wing wall	2	1.95	1.00	1.15	4.48
5	Tow wall	1	2.00	1.00	1.15	2.30
6	Cut off wall	1	8.40	1.00	1.15	9.66
7	Apron	1	2.60	2.00	0.75	3.90
Total						<b>38.95 cum</b>

##### 2 Laying of sand in the bed of foundation

S.No.	Description of work	No.	L	B	D/H	Quantity
1	Cut of wall	2	8.10	0.90	0.10	0.729
2	Side wall	1	2.65	1.20	0.10	0.636
3	Head wall l	2	2.00	0.70	0.10	0.140
4	Head wall extension	2	3.05	0.10	0.10	0.061
5	Wing wall	1	1.95	0.90	0.10	0.351
6	Toe wall	1	2.00	0.90	0.10	0.180
7	Apron	1	2.00	2.65	0.10	0.530
Total						<b>2.627 cum</b>

### 3 C.C.W. 1 :3: 6 in foundation

S.No.	Description of work	No.	L	B	D/H	Quantity
1	Cut off wall	1	8.10	0.90	0.15	1.093
2	Side wall	2	2.65	1.20	0.15	0.954
3	Head wall	1	2.00	0.70	0.15	0.210
4	Head wall extension	2	3.05	0.10	0.15	0.091
5	Wing wall	2	1.95	0.90	0.15	0.526
6	Tow wall	1	2.00	0.90	0.15	0.270
7	Apron	1	2.00	2.65	0.15	0.795
Total						3.939 cum

### 4 Brick masonry 1:4

S.No.	Description of work	No.	L	B	D/H	Quantity
1	Cut off wall	1	8.10	0.90	0.90	6.561
2	Head wall	1	2.00	1.60	0.45	1.440
		1	2.00	1.50	0.45	1.350
		1	2.00	(0.45+1.40)/2	0.85	0.527
3	Head wall extension	2	3.30	0.90	0.45	2.673
		2	3.30	0.80	0.45	2.376
		2	3.30	0.60	0.60	2.376
		2	3.30	0.50	0.60	1.980
		2	3.30	0.40	1.15	3.036
4	Side wall	2	2.65	1.10	0.90	5.247
		2	2.65	1.10	0.45	2.623
		2	2.65	1.10	0.65	3.789
		2	2.65	0.80	0.60	2.544
		2	2.65	0.60	0.45	1.431
		2	(0.80+2.65)/2	0.50	0.70	1.260
5	Wing wall	2	1.90	0.90	0.45	1.539
		2	1.90	0.80	0.45	1.368
		2	1.90	0.60	(1.65+0)/2	1.881
6	Tow wall	1	2.00	0.90	0.45	0.810
		1	2.00	0.80	0.45	0.720
		1	2.00	0.40	0.30	0.240
7	Longitudinal sill	2	2.65	0.20	0.30	0.318
8	Apron	2	2.65	2.00	0.45	4.770
Total						51.806 cum

### 5. C.C.W. 1:2:4 in the wall

S.No.	Description of work	No.	L	B	D/H	Quantity
1	Head wall	1	2.00	0.45	0.025	0.0225
2	Side wall	2	0.80	0.50	0.025	0.0200
		2	1.32	0.50	0.025	0.330
3	Head wall extension	2	3.02	0.40	0.025	0.610
4	Wing wall	2	2.52	0.60	0.025	0.765
5	Longitudinal sill	2	2.65	0.20	0.025	0.265
6	Apron	1	2.65	1.60/3	0.025	0.353
6	Toe Wall	1	2.00	0.40	0.025	0.200
Total						0.2939cum

### 6. Tuck Pointing 1:3

S.No.	Description of work	No.	L	B	D/H	Quantity
1	Head wall	1	2.00	-	0.85	1.70
		1	2.00	-	1.27	2.45
2	Side wall	1	2.65	-	1.65	4.37
		1	(0.80+2.65)/2	-	0.70	
3	Head wall extension	2	3.30	-	1.50	1.20
4	Wing wall	2	1.90	-	(1.665+0)/2	9.90
Total						3.13m <sup>2</sup>

### CONSUMPTAION OF MATERIALS

S.No.	Particulars	Quantity	Cement (Bags)	Coarse Sand (cum)	Brick (No.)	G.S.B. 25-40 mm (cum)	G.S. Grit 10-20 mm (cum)
1	Sand laying	2.627 cum	-	2.227	-	-	-
2	C.C.W. 1:3:6	3.939 cum	16.93	1.772	-	3.545	-
3	Brick Masonry	51.806 cum	124.33	17.614	25903	-	-
4	C.C.W. 1:2:4	0.294 cum	1.79	0.123	-	-	0.249
5	Tuck Pointing 1:3	22.84 m <sup>2</sup>	1.50	0.107	-	-	-
	<b>Total</b>		<b>144.10</b>	<b>22.243</b>	<b>25903</b>	<b>3.545</b>	<b>0.249</b>
	<b>Say</b>		<b>144 Bags</b>	<b>22.243 cum</b>	<b>25903</b>	<b>3.55 cum</b>	<b>0.250 cum</b>

### Cost of Materials

S.No.	Name of Materials	Quantity	Rate	Amount
1	Cement	144 Bags	255.00/bag	36720.00
2	Coarse sand	22.243 cum	910.00/cum	20241.13
3	Brick	25903	4500.00/th	115663.50
4	G.S.B. 25-40 mm	3.55 cum	855.00/cum	3035.25
5	G.S. Grit 10-20 mm	0.250 cum	1250.00/cum	312.50
	Total			Rs.176872.38

### LABOUR CHARGE

S.No.	Particulars	Quantity	Rate	Amount
1	Earth Work	38.95cum	36.66/cum	1427.90
2	Sand Laying	2.627 cum	33.33/cum	87.55
3	C.C.W. 1:3:6	3.939 cum	494/cum	1945.86
4	C.C.W. 1:2:4	0.2939 cum	400/cum	145.18
5	Brick masonry	51.806 cum	494/cum	20722.40
6	Tuck Pointing	22.84 m <sup>2</sup>	51.61/m <sup>2</sup>	1178.77
7	Curing	51.806 cum	25.00/cum	1295.15
8	Chowkidar	13 Man days	100.00/Man day	1300.00
9	Head Load & local taion cost 10% cost of material	-	-	11340.03
Total				Rs. 39442.84
Total Expenditure				
1. Cost of Materials			176872.38	
2 Labour Charges			39442.84	
Total			Rs. 216315.22	
Says Rs. 216315.00 only				

Design of Drop Spillway to be constructed at a place in a gully having width of 1.0 m and Catchments area 1.00 and net drop 0.50 m Taking rainfall intensity for duration equal to time of concentration of watershed and design return period of 25 years , as 120 mm/hr . The coefficient of runoff for the watershed is 0.3.

**1.Hydrologic design** – The design peak runoff rate( $\text{m}^3/\text{s}$ ) for the watershed form Rational formula is given as :

$$Q = \frac{C.I.A.}{360} = \frac{0.3 \times 120 \times 20.0}{360} = 2.00 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$$

**2. Hydraulic design-** The maximum discharge capacity of the rectangular weir given by

$$Q = \frac{1.711LH^{3/2}}{(1.1+0.01F)} =$$

To find suitable value of L&H

Let us assume  $L = 2.0 \text{ m}$  (since width of gully is 3.00m)

$$2.00 = \frac{1.711LH^{3/2}}{(1.1+0.01 \times 0.5)} = \frac{1.711LH^{3/2}}{(1.10+1.15)}$$

$$LH^{3/2} = \frac{2.0 \times 1.115}{1.711} = 0.350$$

$$H^{3/2} = \frac{2.23}{1.711 \times 4} = 0.65$$

$$H = (0.65)^{2/3} = 0.75 \text{ m}$$

Test  $L/h = \frac{2.00}{0.25} = 2.66 \geq 2.0$  hence O.K.;

$$h/f = \frac{0.75}{1.50} = \leq 0.5 \text{ hence O.K.}$$

Heace he designed hydraulic dimensions of the Spilay are :

Crest Length (L) = 2.00 m

Weir depth (h) = 0.81m



### 3. Structural design -

1. Minimum headwall extension ,  $E = (3h + 0.6)$  or  $1.5 f$  whichever is greater

$$E = 3 \times 0.81 + 0.6 \text{ or } 1.5 \times 1.50$$

$$E = 3.03 \text{ m} \quad \text{or } 2.25 \text{ m}$$

$$\text{Adopted} = 3.03 \text{ m}$$

2. Length of apron basin  $L_B = (2.28 h/f + 0.54) = 1.5(2.28 \times 0.08 + 0.54)$   
 $= 1.50(1.20 + 0.54) = 2.61 \text{ m}$

3. Height of end sill,  $S = \underline{h} = \underline{0.50} = 0.16 \text{ m}$

4. Height of wing wall and side wall at Junction:

$$J = 2h \text{ or } [f + h + S - (L_B + 0.10)/2] \text{ whichever is greater}$$

$$= 2 \times 0.80 \text{ or } [1.50 + 0.81 + 0.27 - (2.61 + 0.10)/2]$$

$$= 1.0 \text{ or } [1.66 - 0.89] = 1.00 \text{ or } 0.77 (\text{adopt } J = 1.00 \text{ m})$$

$$\text{adopt } J = 1.62 \text{ m}$$

5.  $M = 2(f + 1.33 h - J) = 2(1.50 + 1.33 \times 0.81 - 1.62) = 1.90 \text{ m}$

6.  $K = (L_B + 0.1) - M = (2.61 + 0.1) - 1.90 = 0.81 \text{ m}$

Toe and cut off walls

$$\text{Normal scour depth (N S D)} = 0.473 \times (Q/f)^{1/3} = 0.473 \times (2/1)^{1/3} = 0.473 \times 1.259 = 0.595 \text{ m}$$

$$\text{Maximum Scour depth (M S D)} = 1.5 \times \text{N S D} = 1.5 \times 0.595 = 0.89 \text{ m}$$

$$\text{Depth of cutoff / Toe wall} = 0.89 \text{ m say } 0.60 \text{ m}$$

**Apron thickness :** For an over fall of 1.0 m .The apron thickness in concrete construction is 0.30m since structure is constructed in masonry ,the Apron thickness will be  $0.30 \times 1.50 = 0.45 \text{ m}$

**Wall thickness :** The thickness of wall of the structure ( masonry construction ) is given below . :

Description	Thickness of wall	
	T op width	Bottom width
Head wall	0.45	1.33
Side wall	0.30	1.10
Wing all and head wall extension	0.30	0.80

#### DETAIL ESTIMATE OF DROP SPILLWAY OF CREST LENGTH 2.00 METRE

##### 2. Earth work in cutting in foundation

S.No.	Description of work	No.	L	B	D/H	Quantity
1	Side wall	2	3.40	1.30	1.15	9.38
2	Head wall	1	3.00	1.60	1.15	5.52
3	Head wall extension	2	3.00	1.00	1.15	6.90
4	Wing wall	2	1.80	1.00	1.15	4.96
5	Tow wall	1	3.00	1.00	1.15	3.45
6	Cut off wall	1	9.00	1.00	1.15	10.35
7	Apron	1	3.00	2.00	0.75	5.56
Total						<b>46.12 cum</b>

##### 2 Laying of sand in the bed of foundation

S.No.	Description of work	No.	L	B	D/H	Quantity
1	Cut of wall	2	3.40	0.90	0.10	0.680
2	Head wall l	2	3.00	0.70	0.10	0.180
3	Head wall extension	2	1.80	0.10	0.10	3.60
4	Wing wall	1	3.00	0.90	0.10	2.40
5	Toe wall	1	9.00	0.90	0.10	0.900
6	Apron	1	3.00	2.65	0.10	0.795
Total						<b>3.155 cum</b>

### 3 C.C.W. 1 :3: 6 in foundation

S.No.	Description of work	No.	L	B	D/H	Quantity
1	Cut off wall	1	9.00	0.90	0.15	1.35
2	Head wall	1	3.00	0.70	0.15	0.27
3	Head wall extension	2	3.40	0.10	0.15	1.02
4	Wing wall	2	1.80	0.90	0.15	0.54
5	Tow wall	1	3.00	0.90	0.15	0.36
6	Apron	1	3.00	2.65	0.15	1.192
Total						<b>4732 cum</b>

### 4. Brick masonry

S.No.	Description of work	No.	L	B	D/H	Quantity
1	Cut off wall	1	9.00	1.00	0.90	8.100
2	Head wall	1	3.00	1.50	0.90	4.050
		1	3.00	(1.50+0.50)/2	1.50	4.500
3	Head wall extension	2	3.00	0.80	0.45	2.160
		2	3.00	0.60	0.45	1.620
		2	3.00	0.60	0.60	2.160
		2	3.00	0.50	0.60	1.800
		2	3.00	0.40	1.30	2.120
4	Side wall	2	3.40	1.00	0.45	3.060
		2	3.60	0.80	0.45	2.592
		2	3.80	0.60	0.60	2.736
		2	3.90	0.50	1.00	3.900
		2	(4.00+1.40)/2	0.40	1.50	3.240
5	Wing wall	2	1.80	1.00	0.45	1.620
		2	1.80	0.80	0.45	1.296
		2	1.80	0.50	(1.60+0)/2	1.440
6	Toe wall	1	3.00	0.80	0.45	1.080
		1	3.00	0.60	0.45	0.810
		1	3.00	0.40	0.30	0.360
7	Apron	1	3.00	2.65	0.45	0.577
8	Longitudinal sill	2	2.60	2.20	0.45	0.486
Total						<b>53.689 cum</b>

### 5. C.C.W. 1:2:4 in the wall

S.No.	Description of work	No.	L	B	D/H	Quantity
1	Head wall	1	3.00	0.50	0.025	0.037
2	Side wall	2	1.40	0.40	0.025	0.028
		2	3.00	0.40	0.025	0.060
3	Head wall extension	2	3.00	0.40	0.025	0.060
4	Wing wall	2	2.40	0.50	0.025	0.060
5	Longitudinal sill	2	2.65	0.20	0.025	0.026
6	Apron	3	2.65	0.86	0.025	0.170
Total						0.441cum

### 6. Tuck Pointing 1:3

S.No.	Description of work	No.	L	B	D/H	Quantity
1	Head wall	1	3.00	-	1.00	4.56
		1	3.00	-	1.18	5.40
2	Side wall	2	3.40	-	1.60	10.88
		2	(1.40+3.40)/2	-	1.50	7.20
3	Head wall extension	2	3.00	-	1.50	9.00
4	Wing wall	2	1.80	-	(1.60+0)/2	2.88
Total						39.86m <sup>2</sup>

## CONSUMPTION OF MATERIALS

S.No.	Particulars	Quantity	Cement (Bags)	Coarse Sand (cum)	Brick (No.)	G.S.B. 25-40 mm (cum)	G.S. Grit 10-20 mm (cum)
1	Sand laying	3.155 cum	-	3.155	-	-	-
2	C.C.W. 1:3:6	4.732 cum	2.34	2.129	-	4.258	-
3	C.C.W. 1:2:4	0.441 cum	2.69	0.185	26845	-	-
4	B/W 1:4	53.689 cum	128.85	18.254	-	-	0.374
5	Raised Pointing	39.86 m <sup>2</sup>	1.83	0.187	-	-	-
	<b>Total</b>		<b>153.71</b>	<b>23.910</b>	<b>26845</b>	<b>4.258</b>	<b>0.374</b>
	<b>Say</b>		<b>154</b>	<b>23.910</b>	<b>26845</b>	<b>4.26</b>	<b>0.374</b>

### Cost of Materials

S.No.	Name of Materials	Quantity	Rate	Amount
1	Cement	154 Bags	255.00/bag	39270.00
2	Coarse sand	23.91 cum	910.00/cum	21758.10
3	Brick	26845	4500.00/th	129802.00
4	G.S.B. 25-40 mm	4.26 cum	855.00/cum	3633.75
5	G.S. Grit 10-20 mm	0.374 cum	1250.00/cum	467.50
<b>Total</b>				<b>Rs.192931.35</b>


### LABOUR CHARGE

S.No.	Particulars	Quantity	Rate	Amount
1	Earth Work	46.12 cum	36.66/cum	1690.75
2	Sand Laying	3.155 cum	33.33/cum	105.15
3	C.C.W. 1:3:6	4.732 cum	494/cum	2337.60
4	C.C.W. 1:2:4	1.441 cum	494/cum	217.85
5	B/W 1:4	53.689 cum	400/cum	21475.60
6	Tuck Pointing	39.86 m <sup>2</sup>	51.61/m <sup>2</sup>	2057.17
7	Curing	53.689 cum	25.00/cum	1342.22
8	Chowkidar	13 Man days	100.00/Man day	1300.00
9	Head Load & local taion cost 10% cost of material	-	-	12015.12
<b>Total</b>				<b>Rs. 425441.34</b>


Total Expenditure	
1-Cost of Materials	192931.35
2 Labour Charges	42541.34
<b>Total</b>	<b>Rs. 235472.69</b>
<b>Says Rs. 235500.00 only</b>	

## 11- DRAWING AND DETAILS ESTIMATE OF THE LIVELIHOOD PROGRAMME

**DRAWING OF NADEF COMPOST STRUCTURE**



**ELEVATION**



**DESCRIPTION.**

**PLAN**

1. Brick work = 1:4.
2. Plastering = 1:4.
3. Thickness of wall = 0.23 m.
4. Total height of Structure =  $1.20 + 0.30 = 1.50$  m.

**(Not to Scale)**

**(Not to Scale)**

## PERPARATION OF COMPOST BY NADEF METHOD

NADEF is the name of the method. in this method glazed pit of brick masonry above Ground level is made as shown in the drying. in this method by using a little quantity of cow during, and crop residue, leaf of trees, straw and other organic materials. The method of filling up the pit is – first of all best soil of pond or field is spread in the bottom of pit as least 3” thickness and then one layer of 6” thickness and other agriculture waste is made then best soil is spread on in and on this layer the liquid made of cow dung is spread to wet the crop residue, straw etc. this method is repeated unit the pit is net completely filled up. On the top layer of this material a bulk is made and then pit is closed by earthen gara. water is spread on the top of bulk and from glazed side weekly. This process is repeated to moist the filling material always. The decomposition in filling material started and within six month filled material become compost khad.

S.No.	Description of work	No.	L.	B.	D./H.	Quantity
1.	Earth work					
	Long Wall	2	3.60	0.30	0.30	0.648
	Short Wall	2	2.33	0.30	0.30	0.419
	Total					1.067 cum
2.	Brick work 1:4					
	Long wall solid	2	3.46	0.23	0.90	1.432
	Short Wall Solid	2	2.40	0.23	0.90	0.993
	Total					2.425 cum
3.	Plastering Work					
	Long Wall	2	3.46	-	0.60	4.152
	Short Wall	2	2.40	-	0.60	2.880
	Top of Long Wall	2	3.46	0.23	-	1.591
	Top of Short Wall	2	2.40	0.23	-	1.104
	Total					9.727 m <sup>2</sup>

### ABSTRACT OF WORK

S.N.	Particulars	Quantity
1.	Earth Work	1.06 com
2.	Brick Work 1:4 2.425 + 1.616/2	3.233 cum
3.	Plastering 1:4	9.727 m <sup>2</sup>

### CONSUMPTION OF MATERIALS

S.NO.	Particulars	Quantity	Cement (Bags)	Coarse Sand (cum)	Brick (nos.)
1.	Brick work 1:4	3.233 cum	5.82	0.873	1487
2.	Plastering 1:4	9.727 m <sup>2</sup>	1.07	0.146	-
	Total		6.89	1.019	1487
	Say		7 Bags	1.02 cum	1500 nos.

### COST OF MATERIALS

S.NO.	Particulars	Quantity	Rate	Amount
1.	Cement	7 Bags	255.00/Bag	1785.00
2.	Coarse Sand	1.02 cum	910.00/cum	928.20
3.	1 <sup>st</sup> Class Brick work 1:4	1500 nos.	4050.00/ Thousand	6075.00
	Total			<b>Rs. 8,788.20</b>



## LABOUR CHARGES

S.NO.	Particulars	Quantity	Rate	Amount
1.	Earth Work	1.06 cum	36.66/cum	30.85
2.	Brick	3.233 cum	370.00/cum	1196.21
3.	Plastering	9.727 m <sup>2</sup>	40.00/m <sup>2</sup>	389.08
	<b>Total</b>			<b>Rs. 1616.14</b>

Total Expenditure	
1. Cost of Materials	8788.20
2. Labour Charges	1616.14
<b>Total</b>	<b>Rs.10,404.34</b>
<b>Say Rs. 10,400.00 only</b>	

## DAIRY WORK

In income generating activities through Self Help Group, landless and marginal farmers are advised to use three or four cows of SANKER breed or two or three buffalos of MURRA breed, for their good life.

### Establishment of Goat Unit for S.H.G.'s formed in I.W.M.P. II<sup>nd</sup> Mau

#### Project

District Sant Kabir Nagar II is situated in eastern part of state, where the number of goat/sheep is very less and they are small in nature, Goat Population is appreciable and is fact, it is the major source of livelihood for poor people of the district. in the state , on an average, 16 kg of meat is obtained from a goat, if they are dewormmed twice, shall be increment of 4 kg in meat on an average, benefiting the farmers of the state.

Deworming and vitamins, mineral- supplement to the goats shall enhance their productivity and also improve anti-body response and protection level through vaccination, i.e., importance in efficiency of vaccination. More Productivity and assured health and low mortality shall result into adoption of more framers to goat farming with the formation of more S.H.G. 's and in turn availability of goats for processing unit. Goat excreta shall be of immense help in enrichment of soil fertility.

## Establishment of Goat Units for S.H.G.'s

Eastern region, due to the geo-climate conditions and land pattern is favorable for goat husbandry. Goats thrive Well in dry and semi-dry climate with bushes and thorny vegetation. Presently in this area, farmers rear goats for their livelihood. If goat husbandry would be transformed to intensive husbandry, there shall be more economic stability of farmers, more profit sharing and availability of running capital for future expansion. Kepping in view above fact, goat unit shall be formed in the area in intensive way.

16 Goat Unit are Proposed in I.W.M.P. II<sup>nd</sup> Project for S.H.G. one unit constituting 10 goats and 1 buck will be distributed to one S.H.G.

A register Of S.H.G. will be maintained by Secretary Of S.H.G. in the supervision of W.D.T. member . The details of beneficiaries Of S.H.G. including the breed of goat reared, breeding and feeding status, deworming status, deaths, post mortem conducted claim settlement and working status of unit will be maintained in the register.

Preferences shall be given in consecutive years in purchasing the goats and bucks for new unit, from old units for which database maintained shall be of use and it should be assured by buy back arrangement

## Financial Component

S.No.	Component	Amount
1.	Cost Of 10 goat of improved breed (Not less than 6 months of age) @Rs. 3000.00 each	30000.00
2	Cost of 1 back of improved breed @ Rs.5000.00	5000.00
3	Cost of insurance @ 11.63/unit	4070.00
4	Feed cost for 3 months @ 250gm/day for goats @Rs.11.84/250gm	2930.00
5	Provision of deworming, mineral and vitamin supplement, treatment, vaccination @ Rs/160/animal	1760.00
6	The expense including monitoring expenses, register and record @Rs.170.00/unit	170.00
	<b>Total</b>	<b>Rs. 43,930.40</b>
		<b>Say Rs. 43,950.00</b>

### Estimate of Livestock Development Activities

Total number of female animals:	Buffalo	-	4725
	Cow	-	5597
	Total	-	10,322

**1. Artificial Insemination (A.I.):** 33% of total animals per year, i 3406 (say 3400 nos.)

Amount required for A.I. by BAIF @ 100.00/ animal.

**Total Amount - Rs. 3,40,00.00**

**2. Vaccination:** Total number of animals in I.W.M.P II<sup>nd</sup> - 12657 nos.

1. H.S. + B.Q. @ 5.50 69,613.50

2. F.M.D. @10.50 2 (Twice in a year)

**Total Amount - Rs. 3,35,410.00**

**3. Deworming:**

Adult animals -. 11472

Child animals - 1185

Albendazole for 11472 animals @ 40.56 4,65,304.00

1185 child animals@20.28 24,032.00

**Total Amount - Rs. 4,89,336.00**

**4. Mineral Mixture: Agnmine Forte Chelated for 8205 animals @ 115.00 Rs. 9,43,575.00**

**GRAND TOTAL - Rs. 21,08,321.00**

# 12- DRWAING AND DETAILS ESTIMATE OF PRODUCTION SYSTEM AND MICRO-ENTERPRISES

(ANNEXURE-3)

## DEMONSTRATION OF WHEAT

1. Variety recommended for District

Irrigated - W.H.- 542

Unirrigated – K 8027 , K – 5351 (Mandakini)

Kathia – Raj 1555

2- Seed rate – 100 -125 Kg/ hectare

3- Requirement of fertilizers / ha N- 125 Kg , P- 70 -75 Kg, K-70-75 Kg

### ESTIMATE OF DEMONSTRATION OF WHEAT IN EATERSHED (PER HA)

S.No.	Particulars	Quantity	Rate	Amount	Remarks
1	Tillage operation or preparation of field for sowing	100.00 kg	1000.0/ha	1000.00	Since the project is to be operated in a participatory Mode, contribution in form of the tillage ,sowing, irrigation and harvesting done by farmer is not included in the estimates
2	Cost of seed	1.00ha	18.00/kg	1800.00	
3	Sowing by seed drill	160 Kg	1000.00/ha	1000.00	
4	D.A.P. 18:46	210 Kg	573.00/50 kg	1833.60	
5	Urea	150 Kg	270.00/50 kg	1134.00	
6	Potash (M.O.P.)	1.0 0ha	300.00/50 kg	900.00	
7	Irrigation (three irrigation)	1.00ha	650.00/ha	650.00	
8	Harvesting		2000.00/ha	2000.00	
<b>Total</b>				<b>5657.60</b>	
<b>Say</b>				<b>5700.00</b>	

Hence demonstration cost of wheat /ha is Rss 5700.00

## DEMONSTRATION OF GRAM IN WATERSHED AREA (per ha)

1 Variety                      Irrigated - vdai , KWR – 108 ,

Rainfed - J.G. – 315 , Avrdhi

2- Seed rate /ha – 50 -55 Kg

3- Fertilizers requirement / ha                      N- 25.0 Kg , P- 80Kg, K- 30 Kg

### ESTIMATE OF DEMONSTRATION OF GRAM (PER HA)

S.No.	Particulars	Quantity	Rate	Amount	Remarks
1	Tillage operation or preparation of field for sowing	1.0 ha (twice)	1000.0/ha	2000.00	Since the project is to be operated in a participatory Mode, contribution by the farmer in the form of tillage ,sowing, operation , sowing and and harvesting is not included in the estimates
2	Cost of seed	55 kg	90/kg	4950.00	
3	D.A.P.	175 Kg	573.00/50 kg	2005.50	
4	M.O.P	65 Kg	300.00/50 kg	390.00	
5	Medicine	1.00 ha	Lump sum	1250.00	
6	Harvesting	1.00 ha	700.00/ ha	700.00	
<b>Total</b>				<b>8595.50</b>	
<b>Say</b>				<b>8600.00</b>	

**Hence per hectare of demonstration Rs 8600.00**

## DEMONSTRATION OF ARAHAR IN WATERSHED AREA (per ha)

- 1 Variety - Malviya -13 narendra -1 Amar I  
 2- Seed rate /ha - 30 Kg  
 3- Fertilizers requirement / ha N- 20.0 Kg , P- 50Kg, K- 40 Kg

### ESTIMATE OF DEMONSTRATION OF ARAHAR (PER ha)

S.No.	Particulars	Quantity	Rate	Amount	Remarks
1	Tillage operation in preparation of field for sowing	1.0 ha	1000.0/ha	2000.00	Since the project is to be operated in a participatory Mode, contribution by the former in the form of tillage operation, sowing and and harvesting provided by participating farmers ,hence this cost is not included in the estimates
2	Cost of seed	30.0 kg	120.00/kg	3600.00	
3	Nitrogen N.P.K. 12:21:16	190.0 Kg	470.00/50 kg	1786.50	
4	Urea	-	-	-	
5	M.O.P	-	-	-	
6	Harvesting	1.00 ha	650.00	650.00	
7	Medicine	1.00 ha	Lump sum	1000.00	
<b>Total</b>				<b>6386.00</b>	
<b>Say</b>				<b>6400.00</b>	

**Hence per hectare of demonstration Rs 6400.00**

## DEMONSTRATION OF HYBRID SORGHUM IN WATERSHED AREA (per ha)

1 Requirement of Seed /ha - 10 kg I

2- Requirement of fertilizers / ha                      N- 60.0 Kg , P- 40.00Kg, K- 40.00 Kg

### ESTIMATE OF DEMONSTRATION OF BAJRA (PER ha) RAINFED

S.No.	Particulars	Quantity	Rate	Amount	Remarks
1	Tillage operation in preparation of field for sowing	1.0 ha	1000.0/ha	2000.00	Since the project is to be operated in a participatory Mode, contribution by the former in the form of tillage operation, sowing and and harvesting provided by participating farmers ,hence this cost is not included in the estimates
2	Cost of seed	10.0 kg	130.00/kg	1300.00	
3	Nitrogen N.P.K. 12:21:16	125.0 Kg	470.00/50 kg	1175.00	
4	Urea	90 kg	270.00/50 kg	486.00	
5	M.O.P	40kg	300.00/50 kg	240.00	
6	Harvesting	1.00 ha	650.00 /ha	600.00	
<b>Total</b>				<b>3201.00</b>	
<b>Say</b>				<b>3200.00</b>	

**Hence per hectare of demonstration of Bajra Rs 3200.00**

## DEMONSTRATION OF AGRO-HORTICULTURE USING PLASTIC DRUM OF 200 LITRES CAPACITY

District Sant Kabir Nagar is situated in Eastern U.P. region where there is scarcity of water and in summer temperature rises up to 45°C causing upper layer of fields dry and therefore mortality rate of plants is very high. Farmers usually like to grow grain crops only. They are not interested in horticulture because of less holding. The production of crops decreases below the tree. Therefore to promote horticulture with crops a demonstration model using plastic drums for horticulture is made. Mainly crops roots go in to the soil up to “4-5” in cereal crops and “6-9” in pulses. Using plastic drums the plants will be planted 50-60 cm below the ground level which is below the root zone of crops. Therefore trees will not be able to take nutrients from upper layer of fields and there will be no effect of plants on crops.

In summer season up to 1 to 1.50m depth of soil becomes dry causes more mortality rate of plants, using drums plants are planted below 50-60 cm from Ground level and in rainy and winter season up to February roots of plants go below 2.10m below where moisture will be available and plants will be safe in summer also. Using barbed wire fencing the plants will be protected. Therefore, it is hoped that farmers will adopt this procedure for Agro-forestry and will become prosperous.

### DETAIL ESTIMATE OF DEMONSTRATION OF HORTICULTURE AND MIXED CROPPING

S.No.	Description of Works	No.	L.	B.	D./H.	Quantity
1	Earth work in cutting	156	3.14 x 1.20	-	1.35	793.54
	Trench	156	1.50	0.75	0.75	131.62
	Fencing Poll	133	0.20	0.20	0.20	1.064
	<b>Total</b>					<b>926.22 cum</b>
	Farm yard manure	156x 10		-		1560 kg
	Filling of earth work with farm yard manure	156	3.14 x 1.00	-	1.20	587.80 cum
	C.C.W. 1:2:4 for fencing poll	133	0.20	0.20	0.20	1.064 cum
	Angle iron of poll	133	1.80	-	-	239.40 m
	Barbed wire	3	400	-	-	1200.00 m
	Plants	156	-	-	-	156 nos
	Plastic drum (200 litre)	156	-	-	-	156 nos



### CONSUMPTION OF MATERIAL

S.N.	Description of Work	Quantity	Farmyard Manure (Kg>	Cement Bags (nos)	Coarse Sand ( cum)	G.S. Grit 10-20 mm	Angle Iron (m)	Barbed Wire (Kg)	Planting Drum (nos)
1	C.C.W. 1:2:4	1.064 cum	-	6.49	-	-	-	-	-
2	Angle Iron	239.4 m	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	Barbed wire	1200.0m	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	Farmyard manure	1560.0 kg	1560 kg	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	Plastic drum	156 nos	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Total</b>			<b>1560.0 kg</b>	<b>6.49</b>	<b>0.446</b>	<b>0.883</b>	<b>239.40</b>	<b>1200.00</b>	<b>156</b>
<b>Say</b>			<b>1560.0 kg</b>	<b>6.50 bags</b>	<b>0.450 bags</b>	<b>0.900 cum</b>	<b>239.40</b>	<b>1200.0 m</b>	<b>156</b>

### COST OF MATERIALS

S.No.	Particulars	Quantity	Rate	Amount
1.	Fram yard manure	1560.0kg	10.00 kg	15600.00
2.	Barbed wire	1200.0m/120.0kg	60.50/kg	7260.00
3.	Angle Iron	239.40m/785kg	40.50/kg	31792.50
4.	plastick drum	156 nos	690.50each	107640.00
5.	Cement	6.50bags	255.00/bag	1657.50
6.	Coarse Sand	0.450 cum	910.00/cum	409.50
7.	G.S.Grit 10-20mm	0.900 cum	1250.00/cum	1125.00
8.	Plants	156 nos	18.00each	2808.00
		Total		Rs.1,68,292,50

## LBOUR CHARGES

S.NO.	Particulars	Quantity	Rate	Amount
1.	Earth work	1514.02cum	36.66/cum	55503.97
2.	C.C.W 1:2:3:	1.064 cum	492.00 cum	523.88
3.	Fixing of angle iron	10 man days	100/Man Day	1000.00
4.	Fixing of barbed wire	15 man days	100/Man Day	1500.00
<b>Total</b>				<b>Rs, 58,527.85</b>

Total Expenditure	
1. Cost of materials	<b>1,68,292.50</b>
2. Labour Charges	<b>58,527.85</b>
<b>Total</b>	Rs.2,26,819.50.00
<b>say</b>	Rs.2,26,820.00 only

5- Lack of infrastructure including marketing.

### (B) Soil constraints

- 1- Poor nutrient status of the soil
- 2- Physical impediment
- 3- Moisture stress / water logging / inadequate drainage.

### (C) Plant related constraints

- 1- Problem of plant establishment
- 2- Physiological disorders
- 3- Fruit drop and poor productivity
- 4- Incidence of insects-pests.

However, apart from the above mentioned constraints, the measure bottleneck in horticulture development are poor technological advancements, high initial establishment cost, high input demand , timely operation and seasonal shortage of labours, etc.

## CONCEPTS AND ADVANTAGES OF CONSERVATION HORTICULTURE

Conservation horticulture or horticulture land use based on soil and water conservation principle is a suitable alternative for utilization and management of land under rainfed conditions. Thus horticulture development in watershed management appears to be the most appropriate technique for sustained productivity as well as for restoration of degraded lands. In fact, horticulture system meet all the basic needs-food, fruits, fodder, fuel and timber besides, providing employment and sustaining a number of products for industries.

The fruit trees grown with crops can provide fuel from pruned-shoots and dried- bran leaf--fodder for animals and leaf litter that can be utilized as mulch material and organic matter the leaf litter of deciduous fruit trees not only protects the top soil from the impact of raindrops but also improve soil structure, reduces évapotrànspiration, increases infiltration and add to the nutrient status of soil. Therefore conservation based horticulture land use system assumes great significance as fruit trees on degraded lands provide higher returns and offer alternative opportunity in non-arable areas where cropping may not be possible.

## CONSERVATION HORTICULTURE PRACTICES

Some of the important practices are given below

**1- Selection of Suitable Fruits Types:** For the success of conservation horticulture, selection of hardy varieties resistant to diseases and pests and use of local or other hardy root stocks for raising fruit-trees is of great importance. The major part of the reproductive cycle i.e. Period from flowering to fruiting must also fall during maximum water availability period and the root ripening must be completed before the onset of dry summer (April-May).

Ber, Guava, Karonda, Be), Amla, Lemon, and Phalsa etc. are the plants which fulfill this requirement and all these fruit plants are most suitable for Bunde region.

**2- Planting Techniques:**\_ For degraded lands, pits should be dug of 1m x 1m x 1m size, the excavated soil is mixed with Farmyard Manure (FYM) @ 5-10kg/pit with doses of potash and phosphorous and some insecticide / pesticide (numicide / aldrex) for prevention of white ant. Planting of the fruit plants should be done with the onset of monsoon.

**3- Use of Root Stocks:**\_ Budding and grafting on the wild root stock gives benefit of the establishment root and in turn provides better quality fruits with high field potential. For example, *Ziziphun mauritiana*, a wild ber can be successful budded with scion of improved cultivars, This practice is only successful where sizable patch of wild root stock is available. The budded/grafted stock needs intensive management as it is required to be protected from the wild animals, birds,

insects, pests etc. The wild root stock develops efficient top root to provide moisture and nutrients to the scion. Amla. Bel is other examples of raising the improved cultivation the wild root stock.

**4- In Situ Water Harvesting:**\_ Since on slopy lands, runoff water is considerably higher, therefore, it should be harvested and used. The run off can be utilized for growing fruit plants in such a way that each tree in the established plants is at the time of fruit setting and fruiting. Moisture available at this critical period improves the fruit yield.

Runoff water will be harvested and stored in tanks during the rains. The stored water will be utilized at the time when the fruit trees show moisture stress during dry months. Counter trenches will dug between the rows of fruit trees because this is effective in conserving moisture and providing soil erosion.

**5-Mulching:** Mulching is practiced to conserve moisture. It prevents the loss of moisture by evaporation and improve water intake by the soils. Various organic (Straw, hay, manure, tree leaves, dry wads) Mulches are used for mulching. Use of plastic mulch has been taken in rainfed and dryfarming conditions to increase the productivity by minimizing evapotranspiration losses.

**6-Drip Irrigation:** Drip irrigation saves water by 40 to 70 percent and two to three times more area can be irrigated with the same amount of available water. It has the advantages that it ensures uniform distribution of water, provides perfect control over water application and minimizing the losses during convergence and seepage.

### **Estimate of Orchard Development in the Watersheds Per Hectare (Without Fencing)**

S.No.	Particulars	Quantity	Rate	Amount	Remarks
A, Horticulture					
1.	Soil Working 1m x 1m size pits (270nos.) including cost of refilling	270.00 cum	36.66/cum	9898.20	Since,the project is to be operated in a participatory mode,countribution in the form of labour input for pit digging, FYM and its applications, weeding and hoeing are to be provided by the participating farmers, hens the cots are no included in the eastmates,
2.	Aplication of Farmyard manure inclding cast		L.S.	450.00	
3.	Cost of NPK mixture. neemicide @ 250 gm/plants		L.S.	400.00	
4.	Cost of plants (including 15% etc. for mortality) including transportation and planting	310 nos.	15.00/Plant t	4650.00	
5.	Casualty replacement @ 10% of item no. 4&5			465.00	
6.	Cost of 2 weeding and hoeing		1.00/Plant	540	
7.	Contingency and unforeseen (3%)			492.00	
	Total			Rs.6,007.00	
	Say			Rs. 6,000.00	
	Maintenance cost 2 <sup>nd</sup> Year onwards – 15% of 1 <sup>st</sup> year cost			900.00	
	For next 5 years i.e.,Rs. 900x5			4500.00	
	Total Cost			Rs. 10,500.00	
	Say			Rs.10,500.00	
B. Agro-Horticulture (Cost per ha)					
1	Cost of raising 270 plants UP to 5 year @ Rs. 10,000.00			10500.00	The remarks mentioned under Horticulture are also applicable for agro Horticulture.
2	Cost of rasising agricultural Crops @ Rs. 5,000 per hectare per year			5000.00	
	<b>Total</b>			<b>Rs.15,500.00</b>	

## Estimate of Orchard Development in the Watersheds Per Hectare (With Fencing)

S.No.	Particulars	Quantity	Rate	Amount	Remarks
A, Horticulture					
1.	Soil Working 1m x 1m size pits (270nos.) including cost of refilling	270.00 cum	36.66/cum	9898.20	Since,the project is to be operated in a participatory mode,countribution in the form of labour input for pit digging, FYM and its applications, weeding and hoeing are to be provided by the participating farmers, hens the cots are no included in the eastmates,
2.	Aplicetion of Farmyard manure inclnding cast		L.S.	450.00	
3.	Cost of NPK mixture. neemicide @ 250 gm/plants		L.S.	400.00	
4.	Cost of plants (including 15% etc. for mortality) including transportation and planting	310 nos.	15.00/Plant	4650.00	
5.	Casualty replacement @ 10% of item no. 4&5			465.00	
6.	Cost of 2 weeding and hoeing		1.00/Plant	540	
7.	Contingency and unforeseen (3%)			492.00	
	Total			Rs.6,007.00	
	Say			Rs. 6,000.00	
	Maintenance cost 2 <sup>nd</sup> Year onwards – 15% of 1 <sup>st</sup> year cost			900.00	
	For next 5 years i.e.,Rs. 900x5			4500.00	
	Total Cost			Rs. 10,500.00	
	Say			Rs.10,500.00	
B. Agro-Horticulture (Cost per ha)					
1	Cost of raising 270 plants UP to 5 year @ Rs. 10,000.00			10500.00	The remarks mentioned under Horticulture are also applicable for agro Horticulture.
2	Cost of rasing agricultural Crops @ Rs. 5,000 per hectare per year			5000.00	
3.	<b>Fencing</b>			<b>45300.00</b>	
	<b>Total</b>			<b>Rs.60,800.00</b>	

### COST IN PLANTING ONE PLANT WITH DIGGING, FILLING MIXED WITH FYM AND COST OF PLANT

S. n	Particular	No.	L	B	D/H	Quantity	Rate	Amount
1	Earth work in digging	1	1.0	1.0	1.00	1.00	36.66	36.66
2	Cost of FYM,in kg/pit	1	-	-	-	10kg	8.00	8.00
3	Filling of pits mixed with FYM and soil	1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.00	36.66	36.66
4	Cost of plants	1	-	-	-	1	18.00	18.00
Total								171.32
Say								Rs.172.00

### ESTMATE FOR SILVI-PASTROAL SYSTEM (RS.ha<sup>-1</sup>) PLANTATIONS (800 PLANTS ha<sup>1</sup>)

S. N	Particulars of work	Rate (Rs.)	Cost (Rs.)	Remarks
1-	Clear felling or bush clearance of area Infected with Lantana etc,including cost of burning	LS	550.00	The area is to be procted through biofencing
2-	Soil working – earth work ,digging of Pits / holes 60 cm deep, 30cm dia -800 Nos.Including cost of refilling and trenching.(400trenches/ha)	LS	6085.00	
3-	Cost of seedlings for 900 nos and grass /legumes seeds and planing.sowing	-	2050.00	Rs.2.00 per seeding
4-	Weeding and hoeing(2 Nos.)	LS	300.00	
Total			8985	
Maintenance				
<b>2<sup>nd</sup> Years 15% of the 1<sup>st</sup> year Xpenditure including being up 1<sup>st</sup> year failure</b>				
<b>Grand total</b>			<b>10.335.00</b>	
<b>say</b>			<b>10.335.00</b>	

## DEMONSTRATION OF GREEN MANURING

Green Manuring is very useful but due to sowing of Kharif season crop, lack of suitable type of seeds, and limitation of moisture, it is not widely practiced. Green Manuring brings immediate advantage because of its quick decomposition where as FYM and compost improves the soil physical condition in the long-run. Benefits of Green Manuring accrue from substitution of chemical fertilizers, enhance soil biological activities and erosion control due to vegetative cover. Sesbania Species (Dhaincha) and Crotonia Juncea (Sunhemp Sanai) are most common green manure crops. They accumulate about 100 kg N/ha in their biomass and 64-88% of this is derived from atmosphere. Apart from direct benefit of green Manuring as a source of nutrients and organic matter, it has the capacity to mobilize soil phosphorus and other nutrients. It also helps in reclamation of problem of soil, e.g., Sesbania helps in removing exchangeable sodium and reclamation of salt affected soils.

In District Sant Kabir Nagar more fields are kept fallow and only single crop in Rabi is grown. Therefore, this area is suitable for Green Manuring. Therefore, in I.W.M.P.II<sup>nd</sup> Sant Kabir Nagar Project, efforts will be made to oblige the farmers for Green Manuring.

4 typical estimate is made for Green Manuring is given below:

### ESTIMATE FOR GREEN MANURING IN THE WATERSHED (PER ha)

S.No.	Particulars	Rate	Cost	Remark
1	Seed of Sesbania (Dhaincha) 25 Kg /ha	25.00 /kg	625	Since the project to be operated in a participatory mode contribution in the form of tillage will be done by farmers in not included in the estimate
2	Tillage operation before sowing and to plough the plants of dhaincha after 40 – 45 days of sowing for Green Manuring	1000/ ha Before and after sowing	2000.00	
	<b>Total</b>		<b>Rs . 625.00</b>	

**Therefore cost per hectare of Green Manuring is Rs. 625.00/ha**



## PASTURE MANAGEMENT

**Introduction:** The sound animal industry in any country centers around good quality feed and fodders. The livestock population in India is nearly 15% of the total livestock population of the world, though we have only 2% of the world's geographical area. The project on forage and dry fodder requirement in India has been estimated at 1061 and 590 million tons by 2010 A-D, while the present feed and fodder resources in the country can meet only 4% of the requirement. The grazing intensity is very high i.e., 26 adult cattle unit (ACU)/ha as against 0.8 ACU in the developing countries. The importance of grasses for protection and production, the two aspects of soil and water conservation is well known. Grass is unique in that it is the only resource utilized in situ by grazing. A "grassland" or more appropriately, a "range" is defined as "the areas which are predominantly covered with grasses or grass like plants and are primarily utilized as forage for grazing animals or used as hay." The grasslands are the major sources of food to the animals.

**Pasture Management:** All grazing areas are referred to as pastures, but more specifically the term is applied to cultivated grassland used for grazing. Thus pastures are artificial grasslands with or without non-grass vegetation (such as legumes) that are created with selected high forage-yielding grass and legume species and with inputs like fertilizers and irrigation and carefully managed to exclude all other vegetation. Pastures are usually fenced and used either for grazing, for hay and silage making or for both.

**Intensive Fodder Production:** In areas where the major enterprise of the farmers centers around the milk production. Continuous supply of green fodder round the year is the basis for success of such an industry. Under the aegis of ICAR's all India coordinated Research Project on Forage Crops, several highly productive fodder cropping systems have been tested and recommendations made for their general use. For central region important intensive crop rotations are presented as given below:

Zone wise crop rotations		Green fodder yield(t / ha)
Central region		
1-	Hybrid napier +Cowpea-Berseem+Japanrape	286.3
2-	Maize+Cowper-Jowar-Berseem+Japanrape	197.2
3-	iawar+Cowper-Berseem+Japanrape-Jawer+Cowpea	168.6

**Conservation on of Forages:** In order to sustain animal production, it is essential that the optimum feeding should be maintained round the year. In India, we have two seasons, rainy season and winter season, when surplus quantities of green fodder is available- country to this there are 2 to 3 months of lean periods(October-November and April to July) when the fodder availability to animals is at its low. In the summer months, it is difficult even to meet the maintenance

**requirements of the animals.** Stage of maturity to feed the animals adequately during the lean period. The conservation of forages could be done in the form of silage from cultivated fodders (legumes and cereals) and also pasture grasses.

Forages could also be conserved in the form of hay when dried to its nutrients. This feed stuff is quantitatively important from both maintenance and nutritional point of view.

**Agro-forestry system for fodder production:** A number of fodder trees play an important role in human food security through their function as animal food sources, especially as drought services. Agro-forestry systems consisting of such tress and animals and/or pasture are called Silvo-Pastoral system. Silvi-Pasture (or Silvo-Pastoral system) is the most promising alternate land use system which integrates multipurpose trees, shrubs, legumes and grasses mostly on non arable, degraded and marginal lands for optimizing land productivity. It helps in conservation of vegetation, soil and nutrients and provides forage, timber and fuel wood on a sustainable basis. Potentials of Semi-arid region for different **forage production systems.**

Region Forge Production Systems Semi arid Integration of Agro-Silvi-Pasture, dry land agriculture on cultivated lands. Forage-cum-Copping forming on the marginal and sub marginal lands with intercropping dry lands cereals and legumes

## ROLE OF GRASSLAND IN SOIL CONSERVATION

The grass plant itself protects the soil from the forces of water erosion including the impact of rain drops and surface flow. Grass acts a spring cushion intercepting and broking up the falling rain drops in their way down. Conducting the water down the blades and stems of the plants and finally allowing it to reach the ground as fine sprays without disturbing the surface. Clamps of grass plants, in a mechanical way, obstruct-flowing water and reduce its rate of flow. In fact to control soil erosion whatever technique is adopted, there are four approaches to deal with the problem:

- 1- To condition the soil to make it resistant to determent and transportation and create more absorptive surface layer.
- 2- To cover the soil so that it is protected from the impact of wind and rain drops.
- 3- To decrease the velocity of wind or runoff water.
- 4- To provide safe disposal outlet for surplus run off.

Grass in the nature highly efficient device to protect the soil from destructive forces like rain , wind etc. Grass and legumes increase the aggregation of soil particles; improve soil structure and water holding capacity of the soil. Grasses gives quicker protection to eroded lands. To establish gully sides, water ways, gully head and check dams. Grass is perhaps the most effective and economical tool. It can put to various uses in soil conservation:

- 1- Strip cropping, rotational cropping or lay farming.
- 2- Stabilization of bunds and terraces.
- 3- Stabilization of gullies, diversion or drainage channels.
- 4- Stabilization of sand dunes.
- 5- Meadows and pasture on steep slopes.
- 6- Fertility builder for eroded soil.

**Expected/Estimated Outcomes (IWMP-II-2<sup>nd</sup>)-Mau**

S. No.	Name of the District	Item	Unit of measurement	Pre-project Status	Expected Post-project Status	Remarks
1	MAU	Status of water table	Meters	6-8	5-6	
2		Ground water structures repaired/ rejuvenated	No.	-	120	
3		Quality of drinking water	Quality	Poor	Good	
4		Availability of drinking water	Days	320	365	
5		Increase in irrigation potential	%	3	6	
6		Change in cropping/ land use pattern	Cropping pattern	Single/ double	Double/ multiple	
7		Area under agricultural crop	Ha	7305.00	6758.00	
8		i Area under single crop	Ha	5780.00	5233.00	
9		ii Area under double crop	Ha	1300.00	800.00	
10		iii Area under multiple crop	Ha	225.00	725.00	
11		Net increase in crop production area	Ha	-	950.00	
12		Increase in area under vegetation	Ha	1.50	167.00	
13		Increase in area under horticulture	Ha	8.50	390.00	
14		Increase in area under fuel & fodder	Ha	2.00	15.00	
15		Increase in milk production	Av.lit/day/ cattle	1.75	3.10	
16		No. of SHGs	No.	25	80	
17		Increase in no. of livelihoods	No.	5	15	
18		Increase in income	Rs.	19295.00	25295.00	
19		Migration	%	9.00	5.00	
20		SHG Federations formed	No.	9	19	
21		Credit linkage with banks	No.	8	32	
22		Resource use agreements	%	Agreed	100% as per required	
23		WDF collection & management	%	5-10	100% collection during project period	
		Summary of lessons learnt				

Date;


Signature of officers authorized  
by State Govt. with name and Designation


## Chapter-13

# MAPPING





**VILLAGE MAP OF THE PROJECT AREA**  
**IWMP-II**  
**DISTRICT- MAU**















# TRANSPORT NETWORK MAP OF THE PROJECT AREA

IWMP-II  
DISTRICT- MAU



## Legend

-  Settlement
-  Major Road
-  WS Boundary



# SLOPE MAP OF THE PROJECT AREA


IWMP-II  
DISTRICT- MAU



## Legend

 Watershed Boundary

### SLOPE

-  0 % to 1% (Nearly Level)
-  1 % to 3% (Very Gentle Sloping)
-  3 % to 5% (Gentle Sloping)
-  5 % to 10% (Moderate Sloping)
-  10 % to 15% (Strong Sloping)
-  15 % to 35% (Moderate Steep to Steep Sloping)
-  > 35% (Very Steep Sloping)

2B2A4c1g


2B2A4c1e

2B2A4c2a

2B2A4c2b

2B2A4c1c

2B2A4c1b







# CONTOUR MAP OF THE PROJECT AREA


IWMP-II  
DISTRICT- MAU




## Legend

-  Contour 5 m interval
-  WS Boundary

0 0.5 1 2 3 4  
Kilometers





## PERPARTION OF DPR

Detail Project Report Of Integrated Watershed Management Programme IWMP-II<sup>nd</sup> had been prepared through base line/bench Mark survey for Physiographic climate, soil land use, vegetation, hydrology and socio economic data analysis PRA have been exercised to collect primary data secondary data have been collected from Revenue Statistics department Statistical Magazine of the district, Mau. Toposheet (1:50000) survey of india Dehradun and technical & specific input and health with preparation and drafting of detail project report.

### Staff at PIA level

S.N.	Name	Designation	Qualification
1	2	3	4
1	Sri Surahoo Ram	BSA, IWDP,Mau	Inter, Agril Engineering Diploma
2	Dr N. K. Singh	Scientist, KVK, Mau	Ph. D.
3	Sri Ramdas	JE IWDP,Mau	Inter, Agril Engineering Diploma
4	Md. Mustkim	Accountant IWDP,Mau	M. Com
5	Md. Naseem Akhta	Draft man IWDP,Mau	Draftsman Si.
6	Sri Vinod Kumar	Asst Accountant IWDP,Mau	M.Com, LLB
7	Sri Ramdhari Yadav	S. Clerk, IWDP,Mau	Inter Commerce
8	Sri Janardan Singh	Jr. Clerk IWDP,Mau	B.A.
9	Sri J. P. Rai	Jr. Clerk IWDP,Mau	M.A.
10	Sri S. P. Singh	Munsi IWDP,Mau	B.A.
11	Sri Subedar Yadav	Tracer IWDP,Mau	Iner
12	Sri Anshuman Pandey	Tracer IWDP,Mau	B.Sc. B.Ed.
13	Sri Seshnath Verma	Jiledar IWDP,Mau	Inter
14	Sri O.P.Shukla	SP, IWDP,Mau	Inter
15	Sri Jokhan Lal	S.P. IWDP,Mau	B.A.
16	Sri Devanand Tiwari	SP, IWDP,Mau	Inter
17	Sri Nibbo Lal	SP IWDP,Mau	Inter
18	Sri Anil Kumar Pandey	SP, IWDP,Mau	B.A.
19	Sri Rama Shankar Mishra	ASCI, IWDP,Mau	B.Sc. Ag.
20	Sri Laldhar	IV <sup>th</sup> Class IWDP,Mau	Class 9
21	Sri Ramdhari Singh	IV <sup>th</sup> Class IWDP,Mau	Class 7
22	Sri Ashok Kumar	IV <sup>th</sup> Class IWDP,Mau	Class 8
23	Sri Ram Prashad	IV <sup>th</sup> Class IWDP,Mau	Class 5
24	Sri Barsati yadav	IV <sup>th</sup> Class IWDP,Mau	Literate
25	Sri Umashankar Kushwaha	IV <sup>th</sup> Class IWDP,Mau	Inter
26	Sri Rakesh Ram	IV <sup>th</sup> Class IWDP,Mau	Class 9
27	Sri Dipendra Kumar Mishra	IV <sup>th</sup> Class IWDP,Mau	B.Sc. Type
28	Sri Sarvjeet Sharma	Comp. Aperator IWDP,Mau	Inter, Computer Diploma



## **DPR PLAN ABSTRACT**

The collection of all the relevant data of watershed area and the possible option and solution are described with the help of feedback of focused discussion and detailed perspective plan for the watershed area with year wise and activity wise semmarized for the DPR plan sbstract for 5 year (2010-11 to 2014-14) .

The summary of the above document is verified by the following persons:

### **Prepared By:**

Bhoomi Sanrakshan Adhikari  
Dept. Of Land Development & Water Resources  
District-Mau

### **Technically Approved By:**

Deputy Director  
Dept. Of Land Development & Water Resources  
Region-Azamgarh

### **Physically & Financially Approved:**

**Project Director**  
**District Rural Development Authority**

**Chief Development Officer**  
**District- Mau**